

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(NATAL PROVINCIAL DIVISION)**

In the matter of: -

THE STATE

versus

1. **JACOB GEDLEYIHLEKISA ZUMA**
2. **THINT HOLDING (SOUTHERN AFRICA) (PTY) LTD**
(formerly known as Thomson-CSF Holding (Southern Africa) (Pty) Ltd)
(hereinafter also referred to as **Thomson Holdings**)
(as represented by **Pierre Jean-Marie Robert Moynot**)
3. **THINT (PTY) LTD**
(formerly known as Thomson-CSF (Pty) Ltd)
(hereinafter also referred to as **Thomson (Pty)**)
(as represented by **Pierre Jean-Marie Robert Moynot**)

(hereinafter also referred to as the accused)

INDICTMENT

The State alleges that the accused are guilty of the following crimes :

- COUNT 1:** **RACKETEERING** in contravention of Section 2(1)(e) read with Sections 1, 2(2) and 3 of the Prevention of Organised Crime Act, No. 121 of 1998, as amended:
Whilst employed by or associated with any enterprise, conducts or participates in the conduct, directly or indirectly, of such enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activities.
(Accused 1 to 3)

COUNT 2: **CORRUPTION** in contravention of section 1(1)(b) read with section 1(2) and 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992.
(In terms of section 156 of Act 51 of 1977 only in respect of accused 1)

In the alternative (as separate counts)

SUBCOUNT 1: **CORRUPTION** in contravention of section 1(1)(b) read with section 1(2) and 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992. (In respect of the period 25 October 1995 to 26 April 2004)

SUBCOUNT 2: **CORRUPTION** in contravention of section 3(a) read with sections 1, 2, 21, 24, 25 and 26 of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No. 12 of 2004. (In respect of the period 27 April 2004 to 1 July 2005)

Alternatively to subcount 2:

RECEIVING AN UNAUTHORISED GRATIFICATION BY A PERSON WHO IS PARTY TO AN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONSHIP in contravention of section 10(a) read with sections 1, 2, 21, 25 and 26 of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No. 12 of 2004. (In respect of the period 27 April 2004 to 14 June 2005)

COUNT 3: **CORRUPTION** in contravention of section 1(1)(a) read with section 1(2) and 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992.
(In terms of section 156 of Act 51 of 1977 only in respect of accused 2 and 3)

In the alternative (as separate counts)

SUBCOUNT 1: **CORRUPTION** in contravention of section 1(1)(a) read with section 1(2) and 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992. (In respect of the period 25 October 1995 to 26 April 2004)

SUBCOUNT 2: **CORRUPTION** in contravention of section 3(b) read with sections 1, 2, 21, 24, 25 and 26 of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No. 12 of 2004. (In respect of the period 27 April 2004 to 1 July 2005)

Alternatively to subcount 2:

GIVING AN UNAUTHORISED GRATIFICATION TO A PERSON WHO IS PARTY TO AN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONSHIP in contravention of section 10(b) read with sections 1, 2, 21, 25 and 26 of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No. 12 of 2004. (In respect of the period 27 April 2004 to 14 June 2005)

COUNT 4: **CORRUPTION** in contravention of section 1(1)(b) read with section 1(2) and 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992. (In terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977 only in respect of accused 1)

COUNT 5: **CORRUPTION** in contravention of section 1(1)(a) read with section 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992. (In terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977 only in respect of accused 2 and 3)

COUNT 6: **MONEY LAUNDERING** in contravention of section 4, read with sections 1 and 8 of the Prevention of Organised Crime Act, No. 121 of 1998 (Accused 1 to 3)

In the alternative

ACQUISITION, POSSESSION OR USE OF PROCEEDS OF UNLAWFUL ACTIVITIES in contravention of section 6, read with sections 1 and 8 of the Prevention of Organised Crime Act, No. 121 of 1998

COUNT 7:

FRAUD

(In terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977 only in respect of accused 1)

COUNT 8:

FRAUD

(In terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977 only in respect of accused 1)

COUNT 9:

FRAUD

(In terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977 only in respect of accused 1)

COUNTS 10-18:

FRAUD (9 COUNTS)

(In terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977 only in respect of accused 1)

In the first alternative

MAKING FALSE STATEMENTS IN INCOME TAX RETURNS in contravention of Section 104(1)(a), read with Section 66 of the Income Tax Act, No. 58 of 1962,

In the second alternative

FAILING TO SHOW GROSS INCOME OR MATERIAL FACTS IN TAX RETURNS in contravention of Section 75(1)(c) read with Sections 1 and 66 of the Income Tax Act, No. 58 of 1962.

CHAPTER 1: GENERAL PREAMBLE**WHEREAS :****A. THOMSON-CSF/THALES/THINT GROUP*****The Group***

1. The French Thomson-CSF (hereinafter referred to as Thomson-CSF) group of companies has global industrial interests, including interests in the international arms industry.
2. Thomson-CSF was later renamed the Thales group of companies.
3. Thomson-CSF International (France), which later became Thales International, is a division within the Thomson-CSF group.
4. Thomson-CSF International was headed at all relevant times by Jean-Paul Perrier (hereinafter referred to as Perrier).
5. Thales International in its turn had a subsidiary Thales International Africa Ltd (Mauritius).
6. Thales International Africa was headed at all relevant times by Yann Leo Renaud de Jomaron (hereinafter referred to as de Jomaron).

Thint Holdings (Accused 2)

7. On 21 May 1996, Thomson-CSF Holding (Southern Africa) (Pty) Ltd was incorporated in South Africa to promote the development of South African industry by entering into joint ventures.

8. Thomson Holdings had an authorized share capital of 100 ordinary shares at a nominal value of R1000.00 per share. On 27 May 1996, 85 shares were issued to Thomson-CSF (France), 10 shares to Nkobi Investments (see below) and 5 shares to Gestilac SA (Switzerland).

On 9 June 1998, the authorised share capital was increased with 17 000 1% redeemable non-cumulative preference shares at R1 000.00 per share and 14 450 shares were issued to Thomson-CSF (France).

On 26 July 1999, Gestilac SA transferred its 5 ordinary shares in Thomson Holdings to Thomson-CSF (France) for \$1 000.00 (R6 145.00). On 27 July 1999, Thomson-CSF (France) transferred its 90 ordinary shares to Thomson-CSF International (France) for R90 701.98. The effect of these transactions was that Thomson-CSF International (France) and Nkobi Investments became the only shareholders in Thomson Holdings.

Also on 27 July 1999 Thomson-CSF (France) transferred 14 450 preference shares to Thomson-CSF International (France) for R14 554 679.00.

On 29 September 1999, the authorised share capital was increased with 22 412 ordinary shares at R1 000.00 per share. On 29 September 1999, 22 412 ordinary shares were issued to Thomson-CSF International (France). On 30 July 1999, Nkobi Investments transferred its 10 ordinary shares to Thomson-CSF International (France) for R500 000.00. The effect of this transaction resulted in Thomson Holdings being wholly owned by Thomson-CSF International (France).

On 4 April 2001, Thales International (formerly Thomson-CSF International), transferred 22 512 ordinary shares and 14 450 preference shares to Thales International Africa Ltd (Mauritius), the latter company thereby replacing the former as sole shareholder of Thomson Holdings.

9. Thomson Holdings changed its name to THINT Holding (Southern Africa) (Pty) Ltd on 23 October 2003.
10. Schabir Shaik (hereinafter referred to as Shaik) was a director from the date of incorporation in 1996 to 30 September 1999, when he resigned from the board.
11. Alain Thétard (hereinafter referred to as Thétard) was appointed as a director on 1 April 1998. Thétard resigned from the board on 30 January 2002.
12. Pierre Jean-Marie Robert Moynot (hereinafter referred to as Moynot) was a director from the date of incorporation in 1996 to 1 April 1998, when he resigned from the board. He was re-appointed as a director on 1 October 2002 and he remains as such.

Thint (Pty) (Accused 3)

13. On 16 July 1996 Thomson-CSF (Pty) Ltd was incorporated in South Africa, also to promote the development of South African industry by entering into joint ventures.
14. Thomson Holdings has been the majority shareholder and Nkobi Investments the minority shareholder since 1 August 1996 when 70 shares were issued to Thomson Holdings and 30 to Nkobi Investments. On 16 September 1999 the share capital was increased and on 29 September 1999 shares were issued to Thomson Holdings and Nkobi Investments to cause Thomson Holdings to become the owner of 75% and Nkobi Investments 25% of Thomson (Pty).

15. Thomson (Pty) changed its name to THINT (Pty) Ltd on 19 August 2003.
16. Shaik was a director since the date of incorporation in 1996 to 13 June 2005 when he resigned from the board.
17. Thétard was appointed as a director on 1 April 1998 and resigned on 30 January 2002.
18. Moynot was a director from the date of incorporation in 1996 to 1 April 1998, when he resigned from the board. He was re-appointed as a director on 16 January 2004 and he remains as such.

B. THE NKOBI GROUP

Nkobi Holdings

19. Nkobi Holdings (Pty) Ltd was registered on 27 February 1995 as a holding company. It was initially wholly owned by Shaik. The shareholding subsequently went through various permutations. Shaik has an effective majority shareholding in Nkobi Holdings, through his interests in the following shareholders of Nkobi Holdings, namely Star Corp SA (Pty) Ltd, Clanwest Investments (Pty) Ltd and Floryn Investments (Pty) Ltd.
20. Nkobi Holdings' only investment is Nkobi Investments.
21. Floryn Investments is ostensibly wholly owned by Shaik. He initially intended to hold the shares as nominee or cedent for the African National Congress, which would have made the latter, from the point of

view of Shaik and/or the Nkobi group, a 10% shareholder in Nkobi Holdings.

Nkobi Investments

22. Nkobi Investments (Pty) Ltd was registered on 24 February 1995 as an investment company. It was initially wholly owned by Shaik. The shareholding went through various permutations until, on 20 August 1998, Nkobi Holdings became the sole shareholder.
23. As described above, Nkobi Investments had an initial minority shareholding in Thomson Holdings. In addition it had, and continues to have, a minority shareholding (25%) in Thomson (Pty).

Other relevant corporate entities within the Nkobi group

24. The following companies are all entities within the Nkobi group, being 100% owned by Nkobi Investments. (There are a number of other entities within the Nkobi group.)
 - a. Kobifin (Pty) Ltd
 - b. Kobitech (Pty) Ltd
 - c. Proconsult (Pty) Ltd
 - d. Pro Con Africa (Pty) Ltd
 - e. Kobitech Transport Systems (Pty) Ltd

Other relevant corporate entities

25. Shaik was the sole shareholder and a director of Clegton Investments (Pty) Ltd.

26. Shaik was the majority shareholder and sole director of Chartley Investments (Pty) Ltd.

Control of the Nkobi group and other relevant entities

27. Shaik was at all relevant times a director of, and exercised effective control over, all the corporate entities within the Nkobi group and the other relevant corporate entities mentioned above (Floryn Investments (Pty) Ltd, Clegton Investments (Pty) Ltd and Chartley Investments (Pty) Ltd).

C. AFRICAN DEFENCE SYSTEMS (PTY) LTD

28. African Defence Systems (Pty) Ltd (hereinafter also referred to as ADS) was first registered in 1967 under another name. After a history of various owners, Thomson-CSF (France) acquired 7 000 001 shares on 14 April 1998, to become a joint shareholder (50% plus one share) with Allied Technologies Ltd. On 19 February 1999 Allied Technologies Ltd transferred its shareholding in ADS to Thomson-CSF (France). On 9 June 1999 Thomson-CSF (France) transferred its shareholding in ADS to Thomson-CSF International (France). On 15 September 1999 Thomson-CSF International (France) transferred its shareholding in ADS to Thomson (Pty) (80%) and FBS Holdings (Pty) Ltd (20%).

D. ZUMA (ACCUSED 1)

29. During the period relevant to this indictment, accused 1 held several high-ranking offices both in the provincial and national executive and in the ANC. Accused 1, by virtue of the various offices he held, had the powers, both formal and *de facto* and/or duties attendant to such

offices. While it is not possible to comprehensively define these powers and duties, they included at least the various powers and duties set out below.

MEC for Economic Affairs and Tourism in KZN (May 1994 to 17 June 1999)

30. Accused 1 was a member of the KwaZulu-Natal (KZN) legislature and the Minister of Economic Affairs and Tourism for the Province of KwaZulu-Natal from May 1994.

31. As such, accused 1 was clothed in the powers of a member of the provincial Parliament (MPP) and provincial executive (MEC) *inter alia* as set out in section 125 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 (the Constitution) and the corresponding provisions of Act 200 of 1993 (the Interim Constitution) as well as powers specifically conferred upon him by the Premier of the province in terms of section 132 (2) of the Constitution. These powers included attending meetings, being privy to the discussions of and participating in the decision-making processes of the Provincial Executive Council. He also exercised political authority over the KZN Department of Economic Affairs and Tourism, which included the determination of departmental policy and confirming the appointment of certain departmental officials. He was accordingly, by virtue of his office, in a position to exercise considerable influence in the affairs of the department.

32. Less formally, accused 1 had *inter alia* the power to compose and dispatch correspondence on official ministerial letterhead carrying the weight of his office and generally to influence both local and foreign businessmen and companies intent upon doing business in KZN.

33. Both the interim and final Constitutions imposed certain duties upon accused 1 by virtue of his office as an MEC of a province. In terms of section 149 of the Interim Constitution accused 1 may not have-
- (8) taken up any other paid employment, engaged in any activities inconsistent with his membership of the Executive Council, or exposed himself to any situation which carried with it the risk of a conflict between his responsibilities as a member of the Executive Council and his private interests; or
 - (9) used his position as such to enrich himself or any other person.
34. In terms of section 136 of the (final) Constitution accused 1 may not have-
- (a) undertaken any other paid work;
 - (b) acted in any way that was inconsistent with his office, or exposed himself to any situation involving the risk of a conflict between his official responsibilities and private interests; or
 - (c) used his position or any information entrusted to him, to enrich himself or improperly benefit any other person.
35. Accused 1's duties were further circumscribed by the Code of Conduct contained in the Ministerial Handbook of 1994, which remained in force until substituted by an updated version on 5 May 1999, which contains similar provisions. In addition to explicitly compelling a Minister and/or MEC to observe and uphold the Constitution, the Code specifically provides *inter alia* that:

- 1.2 (c) Ministers shall perform their duties in the interests of the nation as a whole and in defence and promotion of the integrity of the nation, avoiding measures which would prejudice the national welfare.
- 1.3(a) All Ministers shall at all times observe practices that are free from all forms of corruption and shall not use their office, position or privileged information to distribute favours or patronage nor to seek or obtain any personal fortune or favour.
- 1.3(d) Ministers shall not play any active role in profit making institutions.
- 1.3(g) Ministers may only accept small gifts and gifts offered on official occasions, provided that they have satisfied themselves that the gifts are not being presented to influence them in an improper manner.

Deputy President of the African National Congress (December 1997 to date)

36. In December 1997 accused 1 was elected Deputy President of the African National Congress (“ANC”). Prior to this he held the position of Provincial Chairman of the ANC in KZN.
37. In terms of Rule 16.2 of the African National Congress Constitution as amended and adopted at the 50th National Conference in December 1997, the duties and functions of the Deputy President are described as follows:

“The Deputy President shall assist the President, deputise for him or her when necessary and carry out whatever functions are entrusted to

him or her by the National Conference, the President or the NEC (National Executive Committee). He or she shall be an ex-officio member of the NWC (National Working Committee).”

38. In terms of Rule 16.1 of the African National Congress Constitution as amended and adopted at the 50th National Conference in December 1997, the President has, *inter alia*, the following duties and functions:

“...He or she shall:...

- b. Make pronouncements for and on behalf of the NEC outlining and explaining the policy or attitude of the ANC on any question...
- d. Under the overall supervision of the NEC, orient and direct the activities of the ANC.”

39. In terms of Rule 26.3.2 of the African National Congress Constitution as amended and adopted at the 50th National Conference in December 1997, the following shall be regarded as serious offences:

- c. Behaving corruptly in seeking or accepting any bribe for performing or for not performing any task;
- d. Engaging in.....abuse of office to obtain.....any other undue advantage from members or others;
- e. Abuse of elected or employed office in the organization or in the State to obtain any direct or indirect undue advantage or enrichment.

40. It follows that the Deputy President of the ANC has a duty to refrain from such activities.

Deputy President of South Africa and Leader of Government Business (17 June 1999 to 14 June 2005)

41. Accused 1 was appointed as Deputy President of the Republic of South Africa and a member of the National Assembly of Parliament on 17 June 1999.
42. As Deputy President, accused 1 was in terms of section 90 of the Constitution, clothed with the powers and functions of the President (as set out in sections 84 and 85 of the Constitution) whenever the latter was out of the Republic or otherwise unable to fulfill his duties. In terms of section 91(5) of the Constitution, the Deputy President is also responsible for assisting the President in the execution of the functions of government and for executing any powers and functions assigned to him by the President in terms of section 91(2).
43. As a member of the national Cabinet, accused 1 had the power to attend meetings and be privy to the discussions of and participate in the decision-making processes of Cabinet.
44. Less formally, accused 1 had *inter alia* the power to compose and dispatch correspondence on official letterhead of the Presidency carrying the weight of that office, meet with government officials and influential persons from countries around the world and generally to exercise the influence attendant upon the holder of the second highest office in the government of this country.
45. The Constitution imposed certain duties upon accused 1 by virtue of his office as a member of the cabinet. In terms of section 96(2) of the Constitution, accused 1 may not have-
 - (a) undertaken any other paid work;

- (b) acted in any way that was inconsistent with his office, or exposed himself to any situation involving the risk of a conflict between his official responsibilities and private interests; or
 - (c) used his position or any information entrusted to him, to enrich himself or improperly benefit any other person.
46. The Code of Conduct in Regard to Financial Interests, as adopted by the Joint Meeting of the Rules Committees of the National Assembly and the Senate on 21 May 1996, applied to him in his capacity as a member of the National Assembly. In terms of paragraph 1.1 of the Code, accused 1 was duty bound to maintain the highest standards of propriety to ensure that his integrity and that of the political institutions in which he served were beyond question. In terms of paragraph 1.2, accused 1 was duty bound not to have placed himself in a position which conflicts with his responsibilities as a public representative in Parliament, nor may he have taken any improper benefit, profit or advantage from the office of Member. He was also duty bound to disclose to the Registrar of Members Interests all registrable financial interests, including outside remuneration, gifts and hospitality and any other benefit of a material nature.
47. Accused 1's duties were further circumscribed by the Code of Conduct contained in the Ministerial Handbook of 5 May 1999, which contains provisions similar to those set out in paragraph 35 above. This Code of Conduct circumscribed the conduct of Ministers until replaced by the Executive Ethics Code of 2000.
48. The Executive Ethics Code of 2000 promulgated in terms of section 2(1) of the Executive Members Ethics Act, No. 82 of 1998 applied to accused 1 in his capacity as Deputy President and member of

Cabinet. It too imposed a duty on accused 1 to maintain the highest standards of ethical propriety and expanded on his constitutional duties by prohibiting him from, *inter alia*:

- (a) willfully misleading the legislature;
- (b) undertaking any other paid work;
- (c) acting in any way that is inconsistent with his office;
- (d) exposing himself to any situation involving the risk of a conflict between his official responsibilities and his private interests;
- (e) using his position or any information entrusted to him, to enrich himself or improperly benefit any other person; or
- (f) acting in a way that may compromise the credibility or integrity of his office or of the government.

49. The Executive Ethics Code furthermore imposed a duty on accused 1 to disclose the same financial interests to the Secretary of Cabinet as he was obliged to disclose to Parliament and, in addition, to disclose his liabilities.

50. In June 1999 accused 1 was also appointed Leader of Government Business in the National Assembly of Parliament in terms of section 91(4) of the Constitution. His functions included acting as a link between the executive and parliament and ensuring that legislation emanating from the executive reached parliament on time.

E. ACCUSED 1'S BENEFIT FROM SHAIK, THE NKOKI GROUP, THINT AND OTHER RELEVANT ENTITIES

General Corruption

51. Accused 1 to 3, Shaik and the companies comprising the Nkobi group ("the Nkobi Group") formed a common purpose to bribe accused 1 through an ongoing series of payments from Shaik and the Nkobi Group to and on behalf of Zuma, as set out in the **schedule**, and through various services provided free of charge by Shaik and the Nkobi Group to accused 1, as described more fully hereunder. The common purpose between accused 1, Shaik and the Nkobi Group was formed on or before 25 October 1995 and accused 2 and 3 became party to this common purpose.
52. Shaik and/or the relevant corporate entities within the Nkobi group and/or the other relevant corporate entities have benefited accused 1 in the period 25 October 1995 to 1 July 2005 through 783 payments totaling **R4 072 499.85 (Four million and seventy two thousand four hundred and ninety nine Rand and eighty five cents)**, as set out in the **schedule** (hereinafter referred to as the "**schedule benefits**"). This was by way of payments from Shaik and/or the relevant corporate entities within the Nkobi group and/or the other relevant corporate entities to accused 1 and various parties for the benefit of accused 1.
53. On 28 February 1999 an amount of R1 282 027.63 was irregularly written off in the Nkobi accounting records (Kobifin (Pty) Ltd) under the description of "Development costs of Prodiba". Included in the amount so written-off as development costs were various amounts paid to accused 1 totaling R283 451.16. The balance represented amounts that Shaik and Floryn Investments (Pty) Ltd owed to the Nkobi group. This resulted in the misrepresentation of the 1999 Annual Financial

Statements of Kobifin (Pty) Ltd, in that accounts receivable or director's and/or related third party loans were understated. Alternatively, retained income was overstated. More importantly, the record of the bulk of the payments to/on behalf of accused 1 up to that date, and any obligation by accused 1 to repay such amounts as may have been said to exist, were effectively expunged from the books of the Nkobi Group.

54. As a result of the questioning of Nkobi's external auditors (Davis Strachan and Taylor – "DS&T") by DSO investigators in 2002, the write-off of the loan accounts as Development Costs was declared to be a "fundamental error" in terms of a letter issued by DS&T on 11 February 2003. Several of the payments so written off were consequently reversed in the 2002 Annual Financial Statements of Kobifin (Pty) Ltd. However, only R68 818.66 of the payments identified to and on behalf of accused 1, originally included in the amount written off, formed part of the reversal. In the result, identified payments to/on behalf of accused 1 totaling R214 632.50 remain written off in the books of the Nkobi Group, despite the reversal in the 2002 restated annual financial statements.
55. The schedule payments to accused 1 make no legitimate business sense, in that neither Shaik, the Nkobi group, nor the other relevant entities could afford the payments, being at all times in a cash-starved position (at least until August 2004), relying on and at times exceeding bank overdrafts and thus effectively borrowing money from banks at the prevailing interest rates to make the said payments interest free. On the other hand, the group's survival depended upon obtaining profitable new business, *inter alia*, with the assistance of accused 1, and also accused 2 and 3.

56. Furthermore, and whether the loans were affordable or not, it was not the Nkobi group's legitimate business to make payments to accused 1 or other politicians.
57. Even during the period August 2004 to 1 July 2005, the average positive cash balance of the group was substantially less than the cumulative schedule payments to accused 1.
58. Some of the schedule payments are described and/or treated in certain Nkobi documents as loans, although the treatment is inconsistent. The final accounting treatment of R1 137 722.48 of the total payments of R4 072 499.85 does not reflect the payments as loans. However, the schedule payments were intended by Shaik, the Nkobi Group and the present accused as bribes, whatever their description.
59. Even if the abovementioned schedule payments could properly be regarded as loans, they nevertheless amounted to "benefits" within the meaning of sections 1(1)(a) and (b) of Act no 94 of 1992 and "gratifications" within the meaning of sections 1, 3(a) and (b) and 10(a) and (b) of Act no 12 of 2004, as explained more fully below. The schedule benefits were paid to accused 1 in circumstances where he would not have been able to obtain such funding commercially. The following features of the payments constitute benefits to accused 1:
 - a. The facility of providing the funds to accused 1 is itself a benefit.
 - b. Inasmuch as the funds may have been provided interest-free, this is a benefit.
 - c. Inasmuch as interest may have purported to have been charged, interest payments were deferred. This is a benefit.

- d. Inasmuch as capital payments may have purported to have been due, these were deferred. This is a benefit.
 - e. The funds were paid without security. This is not a usual commercial practice with banks, more especially in respect of a customer with accused 1's risk profile. This accordingly constitutes a benefit.
 - f. An appraisal of a debtor's ability to repay a loan is a matter of some importance to banks and other commercial sources of credit. Inasmuch as accused 1's ability to repay the money that Shaik and/or Nkobi advanced to him was and remains seriously questionable, the "loans" are not commercial and they benefit accused 1. The notional interest payments alone are beyond any legitimate means of repayment available to accused 1.
 - g. Despite Nkobi's precarious position with the banks, Shaik and Nkobi made no effort to recover any of the payments from accused 1. This failure to demand repayment is itself a benefit to accused 1. Shaik did not intend to enforce the terms of the "loan" and neither has he done so. This is a benefit.
60. The abovementioned benefits are hereinafter referred to as the **"facility benefits"**.
61. Shaik acted as accused 1's financial adviser and/or special economic adviser without charging any fee or demanding any lawful remuneration for this service. In this capacity Shaik provided the following types of services free of charge:
- a. Managing accused 1's financial affairs;

- b. Corresponding with and meeting accused 1's creditors;
 - c. Negotiating with accused 1's creditors and their legal representatives;
 - d. Corresponding with, meeting and dealing with accused 1's bankers, and introducing accused 1 to new bankers and services;
 - e. Providing legal advice and services to accused 1 through Shaik's/Nkobi's attorneys;
 - f. Utilizing the administrative, secretarial and personnel resources of the Nkobi group for managing the affairs of accused 1 and his family;
 - g. Attending to the accommodation requirements of accused 1;
 - h. Attending to the financial and other affairs of accused 1's family members;
 - i. Assisting accused 1 to complete his tax returns;
 - j. Assisting accused 1 to complete the prescribed declarations of interests to Parliament and to the Secretary to the Cabinet.
62. The abovementioned services, provided free of charge, constitute benefits to accused 1 (hereinafter referred to as the "**service benefits**").

General corruption giving rise to the specific corruption

63. During 1998, accused 1 intervened and assisted Shaik, the Nkobi group and the Thomson-CSF group to resolve a dispute that had arisen regarding Nkobi's participation with accused 3 in the acquisition of ADS. The details are described more fully below.
64. From the point of view of Nkobi, this was an instance of obtaining the assistance of accused 1 to ensure the group's survival by obtaining profitable new business.
65. From the point of view of accused 1, this was an instance of him using his powers as MEC and/or Deputy President of the ANC to further the private business interests of Shaik and the Nkobi Group.
66. From the Thomson-CSF perspective, this was an instance of obtaining advance political support, approval or assurance from accused 1 for its choice of South African partners to gain an advantage over its bidding competitors for business relating to the arms deal described below.
67. Accused 1's assistance as described, and his anticipated assistance relating to the arms deal was informal and it did not form part of the official bidding/selection process.
68. The abovementioned dispute was resolved in principle with accused 1's assistance during 1998. The legalities pursuant to the agreement in principle regarding the restructuring that ensured Nkobi's participation in ADS with accused 3, were completed in September 1999.
69. On 9 September 1999, Patricia de Lille gave notice in Parliament that she wished to table a motion regarding alleged irregularities in the arms deal. The Presidency immediately issued a statement denying

accused 1's involvement. De Lille's motion was tabled in Parliament on 21 September 1999. The matter received extensive publicity.

70. Also at about this time and on 28 September 1999, the Minister of Defence approved the arms deal as a subject for the Auditor General's special review.

Specific corruption

71. In the period 1999 to 2000, accused 2 and 3 (acting through Thétard) and/or Thomson-CSF (France) and/or Thomson-CSF International (France) and/or Thales International Africa Ltd (Mauritius) (acting through Perrier and/or de Jomaron) conspired with Shaik and his Nkobi group and accused 1, to pay accused 1 the amount of R500 000 per annum (hereinafter referred to as "the **annual benefits**") as a bribe in exchange for accused 1's protection of the Thomson-CSF group in respect of the investigation into the corvettes ("Project Sitron") part of the arms deal and for his support of the Thomson-CSF group for future projects. These annual payments were to continue until the first payment of dividends by ADS.
72. Shaik, also acting on accused 1's behalf, had met with Thétard on 30 September 1999 to convey accused 1's request for a bribe to Thétard. Thereafter, and also in furtherance of the common purpose to achieve the objectives of the conspiracy to pay and receive the bribe and to secure accused 1's protection of and support for the Thomson-CSF group as described, contact between the conspirators continued. This was by way of correspondence and meetings between Shaik, Thétard (acting as director of accused 2 and 3 and as the representative of the Thomson-CSF group in South Africa), accused 1, Perrier and/or de Jomaron.

73. It was agreed between the parties that the bribes would not be paid directly to accused 1, but that some method of payment would be employed that was calculated to disguise the true nature of the payments so as to avoid detection. Consequently, during the period late 2000 to early 2001, Kobifin (Pty) Ltd entered into a so-called “service provider agreement” with Thomson-CSF International Africa Ltd in Mauritius, as a device to conceal or disguise the true nature and source of the payment of the bribe. In terms of the agreement, remuneration was to be paid in installments of R250 000. The first two installments were initially due before the end of December 2000 and on 28 February 2001 respectively. Shaik stipulated that the total remuneration was to be R1 million.
74. Accused 1 needed funds to pay for the development of his traditional residential village estate at Nkandla in rural northern KZN. Plans for the development were dated March 2000. The development commenced in approximately July 2000. The final tender amount agreed to was R1 340 000 (after the development was commenced with). The development was finalized during March 2001. Various arrangements were made during the construction and subsequently to provide finance on accused 1’s behalf. At no stage during construction and thereafter has accused 1 been able to settle the outstanding amount or obtain finance without the intervention and assistance of third parties, including arrangements for payment through Shaik in accordance with the agreement to disguise payments to accused 1 described above.
75. On 16 February 2001, R249 725.00 was transferred from Thales International Africa – Mauritius to the ABSA current account of Kobitech (Pty) Ltd as a first payment in pursuance of the abovementioned scheme.

76. Within eight days (on 24 February 2001) and in furtherance of the common purpose to bribe accused 1, Kobitech (Pty) Ltd paid R250 000 to Development Africa, a trust to which accused 1 was indebted to the sum of R 1 million, in reduction of accused 1's liability to Development Africa.
77. At the same time, Kobitech (Pty) Ltd issued three post-dated cheques, with numbers sequential to the first paid cheque of R250 000, each also in the amount of R250 000 and each in favour of Development Africa.
78. The payment to Development Africa and the issuing of the post-dated cheques were for the credit of accused 1.
79. On 19 April 2001 Kobitech (Pty) Ltd requested ABSA Bank to stop payment on the three cheques each for R250 000 in favour of Development Africa.
80. On 4 September 2001 Shaik received a deposit of R175 000 from Kobitech (Pty) Ltd. On 5 September 2001 a cheque to the value of R125 000 was drawn against Shaik's account in favour of Development Africa. On 17 September 2001 a further cheque of R125 000 was drawn against Shaik's account in favour of Development Africa.
81. The schedule and/or facility and/or service and/or annual benefits received and/or to be received by accused 1 as aforementioned from or on behalf of Shaik and/or the other relevant corporate entities, as set out above, constituted benefits within the meaning of section 1(1) of Act 94 of 1992 which were not legally due to accused 1.

82. The schedule and/or facility and/or service and/or annual benefits received and/or to be received by accused 1 as aforementioned from or on behalf of Shaik and/or the other relevant corporate entities, as set out above, also constituted gratifications within the meaning of section 1 of Act 12 of 2004, as explained more fully below.
83. The benefits advanced to accused 1, for all the reasons mentioned above, were corruptly made in furtherance of an ongoing scheme and common purpose to influence accused 1 to use his office or position to advance the private business interests of Shaik and/or the Thomson-CSF group, including accused 2 and 3 and/or to reward accused 1 for so doing.

F. THE PRIVATE BUSINESS INTERESTS OF SHAIK, THE NKOBI GROUP AND THE THOMSON-CSF GROUP

General

84. Nkobi's main business was to enter into joint ventures with local and foreign companies with a view to obtaining lucrative government contracts. Shaik made it clear that Nkobi's role in joint ventures with other partners was to provide political connections (as opposed to financial resources or technical expertise). It was generally well understood that the political connection was so strong from Shaik's side that there was no need for Nkobi to provide the money or the expertise. Shaik's political connections included pre-eminently his connection with accused 1, which in turn was founded also on accused 1's financial dependence on Shaik. Accused 1 well knew that Shaik's ability to continue financially supporting him depended on Shaik's business success, including his success with accused 2 and 3 and their joint ventures. Shaik accords specific prominence to his

relationship with accused 1 in promotional material relating to the Nkobi group.

Joint ventures between the Thomson and Nkobi groups

85. Shareholders agreements were entered into between the Thomson and Nkobi groups on 22 May and 17 July 1996. In terms of these agreements, Thomson business in South Africa conducted through accused 3 would be in partnership with Nkobi.
86. Consequently, Thomson and Nkobi were joint venture partners (together with Denel) in obtaining the award of the contract for credit card type drivers' licences during 1996 – 1997 (the Prodiba joint venture).
87. There were a number of joint ventures and possible future joint ventures between Nkobi and Thomson, including projects in the following public sector fields: transport, tourism, justice, finance, prisons, hospitals, water, the Durban airport, the ID card contract, the N2, N3 and N4 road projects, the third cellular telephone network, the arms deal set out below and other military deals, and smart card technology.

The Arms Deal

88. In the design for the South African Defence Force, which was recommended in the Defence Review, various types of military equipment were identified as being required by the Force.
89. In order to procure the said military equipment, requests for information were submitted on 23 September 1997 to various other countries, and after receipt of such information by the closing date of 31 October 1997, requests for offers were issued to short listed potential suppliers.

90. The process to procure the various types of equipment was generally known as the Strategic Defence Package Acquisition Programme, or the arms deal.

The Formal and Informal Processes

91. The formal evaluation of the competing bidders for contracts arising from the arms deal was conducted through an ostensibly rigorous and scientific evaluation process conducted by various committees of military and other experts and representatives of the various interested bodies. The final authority for awarding the contracts resided in an *ad hoc* committee of cabinet ministers chaired by the then Deputy President Mbeki.
92. However, a separate and parallel process of informal meetings and communications occurred (hereinafter referred to as the “informal process”) in which persons and entities interested in participating in the contracts sought to glean information about the process and exert influence, directly or indirectly, on formal decision makers. This also held true in relation to the corvette program (Project Sitron).
93. Through this informal process, it became known at an early stage, even before the award and adjudication processes were completed, to a select group of persons and entities (including Shaik, Thomson-CSF and accused 2 and 3) that ADS was likely to be awarded the contract for the supply of the combat suite for the corvettes.

Efforts by Thomson CSF to position itself to participate in the Corvette Contract

94. The need to upgrade the capacity of the navy's surface vessels was identified as early as 1993. A process to acquire such vessels was launched and reached an advanced stage, but was subsequently rejected by cabinet in favour of a more comprehensive process to update equipment throughout the armed services. As part of this comprehensive update, the acquisition of 4 patrol corvettes was approved.
95. Thomson-CSF was anxious to participate in this contract, preferably as a primary contractor, failing which as a supplier of the combat suite. To this end, it set about as early as 1995 to acquire an interest in ADS, which it believed to be the favoured supplier of the combat suite, through accused 2 and 3.

The Selection of the Correct BEE Partner

96. Thomson-CSF, and subsequently accused 2 and 3, were of the view that in order to ensure their participation in the combat suite through ADS, it was essential to acquire a local black economic empowerment (BEE) partner. However, it was considered vital to select the "right" BEE partner. The major criterion for the selection of such a partner was its political connectivity (whether this was founded on one or more corrupt relationships of mutual support or otherwise), since Thomson-CSF, and subsequently accused 2 and 3, were of the view that the final decisions for the award of such contracts are always taken at a political level. At the time of the joint venture agreement described above, Nkobi was regarded as a BEE partner which met this criterion, *inter alia* because of the corrupt relationship between Shaik and accused 1.

97. However, at approximately the same time as the request for information was submitted by the South African government to foreign suppliers in September 1997, Thomson-CSF became concerned that its choice of Nkobi as its South African partner for the proposed acquisition of ADS (all with a view to successfully bidding for the combat suites as described), did not carry the approval of influential figures within the South African government. It was thus decided that the shares in ADS would be acquired directly by Thomson-CSF (France) and not by accused 3, to the exclusion of Nkobi, until the issue of suitable local partners could be resolved. This decision to exclude Nkobi was despite the shareholders' agreement between Thomson and Nkobi. Consequently, Thomson-CSF (France) acquired 50% plus one share of ADS on 14 April 1998.
98. Due to the uncertainty of accused 2 and 3 as to the suitability of Nkobi as the correct BEE partner, accused 2 and 3 entered into negotiations with various other potential BEE partners, including a company styled Coordinated Network Investments (Pty) Ltd ("CNI", led by one Reuel Khoza) and Futuristic Business Solutions (Pty) Ltd ("FBS", led by the former Chief of the SANDF, General Lambert Moloi)

The Resolution of the BEE Dilemma

99. In response to the request for offers, *inter alia* for corvettes, the German Frigate Consortium submitted an offer dated 11 May 1998 to supply the corvettes. The bid included ADS as the proposed supplier of the combat suites. It was also proposed in the bid that ADS would join the joint venture as a consortium partner in the final contract.
100. As indicated above, Nkobi was at this stage excluded from ADS. Thomson-CSF, on the other hand, was urgently seeking the informal

political support it considered necessary to improve its chances of a successful bid involving ADS.

101. Moynot, as the then director of accused 2 and 3, suggested as early as 28 November 1997 that a meeting between Perrier and accused 1 should be sought, *inter alia* to resolve the issue of informal approval.
102. Similarly, on 17 March 1998, Shaik indicated that accused 1 wished to meet Perrier to resolve the issue.
103. The resolution of the issue would include the prospect that Nkobi should participate in ADS and ultimately share in the profits that were to be derived from the arms deal. The prospective benefit to Nkobi would also enable it to continue supporting accused 1.
104. Apart from the German Frigate Consortium, the South African government also received offers from the other short-listed parties. After the closing date for the receipt of offers on 13 May 1998, the next step in the official process was to select a preferred bidder. The Strategic Offers Committee met on 1 and 2 July 1998 for this purpose and specifically to consolidate the scores of the various technical teams that were evaluating various aspects of each bid.
105. Accused 1 met Perrier in London on 2 July 1998 with Shaik, in accordance with both Thomson's and Shaik's wishes. Thomson required accused 1's approval of its ADS partner. Shaik required his approval of Nkobi as such ADS partner in order to cause Thomson to reverse its decision to exclude Nkobi from the ADS acquisition.
106. Accused 1 indicated that he approved of Nkobi as a suitable partner and it was decided in principle to reverse the earlier Thomson decision to exclude it from ADS.

107. Chippy Shaik is Shaik's brother. He was at this time Chief of Acquisitions in the department of defence and as such he directed and participated in the arms acquisition process. Thétard sought a meeting with him on 3 July 1998, which was held on 8 July 1998. During this meeting, Chippy Shaik indicated that he was aware of the meeting in London on 2 July 1998 between accused 1, Perrier and Shaik at which accused 1 had indicated his approval for Nkobi as a partner with Thomson in ADS.
108. The resolution of the dispute between Thomson and Nkobi was taken further, once again with the assistance of accused 1, at a meeting on 18 November 1998 at the Nkobi offices in Durban. The formalities of the earlier agreement were decided upon. In terms of the agreement reached on 18 November 1998, Thomson-CSF (France) would sell to Nkobi Investments, through accused 3, an effective shareholding in ADS. The result of this was that Nkobi Investments would become a joint venture partner with Thomson in the German Frigate Consortium bid and so joined the successful bidder in the corvette bid. The ADS portion of the corvette contract was worth R1,3 billion, with R450 million coming directly to ADS and the balance going to sub-contractors. In the result, the Nkobi and Thomson groups stood to benefit from profits arising from the corvette contract.
109. In addition to Nkobi, accused 2 and 3 also included FBS as a 20% direct empowerment partner in ADS. CNI was ultimately excluded from participation.
110. The German Frigate Consortium bid was approved as the preferred bidder by the South African cabinet on 18 November 1998.

111. The actual transactions involving the sale of shares were registered in 1999. The most relevant transaction is that of 15 September 1999, when Thomson-CSF International (France) transferred 25 500 000 shares in ADS to Thomson (Pty), giving Thomson (Pty) 80% of ADS and consequently Nkobi Investments an indirect 20% interest in ADS.
112. A negotiating phase between the South African government and the German Frigate Consortium as the preferred bidder followed after 18 November 1998. The final contract was signed on 3 December 1999 between the government and a new consortium named the European South Africa Patrol Corvette Consortium (ESAPCC). This new consortium included ADS as a principal contractor to supply the combat suites, as originally proposed in the German Frigate Consortium bid.

Protection against investigations pertaining to alleged irregularities in respect of the arms deal

113. During November 1998, the Defence Audit Centre of the Office of the Auditor-General identified the procurement of the Strategic Defence Package Acquisition Programme as a high-risk area from an audit point of view and decided on the need to perform a special review of the procurement process. On 28 September 1999 the Minister of Defence, MPG Lekota, approved the Auditor General's audit review into the procurement process.
114. Questions relating to alleged irregularities in the Strategic Defence Package Acquisition Programme arose from September 1999. These were raised in the press and in Parliament. Allegations of corruption in respect of the award of the contract for the corvette programme were raised in the media from February 2000. The allegations of corruption

included allegations against Chippy Shaik, Schabir Shaik, accused 1, ADS and Thomson CSF.

115. As the matter progressed, the South African government eventually faced requests to appoint the Heath Special Investigation Unit to investigate irregularities relating to the arms deal. This was endorsed by the Parliamentary Standing Committee for Public Accounts (SCOPA), whose 14th report recommending a joint investigation into the arms deal process by the Auditor General, the Public Protector, the Scorpions and the Heath Commission was adopted by Parliament on 3 November 2000. The report *inter alia* specifically raises concerns regarding the possible role played by “influential parties” in determining the choice of subcontractors (such as ADS) by prime contractors. The Heath Special Investigation Unit was a statutory agency mandated to investigate irregularities and had the power, in terms of the applicable legislation and the due process prescribed, to seek to set aside contracts tainted by irregularities.
116. There was a perception on the part of Shaik, the Nkobi group and accused 2 and 3 that it would be in their interests to be protected against such investigations, *inter alia*, because they did not wish it to be discovered that accused 1 had intervened informally to assist in the resolution of the ADS dispute for the benefit of the Nkobi and Thomson groups, whilst receiving payments from Shaik and the Nkobi group. As mentioned above, the bribe of R500 000 per annum was in exchange for, *inter alia*, accused 1’s protection.
117. In a letter dated 19 January 2001, signed in his capacity as “Leader of Government Business” in Parliament, accused 1 addressed a long letter to Gavin Woods, then chairperson of the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Public Accounts. It included the contention that there was no need for the Heath Unit to be involved in any investigation of

the arms deal. The letter was also copied to the various prime contractors, one of which was the German Frigate Consortium, which included Thomson CSF and ADS.

Furthering other private business interests of the accused

118. The main business of the Nkobi group was to enter into joint ventures with local and foreign businesses in order to secure contracts in the public sphere. Shaik and the Nkobi group sought to secure business partners and business opportunities primarily by trading on Shaik's political connectivity. This was done in three main ways:

- Firstly by advertising Shaik's "political connectivity" with *inter alia* accused 1, for instance by advertising his relationship as "financial advisor" or "special economic advisor" to accused 1 in his various offices;
- Secondly by relying on accused 1 to meet or correspond with prospective business partners in order to advance his/its private business interests; and
- Thirdly by threatening prospective business partners, explicitly or impliedly, that he would resort to accused 1 if they did not act in accordance with Shaik's wishes.

119. Accused 1 allowed Shaik to act in this manner as a result of the schedule and/or facility and/or service benefits received from Shaik and the Nkobi group, acting in common purpose with accused 2 and 3.

120. In addition to the ADS instance described above, Shaik relied on accused 1's name and/or assistance in negotiations or communications with or in respect of (*inter alia*) the following :

- a. David Wilson of the Renong Group of Malaysia concerning the Point Development
- b. Peter Watt of Altron
- c. Deva Ponnoosami of Southern Crest Marketing Services and Professor John Lennon of Glasgow Caledonian University concerning the Eco-Tourism School
- d. Thomson-CSF France
- e. Kuwaiti businessmen Ali and Fouad Al-Ghanim
- f. The establishment of an Nkobi Bank
- g. Kent and Jeffrey Crane of Crane (Africa)(Pty) Ltd, and James Roth of Roth International (USA)
- h. Hakim Belo-Osagie - Chairman of United Bank for Africa PLC (Nigeria)
- i. Grant Scriven of Venson PLC (UK)
- j. Jean-Marc Pizano of ATE
- k. The Indonesia – South Africa Business Club
- l. Haryono Eddyarto of PT Surveyor Indonesia
- m. The Cyprus Development Bank Limited
- n. Ron Coopersmith (USA)
- o. Bruce Allen Johnson of the Hudson Institute (USA)
- p. IGT (USA)
- q. Peter Shortt of the International Business and Development Group (UK)
- r. John S Kendall of Unisys Corporation (USA)
- s. John Chettle of Freedman, Kroll and Simonds (USA)
- t. Dr Khalid Abdullah Tarique Al-Mansour
- u. PT Surveyor Indonesia
- v. Queen City Properties (Pty) Limited
- w. PFI Briefing
- x. Wilberforce Institute
- y. Aids project

- z. Her Majesty's Consul
- aa. Procon Fischer (Pty) Limited
- bb. Professor Green Thompson

CHAPTER 2: THE ALTERNATIVE CHARGES TO COUNTS 2 AND 3**AND WHEREAS*****Applicability of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No 12 of 2004***

121. The Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No 12 of 2004 (hereinafter also referred to as **the New Act**) was assented to and commenced on 27 April 2004.
122. Section 36 of the New Act makes provision for transitional arrangements.

Gratification

123. The schedule and/or service and/or facility benefits received and/or to be received by or on behalf of accused 1 as aforementioned from Shaik and/or the other relevant corporate entities, as set out above, constituted gratification as defined in section 1 of the New Act, which was not legally due to accused 1.
124. Such gratification was unauthorized by accused 1's employer.

General offence of corruption

125. Accused 1 accepted and/or agreed and/or offered to accept such gratification in order to act and/or omit to act, either personally by influencing another person so to act, in the manner described in section 3 of the New Act, to wit, by furthering and/or having furthered the interests of Shaik and/or the entities associated with Shaik and/or

the Thomson-CSF group and/or accused 2 and/or accused 3 as set out above.

126. Accused 2 and 3 were, together with Shaik and the Nkobi group of companies, party to a common purpose to give and/or agree and/or offer to give such gratification to accused 1 in order that accused 1 act and/or omit to act, either personally or by influencing another person so to act, in the manner described in section 3 of the New Act, as set out above. Such common purpose arose before or during or about May 1996 and continued until at least 1 July 2005.
127. Neither the acceptance of or agreement or offer to accept the gratification, nor the giving or agreement or offer to give the gratification can be linked to any lawful authority or excuse on the part of the accused.
128. Accused 1 was, by virtue of his position of Deputy President, member of cabinet and leader of government business, party to an employment relationship at all material times from the date of commencement of the New Act until his dismissal on 14 June 2005.
129. Accused 1 accepted and/or agreed and/or offered to accept the gratification in relation to the exercise, carrying out or performance of his powers, duties and/or functions within the scope of his employment relationship as described above.
130. Accused 2 and 3 were, together with Shaik and the Nkobi group of companies, party to a common purpose to give and/or agree and/or offer to give such gratification to accused 1 in relation to the exercise, carrying out or performance of Accused 1's powers, duties and/or functions within the scope of his employment relationship as described

above. Such common purpose arose before or during or about May 1996 and continued until at least 1 July 2005.

CHAPTER 3: THE RACKETEERING CHARGE (COUNT 1)

AND WHEREAS

A. RACKETEERING OFFENCES IN GENERAL

131. The **Prevention of Organised Crime Act, No. 121 of 1998**, (POCA) includes provisions in Chapter 2 that make it a crime to engage in racketeering.
132. Section 1(1) of POCA states that an “**enterprise**” includes any individual, partnership, corporation, association, or other juristic person or legal entity, and any union or group of individuals associated in fact, although not a juristic person or legal entity”.
133. Section 1(1) of POCA states that ““**[P]attern of racketeering activity**” means the planned, ongoing, continuous or repeated participation or involvement in any offence referred to in Schedule 1 and includes at least two offences referred to in Schedule 1, of which one of the offences occurred after the commencement of this Act and the last offence occurred within 10 years (excluding any period of imprisonment) after the commission of such prior offence referred to in Schedule 1.”

B. THE ENTERPRISE

134. Nkobi Investments (Pty) Ltd (“Nkobi Investments”) was an enterprise within the meaning of Section 1(1) of POCA, being a corporation within the meaning of section 1(1) of POCA.

135. Consequently and for the purposes of the present charges, it is alleged that the Enterprise comprised of the corporation Nkobi Investments (“the Enterprise”).
136. At all material times, Shaik was a director, CEO and controlling shareholder of Nkobi Investments and the Nkobi group and, as such, Shaik managed the Enterprise.
137. The Enterprise had its main offices at Suite 502, Victoria Main Building, 71 Victoria Embankment, Durban.
138. The Enterprise through its subsidiaries and associated companies, as more specifically set forth above in the General Preamble, operated as an ostensibly legitimate group of companies, whose main business was to form joint ventures with foreign and local businesses, more particularly as a “Black Economic Empowerment” (“BEE”) partner, and so to bid for lucrative contracts, primarily in the public sphere.
139. The Enterprise and its subsidiaries had little to offer in the way of capital or expertise and relied primarily on Shaik’s much heralded “political connectivity” to attract partners with these resources and to secure an advantage over any competitors in obtaining contracts.
140. Apart from the legitimate objectives of the Enterprise, it also pursued certain illegitimate objectives, as set out further below, acting both in its own capacity as well as through various subsidiaries and associated companies and persons, including Shaik and the accused.

C. THE OBJECTS AND METHODS OF THE ENTERPRISE

In addition to the objects set forth in the General Preamble, the objects of the Enterprise included, but were not limited to the following:

141. To cultivate and maintain corrupt relationships with persons in positions of political power and high government office, including accused no. 1, in order to cultivate “political connectivity”;
142. To make payments to and on behalf of such persons in return for their services in advancing the interests of the Enterprise as and when required;
143. It was part of the objectives of the Enterprise that it, its employees, and persons and entities associated with it, would conceal or disguise payments to persons of political power and high government office, including accused 1;
144. It was nevertheless also part of the objectives of the Enterprise to procure funding or future funding from potential business partners, as described in paragraph 147 below, through its reliance on political connectivity, with the intention of enabling the Enterprise, its subsidiary and associated companies and Shaik to maintain the payments to persons of political power and high government office, including accused 1, in return for their services in advancing the interests of the Enterprise and its business partners as and when required;
145. To advertise political connectivity to or create the perception of political connectivity in the minds of potential business partners and thereby to induce, persuade or intimidate such partners to enter into, and maintain, joint venture agreements with the enterprise and on terms

favourable to the enterprise and thereby also to enable the Enterprise to maintain its payments to persons of political power and high government office;

146. To attempt, through corrupt means, to secure lucrative contracts in the public sphere, either alone or through joint venture partnerships with other business partners;
147. To secure financing in the form of capital investments and loans to fund the operations of the enterprise;
148. To preserve and protect the enterprise, its members and its profits through the use of political connections. Such preservation and protection included protection against official investigations and/or prosecution;
149. Nevertheless to maintain the appearance that the Enterprise was a legitimate business.

D. PERSONS AND ENTITIES EMPLOYED BY OR ASSOCIATED WITH THE ENTERPRISE AND WHO PARTICIPATED IN THE CONDUCT OF ITS AFFAIRS

These included *inter alios*:

Accused 1

150. Accused 1 was employed by, alternatively associated with, the Enterprise:
151. The series of benefits paid by the Enterprise and its subsidiaries and associates to or on behalf of accused 1 over a period of nearly 10

years amounted to a salary in the form of a retainer, in return for which accused 1 would perform services for the Enterprise as and when required. This amounted to accused 1's *de facto* employment by the Enterprise within the meaning of the Act.

152. Alternatively and in any event, accused 1 was associated with the Enterprise in one or more of the following manners:

- Through his close and longstanding association with Shaik, the manager of the enterprise;
- Through Shaik's position as his personal financial advisor and/or special economic advisor;
- Through the schedule benefits which he received from the Enterprise.
- Through the service and facility benefits which the enterprise provided to him;
- Through his *de facto* shareholding in the Enterprise;
- Through the "political connectivity" which he provided to the Enterprise; and/or
- Through the services which he performed on behalf of the enterprise.

153. In one or both of these capacities, accused 1 participated in the conduct, directly or indirectly, of the Enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity, to wit by:

- Advising, counselling and/or assisting Shaik in the management or conduct of the Enterprise's affairs as described in paragraph C above;
- Corruptly accepting the schedule benefits set out in the **Schedule** and more fully described below, each payment constituting a separate racketeering act;
- Corruptly accepting the facility benefits more fully described below;

- Corruptly accepting the service benefits more fully described below;
- Corruptly agreeing to accept the annual benefits until the first payment of ADS dividends;
- Furthering and/or attempting and/or agreeing to further the business of the Enterprise;
- Protecting and/or attempting and/or agreeing to protect the Enterprise from criminal investigation and/or prosecution;
- Concealing the fact that he received benefits, payments, and/or advantages from the Enterprise and/or its subsidiaries or other associates of the Enterprise; and/or
- Concealing that he committed or omitted to do any act in relation to his powers and/or duties to further the interests of the Enterprise.

Accused 2 and 3

154. Accused 2 and 3 were associated with the Enterprise in that they were joint venture partners with the Enterprise in the ADS combat suite contract and with a subsidiary of the Enterprise in the Prodiba drivers' licence contract. They were also complicit in the Enterprise's payments to accused 1 with a view to benefiting from the Enterprise's resultant "political connectivity".
155. Accused 2 and 3 participated in the conduct of the Enterprise's affairs, directly or indirectly, through a pattern of racketeering activity, to wit by:
- Advising, counselling and/or assisting Shaik in the management or conduct of the Enterprise's affairs as described in paragraph C above;
 - Making common purpose with the Enterprise in corruptly providing the schedule and/or service and/or facility benefits described above;

- Facilitating the Enterprise's entrée into the Prodiba and combat suite contracts;
- Enabling the Enterprise to conduct its affairs, including its illegitimate affairs, by providing it with the necessary capital, which also enabled the Enterprise to continue its schedule payments to accused 1;
- Corruptly entering into an agreement with Shaik and/or the Enterprise and accused 1 to pay accused 1 a bribe of the annual benefits until the first payment of ADS dividends;
- Entering into a money laundering agreement, arrangement or transaction with the Enterprise in order to disguise the nature, source disposition or movement of the monies paid to or for accused 1 in pursuance of the said bribe agreement and/or to enable or assist the Enterprise and accused 1 to avoid prosecution; and/or
- Paying a sum of R250 000 to the Enterprise for the benefit of accused 1 in pursuance of the said bribe agreement.

E. PATTERN OF RACKETEERING ACTIVITY

156. In furtherance of the objectives of the Enterprise and in order to secure the necessary political connectivity, benefits were provided to a number of politicians and holders of high government office, in particular accused 1, through the Enterprise.

157. More specifically, benefits provided through the Enterprise included, but were not limited to:

- Corruptly paying the schedule benefits to or on behalf of accused 1, each payment constituting a separate racketeering act;
- Corruptly providing to accused 1 the facility benefits;
- Corruptly providing to accused 1 the service benefits;

- Corruptly entering into an agreement with accused 1 to 3 to pay accused 1 the annual benefits until the first payment of ADS dividends;
- Concealing the giving and receipt of benefits to or on behalf of accused 1 and any acts performed or omitted by accused 1 for or on behalf of the Enterprise.

158. One or more of the accused, individually or together, committed the racketeering acts set forth below and the alternatives thereto.

F. RACKETEERING ACTS

RACKETEERING ACT 1:

(In respect of accused 1):

CORRUPTION in contravention of section 1(1)(b) read with section 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992. [**SERVICE AND FACILITY BENEFITS**]

IN THAT during the period 25 October 1995 to 1 July 2005 and at or near Durban in the district of Durban, accused 1, upon whom the powers had been conferred and/or who had the duties as set out in the preamble, unlawfully and corruptly received and/or obtained and/or agreed to receive and/or attempted to obtain the abovementioned service and/or facility benefits, which were not legally due, from Shaik and/or the other entities mentioned in the schedule with the intention that he should commit and/or omit any act in relation to his powers and/or duties to further the interests of Shaik and/or the entities associated with Shaik and/or the Thomson-CSF group and/or accused 2 and/or accused 3 as set out in the preamble and/or to be rewarded for having committed or omitted to do any act constituting any excess of such powers or any neglect of such duties, as set out in the preamble.

RACKETEERING ACT 2:

(In respect of accused 2 and 3)

CORRUPTION in contravention of section 1(1)(a) read with section 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992. [**SERVICE AND FACILITY BENEFITS**]

IN THAT during the period 25 October 1995 to 1 July 2005 and at or near Durban in the district of Durban, Shaik and/or the other entities mentioned in the preamble unlawfully and corruptly gave and/or offered and/or agreed to give the abovementioned service and/or facility benefits, which were not legally due, to accused 1, upon whom the powers had been conferred and/or who had the

duties as set out in the preamble, with the intention to influence accused 1 to commit and/or omit to do any act in relation to his powers and/or duties to further the interests of Shaik and/or the entities associated with Shaik and/or the Thomson-CSF group and/or accused 2 and/or accused 3 as set out in the preamble and/or with the intention to reward accused 1 because he so acted in excess of such powers or any neglect of such duties, as set out in the preamble

AND WHEREAS accused 2 and/or accused 3 in the period from approximately May 1996 unlawfully and corruptly made common purpose with Shaik and/or the other entities associated with Shaik.

RACKETEERING ACTS 3 - 608:

(In respect of accused 1):

CORRUPTION in contravention of section 1(1)(b) read with section 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992 [**SCHEDULE BENEFITS UP TO 26 APRIL 2004**]

IN THAT on or about the dates specified in **column B** of the schedule (up to 26 April 2004) and at or near Durban in the district of Durban, accused 1, upon whom the powers had been conferred and/or who had the duties as set out in the preamble, unlawfully and corruptly received and/ or obtained and/ or agreed to receive and/or attempted to obtain the schedule benefits specified in **column C** of the schedule (up to 26 April 2004) (each receipt, obtaining or agreement to receive or attempt to obtain being a separate racketeering act) which were not legally due, from Shaik and/or the other entities mentioned in **column E** of the schedule (up to 26 April 2004) with the intention that he should commit and/or omit any act in relation to his powers and/or duties to further the interests of Shaik and/or the entities associated with Shaik and/or the Thomson-CSF group and/or accused 2 and/or accused 3 as set out in the preamble and/or to be rewarded for having committed or omitted to do any act constituting any excess of such powers or any neglect of such duties, as set out in the preamble.

[Total schedule payments from 25 October 1995 to 26 April 2004:

- No. of payments: 606
- Aggregate amount: R3 015 484.59]

RACKETEERING ACTS 609 - 1214:

(In respect of accused 2 and 3)

CORRUPTION in contravention of section 1(1)(a) read with section 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992. [**SCHEDULE BENEFITS UP TO 26 APRIL 2004**]

IN THAT on or about the dates specified in **column B** of the schedule (up to 26 April 2004) and at or near Durban in the district of Durban, Shaik and/or the other entities mentioned in **column E** of the schedule (up to 26 April 2004), unlawfully and corruptly gave and/or offered and/or agreed to give the schedule benefits specified in **column C** of the schedule (up to 26 April 2004) (the giving of each schedule benefit and/or offer and/or agreement to give being a separate racketeering act) which were not legally due to accused 1, upon whom the powers had been conferred and/or who had the duties as set out in the preamble, with the intention to influence accused 1 to commit and/or omit to do any act in relation to his powers and/or duties to further the interests of Shaik and/or the entities associated with Shaik and/or the Thomson-CSF group and/or accused 2 and/or accused 3 as set out in the preamble and/or with the intention to reward accused 1 because he so acted in excess of such powers or any neglect of such duties, as set out in the preamble

AND WHEREAS accused 2 and/or accused 3 in the period from approximately May 1996 unlawfully and corruptly made common purpose with Shaik and/or the other entities associated with Shaik.

[Total schedule payments from 25 October 1995 to 26 April 2004:

- No. of payments: 606

- Aggregate amount: R3 015 484.59]

RACKETEERING ACTS 1215-1391:

(In respect of accused 1):

CORRUPTION in contravention of section 3(a) read with sections 1, 2, 21, 24, 25 and 26 of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No. 12 of 2004. [**SCHEDULE BENEFITS FOR THE PERIOD 27 APRIL 2004 TO 1 JULY 2005**]

IN THAT on or about the dates specified in **column B** of the schedule (from 27 April 2004 onwards) and at or near Durban in the district of Durban, accused 1 directly or indirectly and wrongfully accepted and/or agreed and/or offered to accept the gratifications, being the schedule benefits specified in **column C** of the schedule (from 27 April 2004 onwards), from another person, to wit: Shaik and/or the other entities mentioned in **column E** of the schedule (from 27 April 2004 onwards), in order to act and/or omit to act, personally and/or by influencing another person to act, in a manner:

- a. that amounts to the illegal, dishonest, unauthorized, or biased exercise, carrying out or performance of any powers, duties or functions arising out of a constitutional, statutory, contractual or other obligation; and/or
- b. that amounts to:
 - the abuse of a position of authority
 - a breach of trust; and/or
 - the violation of a legal duty or a set of rules; and/or
- c. that is designed to achieve an unjust result; and/or

- d. that amounts to an unauthorized or improper inducement to do or not to do anything.

TO WIT by furthering and/or having furthered the interests of Shaik and/or the entities associated with Shaik and/or the Thomson-CSF group and/or accused 2 and/or accused 3 as set out in the preamble.

[Total schedule payments from 27 April 2004 to 1 July 2005:

- No. of payments: 177
- Aggregate amount: R1 057 015.26]

ALTERNATIVELY TO EACH OF RACKETEERING ACTS 1215 TO 1388

ABOVE: RECEIVING AN UNAUTHORISED GRATIFICATION in contravention of section 10(a) read with sections 1, 2, 21, 25 and 26 of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No. 12 of 2004.

[I.R.O. SCHEDULE BENEFITS FOR THE PERIOD 27 APRIL 2004 TO 14 JUNE 2005]

IN THAT on or about the dates specified in **column B** of the schedule (from 27 April 2004 to 14 June 2005) and at or near Durban in the district of Durban, accused 1, being a party to an employment relationship as described in the preamble, directly or indirectly and wrongfully accepted and/or agreed and/or offered to accept the unauthorized gratifications, being the schedule benefits specified in **column C** of the schedule (from 27 April 2004 to 14 June 2005) from another person, to wit: Shaik and/or the other entities mentioned in **column E** of the schedule (from 27 April 2004 to 14 June 2005), either for his own benefit or for the benefit of another person, in respect of his doing any act in relation to the exercise, carrying out or performance of his powers, duties or functions within the scope of his employment relationship, more particularly as described in the preamble.

[Total schedule payments from 27 April 2004 to 14 June 2005:

- No. of payments: 174
- Aggregate amount: R656 452.20]

RACKETEERING ACTS 1392-1567:

(In respect of accused nos 2 and 3)

THAT the accused are guilty of the crime of **CORRUPTION** in contravention of section 3(b) read with sections 1, 2, 21, 24, 25 and 26 of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No. 12 of 2004. . [**SCHEDULE BENEFITS FOR THE PERIOD 27 APRIL 2004 TO 1 JULY 2005**]

IN THAT on or about the dates specified in **column B** of the schedule (from 27 April 2004 onwards) and at or near Durban in the district of Durban, Shaik and/or the other entities mentioned in **column E** of the schedule (from 27 April 2004 onwards), directly or indirectly and wrongfully gave and/or agreed and/or offered to give the gratifications being the schedule benefits specified in **column C** of the schedule (from 27 April 2004 onwards) to or for the benefit of another person, to wit: accused 1 and/or his family members, in order for accused 1 to act and/or omit to act, personally and/or by influencing another person to act, in a manner:

- a. that amounts to the illegal, dishonest, unauthorized, or biased exercise, carrying out or performance of any powers, duties or functions arising out of a constitutional, statutory, contractual or other obligation; and/or
- b. that amounts to:
 - the abuse of a position of authority
 - a breach of trust; and/or
 - the violation of a legal duty or a set of rules; and/or
- c. designed to achieve an unjust result; and/or

- d. that amounts to an unauthorized or improper inducement to do or not to do anything.

TO WIT by furthering and/or having furthered the interests of Shaik and/or the entities associated with Shaik and/or the Thomson-CSF group and/or accused 2 and/or accused 3 as set out in the preamble.

AND WHEREAS accused 2 and/or accused 3 unlawfully and corruptly made common purpose with Shaik and/or the other entities associated with Shaik.

[Total schedule payments from 27 April 2004 to 1 July 2005 :

No. of payments: 177

Aggregate amount: R1 057 015.26]

ALTERNATIVELY TO EACH OF RACKETEERING ACTS 1393 TO 1564

ABOVE: GIVING AN UNAUTHORISED GRATIFICATION in contravention of section 10(b) read with sections 1, 2, 21, 25 and 26 of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No. 12 of 2004.

[I.R.O. SCHEDULE BENEFITS FOR THE PERIOD 27 APRIL 2004 TO 14 JUNE 2005]

IN THAT on or about the dates specified in **column B** of the schedule (from 27 April 2004 to 14 June 2005) and at or near Durban in the district of Durban, Shaik and/or the other entities mentioned in **column E** of the schedule (from 27 April 2004 to 14 June 2005), wrongfully gave, directly or indirectly, and/or agreed and/or offered to give the gratifications, being the schedule benefits specified in **column C** of the schedule (from 27 April 2004 to 14 June 2005) to accused 1, being a person who is party to an employment relationship as described in the preamble, either for his own benefit or for the benefit of another person, in respect of his doing any act in relation to the exercise, carrying out or performance of his powers, duties or

functions within the scope of his employment relationship, more particularly as described in the preamble.

AND WHEREAS accused 2 and/or accused 3 unlawfully and corruptly made common purpose with Shaik and/or the other entities associated with Shaik.

[Total schedule payments from 27 April 2004 to 14 June 2005:

- No. of payments: 174
- Aggregate amount: R656 452.20]

RACKETEERING ACT 1568:

(In respect of accused 1):

CORRUPTION in contravention of section 1(1)(b) read with section 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992. **[I.R.O. SPECIFIC CORRUPTION: THE ANNUAL BENEFITS OF R500 000 PER ANNUM]**

- **As described in Count 4**

RACKETEERING ACT 1569:

(In respect of accused 2 and 3)

CORRUPTION in contravention of 1(1)(a) read with section 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992. **[I.R.O. SPECIFIC CORRUPTION: THE ANNUAL BENEFITS OF R500 000 PER ANNUM]**

- **As described in Count 5**

RACKETEERING ACT 1570:

(In respect of accused 1 to 3)

MONEY-LAUNDERING in contravention of section 4, read with sections 1 and 8 of POCA

- **As described in Count 6**

ALTERNATIVELY

ACQUISITION OF THE PROCEEDS OF CRIME in contravention of section 6, read with sections 1 and 8 of POCA

- **As described in the Alternative to Count 6**

RACKETEERING ACTS 1571, 1572 AND 1573:

(In respect of accused 1):

FRAUD

- **As described in Counts 7 - 9**

RACKETEERING ACTS 1574 TO 1582:

(In respect of accused 1):

FRAUD

- **As described in Counts 10 to 18**

IN THE FIRST ALTERNATIVE

MAKING FALSE STATEMENTS IN INCOME TAX RETURNS in contravention of Section 104(1)(a), read with Section 66 of the Income Tax Act, No. 58 of 1962

- **As described in the First Alternative to Counts 10 to 18**

IN THE SECOND ALTERNATIVE

FAILING TO SHOW GROSS INCOME OR MATERIAL FACTS IN TAX RETURNS in contravention of Section 75(1)(c) read with Sections 1 and 66 of the Income Tax Act, No. 58 of 1962.

- **As described in the Second Alternative to Counts 10 to 18**

CHAPTER 4: INCOME TAX OFFENCES (COUNTS 10-18)**AND WHEREAS:**

159. Accused 1 was registered for Income Tax in terms of the Income Tax Act, No. 58 of 1962 (the Income Tax Act), with registration number 2125/024/20/4.
160. Accused 1 was obliged to declare his gross income as defined in Section 1 of the Income Tax Act;
161. Accused 1 was resident in the Republic of South Africa.
162. Accused 1 had failed to submit income tax returns for the tax years ended 28 February 1995 to 2003. On 11 September 2003, accused 1's income tax returns for the said years 1995 to 2003 were signed on behalf of accused 1 by Shaik and submitted to the South African Revenue Service (SARS).
163. Accused 1's income tax return for the year ended 28 February 2004 was also signed on behalf of accused 1 by Shaik. It is undated but it was received by SARS on or before 27 June 2005.
164. Accused 1 was aware that the schedule payments were not declared in his income tax returns that were signed and submitted on his behalf as described above.

CHAPTER 5: MISCELLANEOUS**AND WHEREAS****A. COMMON PURPOSE**

165. At all material times all the accused acted in pursuance of a common purpose with each other, the Nkobi companies and Shaik to commit the offences set out in this indictment.

B. MINIMUM SENTENCE

166. The minimum sentences of 15 years imprisonment prescribed in terms of section 51(2)(a) read with Part II of schedule 2 to the Criminal Law Amendment Act, No. 105 of 1997, are applicable to each of the following counts:

Count 2 and the alternative and sub-counts to count 2;
Count 3 and the alternative and sub-counts to count 3;
Count 4;
Count 5;
Count 7;
Count 8;
Count 9;
Count 15;
Count 16;
Count 18.

C. SECTION 332 OF AT NO. 51 OF 1977 APPLICABLE

167. The provisions of section 332 (1), (2), (3), (4), (10) and (11) of Act No. 51 of 1977 are applicable to the accused.

CHAPTER 6: THE CHARGES

NOW THEREFORE the State alleges:

COUNT 1

(Accused 1 - 3)

THAT the accused are guilty of the crime of **CONDUCTING AN ENTERPRISE THROUGH A PATTERN OF RACKETEERING ACTIVITIES** in contravention of section 2(1)(e) read with Sections 1, 2(2) and 3 of the Prevention of Organised Crime Act, No. 121 of 1998, as amended

IN THAT from a date more precisely unknown to the prosecution but before and including 25 October 1995 and continuing thereafter to 1 July 2005 and at or near Durban in the district of Durban, the accused whilst employed by and/or associated with an enterprise, to wit the Nkobi Enterprise described in the Racketeering Preamble hereto, conducted or participated in the conduct, directly or indirectly, of such Enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity, to wit:

- The planned, ongoing, continuous or repeated participation or involvement in any of the predicate offences as set forth in Racketeering Acts 1- 1582; and/or
- Including the commission of two or more of the predicate offences.

COUNT 2

(In terms of section 156 of Act 51 of 1977, only against **accused 1**)

THAT **accused 1** is guilty of the crime of **CORRUPTION** in contravention of section 1(1)(b) read with section 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992

IN THAT during the period 25 October 1995 to 1 July 2005 and at or near Durban in the district of Durban, accused 1, upon whom the powers had been conferred and/or who had the duties as set out in the preamble, unlawfully and corruptly received the abovementioned schedule and/or service and/or facility benefits, which were not legally due, from Shaik and/or the other entities mentioned in column E of the schedule with the intention that he should commit and/or omit to do any act in relation to his powers and/or duties to further the interests of Shaik and/or the entities associated with Shaik and/or the Thomson-CSF group and/or accused 2 and/or accused 3 as set out in the preamble and/or to be rewarded for having committed or omitted to do any act constituting any excess of such powers or any neglect of such duties, as set out in the preamble.

[Total schedule payments from 25 October 1995 to 1 July 2005:

- No. of payments: 783
- Aggregate amount: R4 072 499.85]

IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO COUNT 2 (AS SEPARATE COUNTS)**SUBCOUNT 1:**

(In terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977, only against **accused 1**)

THAT the accused is guilty of the crime of **CORRUPTION** in contravention of section 1(1)(b) read with section 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992.

IN THAT during the period 25 October 1995 to 26 April 2004 and at or near Durban in the district of Durban, accused 1, upon whom the powers had been conferred and/or who had the duties as set out in the preamble, unlawfully and corruptly received the abovementioned schedule and/or service and/or facility benefits, which were not legally due, from Shaik and/or the other entities mentioned in column E of the schedule with the intention that he should commit and/or omit any act in relation to his powers and/or duties to further the interests of Shaik and/or the entities associated with Shaik and/or the Thomson-CSF group and/or accused 2 and/or accused 3 as set out in the preamble and/or to be rewarded for having committed or omitted to do any act constituting any excess of such powers or any neglect of such duties, as set out in the preamble.

[Total schedule payments from 25 October 1995 to 26 April 2004:

- No. of payments: 606
- Aggregate amount: R3 015 484.59]

SUBCOUNT 2:

(In terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977, only against **accused 1**)

THAT the accused is guilty of the crime of **CORRUPTION** in contravention of section 3(a) read with sections 1, 2, 21, 24, 25 and 26 of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No. 12 of 2004.

IN THAT during the period 27 April 2004 to 1 July 2005 and at or near Durban in the district of Durban, accused 1 directly or indirectly and wrongfully accepted and/or agreed and/or offered to accept the gratifications, being the service and/or facility benefits and/or the schedule benefits specified in **column C** of the schedule from 27 April 2004 onwards, (the schedule benefits being on or about the dates specified in **column B** of the schedule from 27 April 2004 onwards) from another person, to wit: Shaik and/or the other entities mentioned in **column E** of the schedule from 27 April 2004, in order to act and/or omit to act, personally and/or by influencing another person to act, in a manner:

- a. that amounts to the illegal, dishonest, unauthorized, or biased exercise, carrying out or performance of any powers, duties or functions arising out of a constitutional, statutory, contractual or other obligation; and/or
- b. that amounts to:
 - the abuse of a position of authority
 - a breach of trust; and/or
 - the violation of a legal duty or a set of rules; and/or
- c. designed to achieve an unjust result; and/or
- d. that amounts to an unauthorized or improper inducement to do or not to do anything.

TO WIT by furthering and/or having furthered the interests of Shaik and/or the entities associated with Shaik and/or the Thomson-CSF group and/or accused 2 and/or accused 3 as set out in the preamble.

ALTERNATIVELY TO SUBCOUNT 2

(in terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977, only against **accused 1**)

THAT the accused is guilty of the crime of **RECEIVING AN UNAUTHORISED GRATIFICATION BY A PERSON WHO IS PARTY TO AN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONSHIP** in contravention of section 10(a) read with sections 1, 2, 21, 25 and 26 of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No. 12 of 2004. (In terms of section 156 of Act 51 of 1977, only against accused 1)

IN THAT during the period 27 April 2004 to 14 June 2005 and at or near Durban in the district of Durban, accused 1, being a party to an employment relationship as described in the preamble, directly or indirectly and wrongfully accepted and/or agreed and/or offered to accept the unauthorized gratifications, being the

service and/or facility benefits and/or the schedule benefits specified in **column C** of the schedule from 27 April 2004 to 14 June 2005, (the schedule benefits being on or about the dates specified in **column B** of the schedule from 27 April 2004 to 14 June 2005), from another person, to wit: Shaik and/or the other entities mentioned in **column E** of the schedule from 27 April 2004 to 14 June 2005, either for his own benefit or for the benefit of another person, in respect of his doing any act in relation to the exercise, carrying out or performance of his powers, duties or functions within the scope of his employment relationship, more particularly as described in the preamble.

COUNT 3

(in terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977, only against **accused 2 and 3**)

THAT accused 2 and 3 are guilty of the crime of corruption in contravention of section 1(1)(a) read with section 1(2) and 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992

IN THAT during the period 25 October 1995 to 1 July 2005 and at or near Durban in the district of Durban, Accused 2 and 3, acting in concert with Shaik and/or the other entities mentioned in **column E** of the schedule unlawfully and corruptly gave the abovementioned service and/or facility benefits and/or the schedule benefits specified in **column C** of the schedule, which were not legally due, to accused 1, upon whom the powers had been conferred and/or who had the duties as set out in the preamble, with the intention to influence accused 1 to commit and/or omit any act in relation to his powers and/or duties to further the interests of Shaik and/or the entities associated with Shaik and/or the Thomson-CSF group and/or accused 2 and/or accused 3 as set out in the preamble and/or with the intention to reward accused 1, because he so acted in excess of such powers or any neglect of such duties, as set out in the preamble

AND IN THAT accused 2 and 3, and at or near Durban in the district of Durban and/or Lynnwood and/or Waterkloof in the district of Pretoria and/or Midrand in the district of Sandton and/or London in the United Kingdom and/or Paris, France and/or at other places both within and outside the Republic unknown to the State, wrongfully and unlawfully and corruptly associated themselves with and/or relied on the said benefits to accused 1, as a means to obtain the said assistance from accused 1 in respect of the ADS dispute as mentioned above, for the benefit of accused 2 and 3 and the Thomson-CSF group,

AND/OR IN THAT accused 2 and 3, during the period and at the places aforementioned, wrongfully and unlawfully and corruptly assisted Shaik and/or the other entities mentioned in **column E** of the schedule to maintain the said benefits to accused 1, by joining with Shaik in obtaining accused 1's approval for

the partnership between Shaik and Nkobi Investments, on the one hand, and accused 3, on the other hand, in the ADS acquisition as described in the preamble, and thereby ensuring the survival of the Nkobi group and ensuring that Shaik and the Nkobi group would be sufficiently in funds derived from future ADS dividends to give the said benefits to accused 1,

AND/OR IN THAT accused 2 and 3 wrongfully and unlawfully and corruptly and with the intention of preventing the said corrupt benefits from being discovered, agreed to make the annual payments to accused 1 as described in the preamble.

IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO COUNT 3 (AS SEPARATE COUNTS)

SUBCOUNT 1:

(In terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977, only against **accused 2 and 3**)

That accused 2 and 3 are guilty of the crime of corruption in contravention of section 1(1)(a) read with section 1(2) and 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992

IN THAT during the period 25 October 1995 to 26 April 2004 and at or near Durban in the district of Durban, Shaik and/or the other entities mentioned in **column E** of the schedule unlawfully and corruptly gave the abovementioned schedule and/or undetermined and/or service and/or facility benefits, which were not legally due, to accused 1, upon whom the powers had been conferred and/or who had the duties as set out in the preamble, with the intention to influence accused 1 to commit and/or omit any act in relation to his powers and/or duties to further the interests of Shaik and/or the entities associated with Shaik and/or the Thomson-CSF group and/or accused 2 and/or accused 3 as set out in the preamble and/or with the intention to reward Zuma because he so acted in excess of such powers or any neglect of such duties, as set out in the preamble

AND IN THAT accused 2 and 3, during the period May 1996 to 26 April 2004, and at or near Durban in the district of Durban and/or Lynnwood and/or

Waterkloof in the district of Pretoria and/or Midrand in the district of Sandton and/or London in the United Kingdom and/or Paris, France and/or at other places both within and outside the Republic unknown to the State, wrongfully and unlawfully and corruptly associated themselves with and/or relied on the said benefits to accused 1 as a means to obtain the said assistance from accused 1 in respect of the ADS dispute as mentioned above, for the benefit of accused 2 and 3 and the Thomson-CSF group

AND/OR IN THAT accused 2 and 3, during the period and at the places aforementioned, wrongfully and unlawfully and corruptly assisted Shaik and/or the other entities mentioned in the schedule to maintain the said benefits to accused 1, by joining with Shaik in obtaining accused 1's approval for the partnership between Shaik and Nkobi Investments, on the one hand, and accused 3, on the other hand, in the ADS acquisition as described in the preamble, and thereby ensuring the survival of the Nkobi group and ensuring that Shaik and the Nkobi group would be sufficiently in funds derived from future ADS dividends to give the said benefits to accused 1

AND/OR IN THAT accused 2 and 3 wrongfully and unlawfully and corruptly and with the intention of preventing the said corrupt benefits from being discovered, agreed to make the annual payments to accused 1 as described in the preamble.

SUBCOUNT 2:

(In terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977, only against **accused 2 and 3**)

THAT the accused are guilty of the crime of **CORRUPTION** in contravention of section 3(b) read with sections 1, 2, 21, 24, 25 and 26 of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No. 12 of 2004.

IN THAT during the period 27 April 2004 to 1 July 2005 and at or near Durban in the district of Durban and/or Lynnwood and/or Waterkloof in the district of Pretoria and/or Midrand in the district of Sandton and/or London in the United

Kingdom and/or Paris, France and/or at other places both within and outside the Republic unknown to the State, accused 2 and 3 were party to a common purpose, together with Shaik and/or the Nkobi group of companies, to directly or indirectly and wrongfully give and/or agree and/or offer to give the gratifications, being the service and/or facility benefits and/or the schedule benefits specified in **column C** of the schedule from 27 April 2004 to or for the benefit of another person, to wit: accused 1 and/or his family members, in order for accused 1 to act and/or omit to act, personally and/or by influencing another person to act, in a manner:

- a. that amounts to the illegal, dishonest, unauthorized, or biased exercise, carrying out or performance of any powers, duties or functions arising out of a constitutional, statutory, contractual or other obligation; and/or
- b. that amounts to:
 - the abuse of a position of authority
 - a breach of trust; and/or
 - the violation of a legal duty or a set of rules; and/or
- c. designed to achieve an unjust result; and/or
- d. that amounts to an unauthorized or improper inducement to do or not to do anything.

TO WIT by furthering and/or having furthered the interests of Shaik and/or the entities associated with Shaik and/or the Thomson-CSF group and/or accused 2 and/or accused 3 as set out in the preamble.

ALTERNATIVELY TO SUBCOUNT 2

(In terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977, only against **accused 2 and 3**)

THAT the accused are guilty of the crime of **OFFERING AN UNAUTHORISED GRATIFICATION TO A PERSON WHO IS PARTY TO AN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONSHIP** in contravention of section 10(b) read with sections 1, 2, 21, 25 and 26 of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No. 12 of 2004.

IN THAT during the period 27 April 2004 to 14 June 2005 and at or near Durban in the district of Durban, accused Nos 2 and 3 were party to a common purpose, together with Shaik and/or the Nkobi group of companies, wrongfully to give, directly or indirectly, and/or agree and/or offer to give the gratifications, being the service and/or facility benefits and/or the schedule benefits specified in **column C** of the schedule from 27 April 2004 to 14 June 2005 to accused 1, being a person who is party to an employment relationship as described in the preamble, either for his own benefit or for the benefit of another person, in respect of his doing any act in relation to the exercise, carrying out or performance of his powers, duties or functions within the scope of his employment relationship, more particularly as described in the preamble.

COUNT 4

(in terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977, only against **accused 1**)

THAT accused 1 is guilty of the crime of corruption in contravention of section 1(1)(b) read with section 1(2) and 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992

IN THAT during the period prior to 30 September 1999 to 2001 and at or near Durban in the district of Durban, accused 1, upon whom the powers had been conferred and/or who had the duties as set out in the preamble, unlawfully and corruptly agreed to receive and/or received and/or obtained and/or attempted to obtain the said annual benefits, which were not legally due, from Thomson-CSF and/or accused 2 and 3 and/or with the assistance of and/or through the intermediation of accused 2 and 3, with the intention that he should commit and/or omit any act in relation to his powers and/or duties to further the interests of Thomson-CSF and/or accused 2 and 3 and/or Shaik and the Nkobi group and/or the entities associated with them, as set out in the preamble and/or to be rewarded for having committed or omitted to do any act constituting any excess of such powers or any neglect of such duties, as set out in the preamble.

COUNT 5

(in terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977, only against **accused 2 and 3**)

THAT accused 2 and 3 are guilty of the crime of corruption in contravention of section 1(1)(a) read with section 1(2) and 3 of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992

IN THAT during the period prior to 30 September 1999 to 2001, and at or near Durban in the district of Durban and/or Lynnwood and/or Waterkloof in the district of Pretoria and/or Midrand in the district of Sandton and/or Paris, France and or Mauritius and/or at other places both within and outside the Republic unknown to the State, accused 2 and 3, and/or Thomson-CSF with the assistance of and/or through the intermediation of accused 2 and 3 unlawfully and corruptly agreed and/or offered to give and/or gave the abovementioned annual benefits, as described in the preamble, which were not legally due, to accused 1, upon whom the powers had been conferred and/or who had the duties as set out in the preamble, with the intention to influence accused 1 to commit and/or omit any act in relation to his powers and/or duties to further the interests of Thomson-CSF and/or accused 2 and 3 and/or Shaik and the Nkobi group and/or the entities associated with them, as set out in the preamble and/or with the intention to reward Zuma because he so acted in excess of such powers or any neglect of such duties, as set out in the preamble.

COUNT 6**(Accused 1-3)**

THAT the accused are guilty of the crime of money-laundering in contravention of section 4, read with sections 1 and 8 of the Prevention of Organised Crime Act, No. 121 of 1998

WHEREAS the accused knew or ought reasonably to have known that property [to wit: the annual benefits of R500 000 per annum, as described in the preamble], was or formed part of the proceeds of unlawful activities [to wit: the crimes or contraventions of the law mentioned in counts 4 and/or 5]

In that during the period prior to 30 September 1999 to 2001 and at or near Durban in the district of Durban and/or Lynnwood and/or Waterkloof in the district of Pretoria and/or Midrand in the district of Sandton and/or Paris, France and/or Mauritius and/or at other places both within and outside the Republic unknown to the State, the accused, acting in the furtherance of a common purpose, wrongfully and unlawfully entered into an agreement or engaged in an arrangement or transaction and/or performed any other act with one or some or all of the following:

- Each other; and or
- Shaik; and/or
- other relevant entities within the Nkobi group as described in the preamble; and/or
- Thomson-CSF; and/or
- Thomson-CSF International (France); and/or
- Perrier; and/or
- de Jomaron; and/or
- Thétard; and/or
- Thales International Africa Ltd (Mauritius)

in connection with the said property [to wit : the agreements, arrangements, transactions or other acts mentioned in the preamble relating to the payment of the bribe by covert means and/or the so-called service provider agreement and/or the Nkandla development]

Which had or was likely to have had the effect -

(i) of concealing or disguising the nature, source, location, disposition or movement of the said property or the ownership thereof or any interest which anyone may have in respect thereof, and/or

(ii) of enabling or assisting one or some or all of the following:

- the accused; and/or
- Shaik; and/or
- other relevant entities within the Nkobi group as described in the preamble; and/or
- Thomson-CSF; and/or
- Thomson-CSF International (France); and/or
- Perrier; and/or
- de Jomaron; and/or
- Thétard; and/or
- Thales International Africa Ltd (Mauritius)

who had committed or were committing an offence, whether in the Republic or elsewhere [to wit : the offences mentioned in counts 1 and/or 2 and/or 3 and/or 4 and/or 5 and/or any of their alternative or sub-counts] -

(aa) to avoid prosecution, and/or

(bb) to remove or diminish the said property acquired directly, or indirectly, as a result of the commission of the said offence.

ALTERNATIVELY TO COUNT 6**(Accused 1-3)**

THAT the accused are guilty of the crime of the acquisition, possession or use of proceeds of unlawful activities in contravention of section 6, read with sections 1 and 8 of the Prevention of Organised Crime Act, No. 121 of 1998

WHEREAS the accused knew or ought reasonably to have known that property [to wit: the annual benefits of R500 000 per annum, as described in the preamble] formed part of the proceeds of unlawful activities of another person:

TO WIT :

- In the case of accused 1: the crimes or contraventions of the law mentioned in count 5;

- In the case of accused 2 and/or 3: the crimes or contraventions of the law mentioned in count 4; and/or

- In the case of all the accused: the crime of corruption in contravention of section 1(1)(a) read with section 3 of the Corruption Act, No 94 of 1992, committed by the following:
 - Shaik; and/or
 - other relevant entities within the Nkobi group as described in the preamble; and/or
 - Thomson-CSF; and/or
 - Thomson-CSF International (France); and/or
 - Perrier; and/or
 - de Jomaron; and/or
 - Thétard; and/or
 - Thales International Africa Ltd (Mauritius)

In that during the period prior to 30 September 1999 to 2001 and at or near Durban in the district of Durban and/or Lynnwood and/or Waterkloof in the district of Pretoria and/or Midrand in the district of Sandton and/or Paris, France and/or Mauritius and/or at other places both within and outside the Republic unknown to the State:

- Accused 1, acting in the furtherance of a common purpose, wrongfully and unlawfully acquired, used, or had in his possession the said property, as described in the Preamble; and/or
- Accused 2 and/or 3, acting in the furtherance of a common purpose, wrongfully and unlawfully assisted the following:
 - Accused 1; and/or
 - Shaik; and/or
 - other relevant entities within the Nkobi group as described in the preamble; and/or
 - Thomson-CSF; and/or
 - Thomson-CSF International (France); and/or
 - Perrier; and/or
 - de Jomaron; and/or
 - Thétard; and/or
 - Thales International Africa Ltd (Mauritius)

To acquire, use, or have in their possession the said property, as described in the Preamble.

COUNT 7

(in terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977, only against **accused 1**)

THAT accused 1 is guilty of the crime of FRAUD

IN THAT during the period 18 June 1999 to 14 June 2005 and at or near Durban in the District of Durban and/or Cape Town in the District of Cape Town, the accused unlawfully and with intent to defraud, falsely and to the prejudice, either real or potential, of the Registrar of Members' Interests and/or of Parliament

GAVE OUT to the to the aforesaid persons and/or institution, in his declaration of financial interests in terms of the Parliamentary Code of Conduct in Regard to Financial Interests that he had received no financial sponsorships or assistance and/or other benefit of a material nature for the abovementioned period, and/or

Failed to disclose to the abovementioned persons and/or entities, when there was a legal duty so to disclose, that he had received financial sponsorship or assistance and/or other benefit of a material nature for the abovementioned period

WHEREAS in truth and in fact he well knew that he had received the financial sponsorships or assistance and or benefits, being the service and/or facility benefits and/or the schedule benefits specified in **column C** of the schedule from 18 June 1999 to 14 June 2005, which benefits were of a material nature. [Aggregate of undeclared schedule benefits: **676** payments in the amount of **R3 126 213.70**]

COUNT 8

(in terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977, only against accused 1)

THAT accused 1 is guilty of the crime of FRAUD

IN THAT during the period 28 September 2000 to 14 June 2005 and at or near Durban in the District of Durban and/or Cape Town in the District of Cape Town, the accused unlawfully and with intent to defraud, falsely and to the prejudice, either real or potential, of the Secretary to Cabinet and/or to Cabinet

GAVE OUT to the to the aforesaid persons and/or institution, in his declaration of financial interests in terms of sections 5 read with sections 1 and 6 the Executive Ethics Code, promulgated in terms of section 2(1) of the Executive Members' Ethics Act, No. 82 of 1998, that he had received no financial sponsorships or assistance and/or other benefit of a material nature for the abovementioned period, and/or

Failed to reveal to the abovementioned persons and/or entities, when there was a legal duty so to reveal, that he had received financial sponsorship or assistance and/or other benefit of a material nature for the abovementioned period

WHEREAS in truth and in fact he well knew that he had received the financial sponsorships or assistance and or benefits, being the service and/or facility benefits and/or the schedule benefits specified in **column C** of the schedule from 28 September 2000 to 14 June 2005, which benefits were of a material nature. [Aggregate of undeclared schedule benefits: **613** payments in the amount of **R2 813 705.71**]

COUNT 9

(in terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977, only against **accused 1**)

THAT accused 1 is guilty of the crime of FRAUD

IN THAT during or about 12 March 2003 and at or near Cape Town in the District of Cape Town, the accused unlawfully and with intent to defraud, falsely and to the prejudice, either real or potential, of Reinette Taljard MP and/or Parliament

GAVE OUT to the aforesaid person and/or institution, in a statement to Parliament in response to a question by the said Reinette Taljard MP on 14 February 2003, that he had not met with Shaik and Thetard in Durban on 11 March 2000, nor with Thetard on any other day other than to discuss legitimate matters relating to his portfolio

AND/OR failed to reveal, when he had a duty so to reveal, that he had met Shaik and Thetard on 10 March 2000, if he had not met them on 11 March 2000

WHEREAS in truth and in fact he well knew that he had met with Shaik and Thetard in Durban on 11 March 2000 **alternatively** on the 10 March 2000, and/or that he had met Shaik and Thetard on either 10 or 11 March to discuss the payment of a bribe, which matter to his knowledge did not relate to his legitimate portfolio, and/or that he had met Shaik and Thetard on either 10 or 11 March to discuss the acceptance of money other than relating to his legitimate portfolio.

COUNTS 10 TO 18

(in terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977, only against **accused 1**)

THAT accused 1 is guilty of the crime of FRAUD

IN that on or about the dates mentioned in **column 2** of **annexure A** and at or near Durban, in the district of Durban, accused 1 unlawfully and with intent to defraud, falsely and to the prejudice, either real or potential, of the Commissioner of the South African Revenue Service (SARS) and/or SARS:

GAVE OUT to the aforesaid person and/or institution in his income tax return for the tax year ended 28 February mentioned in **column 3** of **annexure A** that:

1. the income tax return for the income tax period mentioned in **column 3** of **annexure A** was true and correct in every material respect; and/or
2. accused 1 had a gross income that excluded the amounts mentioned in **column 4** of **annexure A**; and/or
3. the said income tax returns reflected all gross income earned by accused 1 during the said tax period; and or

FAILED TO DISCLOSE the said amounts mentioned in **column 4** of **annexure A** in the said income tax returns for the years mentioned in **column 3** of **annexure A**, when there was a legal duty on accused 1 to disclose all income earned by him during the said tax periods;

AND did then and there and by means of the said false pretences and/or failure to disclose, induce the said Commissioner of SARS to their actual or potential prejudice as aforementioned, to believe the false representations and/or to fail to take into account the amounts mentioned in **column 4** of **annexure A** for the purposes of accused 1's income tax assessments and/or to assess accused 1 in

amounts that excluded the amounts mentioned in **column 5** and/or **column 6** of **annexure A**

WHEREAS in truth and in fact, when accused 1 gave out and pretended as aforesaid, he well knew that the said representations were false and that:

1. the income tax return for the income tax period mentioned in **column 3** of **annexure A** was not true and correct in every material respect; and/or
2. accused 1 had a gross income more by the amounts mentioned in **column 4** of **annexure A**; and/or
3. accused 1 had a gross income more by the amount of the notional interest that would have been charged on the interest-free and/or deferred interest portion of the schedule payments mentioned in **column 4** of **annexure A**, in the event that such payments were loans, resulting in the additional tax liability mentioned in **column 6** of **annexure A**; and/or
4. the said income tax returns did not reflect all gross income earned by accused 1 during the said tax period

AND that accused 1 did thus commit the offence of Fraud

IN THE FIRST ALTERNATIVE TO EACH OF COUNTS 10 TO 18

(In terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977, only against **accused 1**)

THAT accused 1 is guilty of contravening Section 104(1)(a), read with Section 66 of the Income Tax Act, No. 58 of 1962, as amended.

IN THAT on or about the dates mentioned in **column 2** of **annexure A** and at or near Durban, in the district of Durban, accused 1 unlawfully and with the intention to evade assessment or taxation, made or allowed to be made false statements

or entries in the Income Tax returns for the tax years mentioned in **column 3** of **annexure A**, which returns were submitted in accordance with Section 66 of the Income Tax Act, or signed such statements or returns without reasonable grounds for believing the same to be true;

AND IN THAT the gross income declared falsely failed to include the gross income mentioned in **column 4** of **annexure A**, and/or the notional interest that would have been charged on the interest-free and/or deferred interest portion of the schedule payments mentioned in **column 4** of **annexure A**, in the event that such payments were loans.

IN THE SECOND ALTERNATIVE TO EACH OF COUNTS 10 TO 18

(In terms of section 156 of Act No. 51 of 1977, only against **accused 1**)

THAT accused 1 is guilty of contravening Section 75(1)(c) read with Sections 1 and 66 of the Income Tax Act, No. 58 of 1962, as amended.

IN THAT on or about the dates mentioned in **column 2** of **annexure A** and at or near Durban, in the district of Durban, accused 1 unlawfully failed to show in the returns mentioned in **column 3** of **annexure A**, made by him and/or on his behalf, the gross income mentioned in **column 4** of **annexure A**, received by or accrued to or in favour of himself; and/or the notional interest that would have been charged on the interest-free and/or deferred interest portion of the schedule payments mentioned in **column 4** of **annexure A**, in the event that such payments were loans; and/or

Failed to disclose to the Commissioner of SARS, when he made such returns, any material facts which should have been disclosed, to wit:

- the gross income mentioned in **column 4** of **annexure A**; and/or

- in the event that such payments were loans, the taxable portion of the schedule benefits mentioned in **column 4** of **annexure A**, being the notional interest that would have been charged on the interest-free and/or deferred interest portion of the schedule payments mentioned in **column 4** of **annexure A**;; and/or
- In the event that the payments mentioned in **column 4** of **annexure A** were loans, such payments should have been, but were not, reflected under assets and liabilities in the said income tax return; and/or
- In the event that such payments were donations, such payments should have been, but were not reflected in Part 13 of the said income tax returns as “Receipts”.

ANNEXURE A TO INDICTMENT AND SUMMARY OF FACTS

COL-UMN 1	COL-UMN 2	COLUMN 3	COLUMN 4	COLUMN 5	COLUMN 6
COUNT NO.	DATE OF ANNUAL INCOME TAX RETURN	TAX YEAR ENDED 28 FEBRUARY	SCHEDULE AMOUNT UN-DECLARED :RANDS	ADD-ITIONAL TAX LIABILITY: GROSS INCOME	ADDITIONAL TAX LIABILITY : INTEREST FREE LOANS
10	11 Sept 2003	1996	3500.00	1 633.00	148.00
11	11 Sept 2003	1997	44 500.00	20 025.00	2 273.00
12	11 Sept 2003	1998	262 476.40	118 114.00	16 046.00
13	11 Sept 2003	1999	174 014.69	78 307.00	39 309.00
14	11 Sept 2003	2000	181 648.12	81 742.00	44 273.00
15	11 Sept 2003	2001	549 475.73	230 780.00	57 299.00
16	11 Sept 2003	2002	590 694.98	248 092.00	87 097.00
17	11 Sept 2003	2003	470 304.41	188 122.00	131 571.00
18	During the period after 28 February 2004 to 27 June 2005 on a precise date to the prosecution unknown	2004	502 889.87	201 156.00	144 453.00
TOTAL			2 779 514.20	1 167 971.00	522 470.00

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(NATAL PROVINCIAL DIVISION)**

In the matter of: -

THE STATE

versus

1. **JACOB GEDLEYIHLEKISA ZUMA**
2. **THINT HOLDING (SOUTHERN AFRICA) (PTY) LTD**
(formerly known as Thomson-CSF Holding (Southern Africa) (Pty) Ltd)
(hereinafter also referred to as **Thomson Holdings**)
(as represented by **Pierre Jean-Marie Robert Moynot**)
3. **THINT (PTY) LTD**
(formerly known as Thomson-CSF (Pty) Ltd)
(hereinafter also referred to as **Thomson (Pty)**)
(as represented by **Pierre Jean-Marie Robert Moynot**)

(hereinafter also referred to as the accused)

**SUMMARY OF SUBSTANTIAL FACTS IN TERMS OF SECTION 144(3)(a) OF
ACT 51 OF 1977**

CHAPTER 1: THE PARTIES RELEVANT TO THE CHARGES

A. THOMSON-CSF/THALES/THINT GROUP

The Group

1. The French Thomson-CSF (hereinafter referred to as Thomson-CSF) group of companies has global industrial interests, including interests in the international arms industry.

2. Thomson-CSF was later renamed the Thales group of companies.
3. Thomson-CSF International (France), which later became Thales International, is a division within the Thomson-CSF group.
4. Thomson-CSF International was headed at all relevant times by Jean-Paul Perrier (hereinafter referred to as Perrier).
5. Thales International in its turn had a subsidiary Thales International Africa Ltd (Mauritius).
6. Thales International Africa was headed at all relevant times by Yann Leo Renaud de Jomaron (hereinafter referred to as de Jomaron).

Thint Holdings (Accused 2)

7. On 21 May 1996, Thomson-CSF Holding (Southern Africa) (Pty) Ltd was incorporated in South Africa to promote the development of South African industry by entering into joint ventures.
8. Thomson Holdings had an authorized share capital of 100 ordinary shares at a nominal value of R1000.00 per share. On 27 May 1996, 85 shares were issued to Thomson-CSF (France), 10 shares to Nkobi Investments (see below) and 5 shares to Gestilac SA (Switzerland).

On 9 June 1998, the authorised share capital was increased with 17 000 1% redeemable non-cumulative preference shares at R1 000.00 per share and 14 450 shares were issued to Thomson-CSF (France).

On 26 July 1999, Gestilac SA transferred its 5 ordinary shares in Thomson Holdings to Thomson-CSF (France) for \$1 000.00 (R6 145.00). On 27 July 1999, Thomson-CSF (France) transferred its 90 ordinary shares to Thomson-CSF International (France) for R90

701.98. The effect of these transactions was that Thomson-CSF International (France) and Nkobi Investments became the only shareholders in Thomson Holdings.

Also on 27 July 1999 Thomson-CSF (France) transferred 14 450 preference shares to Thomson-CSF International (France) for R14 554 679.00.

On 29 September 1999, the authorised share capital was increased with 22 412 ordinary shares at R1 000.00 per share. On 29 September 1999, 22 412 ordinary shares were issued to Thomson-CSF International (France). On 30 July 1999, Nkobi Investments transferred its 10 ordinary shares to Thomson-CSF International (France) for R500 000.00. The effect of this transaction resulted in Thomson Holdings being wholly owned by Thomson-CSF International (France).

On 4 April 2001, Thales International (formerly Thomson-CSF International), transferred 22 512 ordinary shares and 14 450 preference shares to Thales International Africa Ltd (Mauritius), the latter company thereby replacing the former as sole shareholder of Thomson Holdings.

9. Thomson Holdings changed its name to THINT Holding (Southern Africa) (Pty) Ltd on 23 October 2003.
10. Schabir Shaik (hereinafter referred to as Shaik) was a director from the date of incorporation in 1996 to 30 September 1999, when he resigned from the board.
11. Alain Thétard (hereinafter referred to as Thétard) was appointed as a director on 1 April 1998. Thétard resigned from the board on 30 January 2002.

12. Pierre Jean-Marie Robert Moynot (hereinafter referred to as Moynot) was a director from the date of incorporation in 1996 to 1 April 1998, when he resigned from the board. He was re-appointed as a director on 1 October 2002 and he remains as such.

Thint (Pty) (Accused 3)

13. On 16 July 1996 Thomson-CSF (Pty) Ltd was incorporated in South Africa, also to promote the development of South African industry by entering into joint ventures.
14. Thomson Holdings has been the majority shareholder and Nkobi Investments the minority shareholder since 1 August 1996 when 70 shares were issued to Thomson Holdings and 30 to Nkobi Investments. On 16 September 1999 the share capital was increased and on 29 September 1999 shares were issued to Thomson Holdings and Nkobi Investments to cause Thomson Holdings to become the owner of 75% and Nkobi Investments 25% of Thomson (Pty).
15. Thomson (Pty) changed its name to THINT (Pty) Ltd on 19 August 2003.
16. Shaik was a director since the date of incorporation in 1996 to 13 June 2005 when he resigned from the board.
17. Thétard was appointed as a director on 1 April 1998 and resigned on 30 January 2002.
18. Moynot was a director from the date of incorporation in 1996 to 1 April 1998, when he resigned from the board. He was re-appointed as a director on 16 January 2004 and he remains as such.

B. THE NKOBI GROUP

Nkobi Holdings

19. Nkobi Holdings (Pty) Ltd was registered on 27 February 1995 as a holding company. It was initially wholly owned by Shaik. The shareholding went through various permutations subsequently. Shaik has an effective majority shareholding in Nkobi Holdings, through his interests in the following shareholders of Nkobi Holdings, namely Star Corp SA (Pty) Ltd, Clanwest Investments (Pty) Ltd and Floryn Investments (Pty) Ltd.
20. Nkobi Holdings' only investment is Nkobi Investments.
21. Floryn Investments is ostensibly wholly owned by Shaik. He initially intended to hold the shares as nominee or cedent for the African National Congress, which would have made the latter, from the point of view of Shaik and/or the Nkobi group, a 10% shareholder in Nkobi Holdings.

Nkobi Investments

22. Nkobi Investments (Pty) Ltd was registered on 24 February 1995 as an investment company. It was initially wholly owned by Shaik. The shareholding went through various permutations until, on 20 August 1998, Nkobi Holdings became the sole shareholder.
23. As described above, Nkobi Investments had an initial minority shareholding in Thomson Holdings. In addition it had, and continues to have, a minority shareholding (25%) in Thomson (Pty).

Other relevant corporate entities within the Nkobi group

24. The following companies are all entities within the Nkobi group, being 100% owned by Nkobi Investments. (There are a number of other entities within the Nkobi group.)
- a. Kobifin (Pty) Ltd
 - b. Kobitech (Pty) Ltd
 - c. Proconsult (Pty) Ltd
 - d. Pro Con Africa (Pty) Ltd
 - e. Kobitech Transport Systems (Pty) Ltd

Other relevant corporate entities

25. Shaik was the sole shareholder and a director of Clegton Investments (Pty) Ltd.
26. Shaik was the majority shareholder and sole director of Chartley Investments (Pty) Ltd.

Control of the Nkobi group and other relevant entities

27. Shaik was at all relevant times a director of, and exercised effective control over, all the corporate entities within the Nkobi group and the other relevant corporate entities mentioned above (Floryn Investments (Pty) Ltd, Clegton Investments (Pty) Ltd and Chartley Investments (Pty) Ltd).

C. AFRICAN DEFENCE SYSTEMS (PTY) LTD

28. African Defence Systems (Pty) Ltd (hereinafter also referred to as ADS) was first registered in 1967 under another name. After a history of various owners, Thomson-CSF (France) acquired 7 000 001 shares

on 14 April 1998, to become a joint shareholder (50% plus one share) with Allied Technologies Ltd. On 19 February 1999 Allied Technologies Ltd transferred its shareholding in ADS to Thomson-CSF (France). On 9 June 1999 Thomson-CSF (France) transferred its shareholding in ADS to Thomson-CSF International (France). On 15 September 1999 Thomson-CSF International (France) transferred its shareholding in ADS to Thomson (Pty) (80%) and FBS Holdings (Pty) Ltd (20%).

D. ZUMA (ACCUSED 1)

29. During the period relevant to this indictment, accused 1 held several high-ranking offices both in the provincial and national executive and in the ANC. Accused 1, by virtue of the various offices he held, had the powers, both formal and *de facto* and/or duties attendant to such offices. While it is not possible to comprehensively define these powers and duties, they included at least the various powers and duties set out below.

MEC for Economic Affairs and Tourism in KZN (May 1994 to 17 June 1999)

30. Accused 1 was a member of the KwaZulu-Natal (KZN) legislature and the Minister of Economic Affairs and Tourism for the Province of KwaZulu-Natal from May 1994.
31. As such, accused 1 was clothed in the powers of a member of the provincial Parliament (MPP) and provincial executive (MEC) *inter alia* as set out in section 125 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 (the Constitution) and the corresponding provisions of Act 200 of 1993 (the Interim Constitution) as well as powers specifically conferred upon him by the Premier of the province in terms of section 132 (2) of the Constitution. These powers included attending

meetings, being privy to the discussions of and participating in the decision-making processes of the Provincial Executive Council. He also exercised political authority over the KZN Department of Economic Affairs and Tourism, which included the determination of departmental policy and confirming the appointment of certain departmental officials. He was accordingly, by virtue of his office, in a position to exercise considerable influence in the affairs of the department.

32. Less formally, accused 1 had *inter alia* the power to compose and dispatch correspondence on official ministerial letterhead carrying the weight of his office and generally to influence both local and foreign businessmen and companies intent upon doing business in KZN.
33. Both the interim and final Constitutions imposed certain duties upon accused 1 by virtue of his office as a MEC of a province. In terms of section 149 of the Interim Constitution accused 1 may not have-
 - (8) taken up any other paid employment, engaged in any activities inconsistent with his membership of the Executive Council, or exposed himself to any situation which carried with it the risk of a conflict between his responsibilities as a member of the Executive Council and his private interests; or
 - (9) used his position as such to enrich himself or any other person.
34. In terms of section 136 of the (final) Constitution accused 1 may not have-
 - (a) undertaken any other paid work;

- (b) acted in any way that was inconsistent with his office, or exposed himself to any situation involving the risk of a conflict between his official responsibilities and private interests; or
 - (c) used his position or any information entrusted to him, to enrich himself or improperly benefit any other person.
35. Accused 1's duties were further circumscribed by the Code of Conduct contained in the Ministerial Handbook of 1994, which remained in force until substituted by an updated version on 5 May 1999, which contains similar provisions. In addition to explicitly compelling a Minister and/or MEC to observe and uphold the Constitution, the Code specifically provides *inter alia* that:
- 1.2 (c) Ministers shall perform their duties in the interests of the nation as a whole and in defence and promotion of the integrity of the nation, avoiding measures which would prejudice the national welfare.
 - 1.3(a) All Ministers shall at all times observe practices that are free from all forms of corruption and shall not use their office, position or privileged information to distribute favours or patronage nor to seek or obtain any personal fortune or favour.
 - 1.3(d) Ministers shall not play any active role in profit making institutions.
 - 1.3(g) Ministers may only accept small gifts and gifts offered on official occasions, provided that they have satisfied themselves that the gifts are not being presented to influence them in an improper manner.

Deputy President of the African National Congress (December 1997 to date)

36. In December 1997 accused 1 was elected Deputy President of the African National Congress (“ANC”). Prior to this he held the position of Provincial Chairman of the ANC in KZN.

37. In terms of Rule 16.2 of the African National Congress Constitution as amended and adopted at the 50th National Conference in December 1997, the duties and functions of the Deputy President are described as follows:

“The Deputy President shall assist the President, deputise for him or her when necessary and carry out whatever functions are entrusted to him or her by the National Conference, the President or the NEC (National Executive Committee). He or she shall be an ex-officio member of the NWC (National Working Committee).”

38. In terms of Rule 16.1 of the African National Congress Constitution as amended and adopted at the 50th National Conference in December 1997, the President has, *inter alia*, the following duties and functions:

“...He or she shall:...

b. Make pronouncements for and on behalf of the NEC outlining and explaining the policy or attitude of the ANC on any question...

d. Under the overall supervision of the NEC, orient and direct the activities of the ANC.”

39. In terms of Rule 26.3.2 of the African National Congress Constitution as amended and adopted at the 50th National Conference in December 1997, the following shall be regarded as serious offences:

- c. Behaving corruptly in seeking or accepting any bribe for performing or for not performing any task;
 - d. Engaging in.....abuse of office to obtain.....any other undue advantage from members or others;
 - e. Abuse of elected or employed office in the organization or in the State to obtain any direct or indirect undue advantage or enrichment.
40. It follows that the Deputy President of the ANC has a duty to refrain from such activities.

***Deputy President of South Africa and Leader of Government Business
(17 June 1999 to 14 June 2005)***

41. Accused 1 was appointed as Deputy President of the Republic of South Africa and a member of the National Assembly of Parliament on 17 June 1999.
42. As Deputy President, accused 1 was in terms of section 90 of the Constitution, clothed with the powers and functions of the President (as set out in sections 84 and 85 of the Constitution) whenever the latter was out of the Republic or otherwise unable to fulfill his duties. In terms of section 91(5) of the Constitution, the Deputy President is also responsible for assisting the President in the execution of the functions of government and for executing any powers and functions assigned to him by the President in terms of section 91(2).

43. As a member of the national Cabinet, accused 1 had the power to attend meetings and be privy to the discussions of and participate in the decision-making processes of Cabinet.
44. Less formally, accused 1 had *inter alia* the power to compose and dispatch correspondence on official letterhead of the Presidency carrying the weight of that office, meet with government officials and influential persons from countries around the world and generally to exercise the influence attendant upon the holder of the second highest office in the government of this country.
45. The Constitution imposed certain duties upon accused 1 by virtue of his office as a member of the cabinet. In terms of section 96(2) of the Constitution, accused 1 may not have-
 - (a) undertaken any other paid work;
 - (b) acted in any way that was inconsistent with his office, or exposed himself to any situation involving the risk of a conflict between his official responsibilities and private interests; or
 - (c) used his position or any information entrusted to him, to enrich himself or improperly benefit any other person.
46. The Code of Conduct in Regard to Financial Interests, as adopted by the Joint Meeting of the Rules Committees of the National Assembly and the Senate on 21 May 1996, applied to him in his capacity as a member of the National Assembly. In terms of paragraph 1.1 of the Code, accused 1 was duty bound to maintain the highest standards of propriety to ensure that his integrity and that of the political institutions in which he served were beyond question. In terms of paragraph 1.2, accused 1 was duty bound not to have placed himself in a position

which conflicts with his responsibilities as a public representative in Parliament, nor may he have taken any improper benefit, profit or advantage from the office of Member. He was also duty bound to disclose to the Registrar of Members Interests all registrable financial interests, including outside remuneration, gifts and hospitality and any other benefit of a material nature.

47. Accused 1's duties were further circumscribed by the Code of Conduct contained in the Ministerial Handbook of 5 May 1999, which contains provisions similar to those set out in paragraph 35 above. This Code of Conduct circumscribed the conduct of Ministers until replaced by the Executive Ethics Code of 2000.

48. The Executive Ethics Code of 2000 promulgated in terms of section 2(1) of the Executive Members Ethics Act, No. 82 of 1998 applied to accused 1 in his capacity as Deputy President and member of Cabinet. It too imposed a duty on accused 1 to maintain the highest standards of ethical propriety and expanded on his constitutional duties by prohibiting him from, *inter alia*:
 - (a) willfully misleading the legislature;

 - (b) undertaking any other paid work;

 - (c) acting in any way that is inconsistent with his office;

 - (d) exposing himself to any situation involving the risk of a conflict between his official responsibilities and his private interests;

 - (e) using his position or any information entrusted to him, to enrich himself or improperly benefit any other person; or

(f) acting in a way that may compromise the credibility or integrity of his office or of the government.

49. The Executive Ethics Code furthermore imposed a duty on accused 1 to disclose the same financial interests to the Secretary of Cabinet as he was obliged to disclose to Parliament and, in addition, to disclose his liabilities.

50. In June 1999 accused 1 was also appointed Leader of Government Business in the National Assembly of Parliament in terms of section 91(4) of the Constitution. His functions included acting as a link between the executive and parliament and ensuring that legislation emanating from the executive reached parliament on time.

CHAPTER 2: THE BENEFITS

E. ACCUSED 1'S BENEFIT FROM SHAIK, THE NKOKI GROUP, THINT AND OTHER RELEVANT ENTITIES

General Corruption

51. Accused 1 to 3, Shaik and the companies comprising the Nkobi group ("the Nkobi Group") formed a common purpose to bribe accused 1 through an ongoing series of payments from Shaik and the Nkobi Group to and on behalf of Zuma, as set out in the **schedule**, and through various services provided free of charge by Shaik and the Nkobi Group to accused 1, as described more fully hereunder. The common purpose between accused 1, Shaik and the Nkobi Group was formed on or before 25 October 1995. Accused 2 and 3 became party to this common purpose.
52. Shaik and/or the relevant corporate entities within the Nkobi group and/or the other relevant corporate entities have benefited accused 1 in the period 25 October 1995 to 1 July 2005, through 783 payments totaling R4 072 499.85 (Four million and seventy two thousand four hundred and ninety nine Rand and eighty five cents), as set out in the **schedule** (hereinafter referred to as the "**schedule benefits**"). This was by way of payments from Shaik and/or the relevant corporate entities within the Nkobi group and/or the other relevant corporate entities to accused 1 and various parties for the benefit of accused 1.
53. On 28 February 1999 an amount of R1 282 027.63 was irregularly written off in the Nkobi accounting records (Kobifin (Pty) Ltd) under the description of "Development costs of Prodiba". Included in the amount so written-off as development costs were various amounts paid to

accused 1, totaling R283 451.16. The balance represented amounts that Shaik and Floryn Investments (Pty) Ltd owed to the Nkobi group. This resulted in the misrepresentation of the 1999 Annual Financial Statements of Kobifin (Pty) Ltd, in that accounts receivable or director's and/or related third party loans were understated. Alternatively, retained income was overstated. More importantly, the record of the bulk of the payments to/on behalf of accused 1 up to that date, and any obligation by accused 1 to repay such amounts as may have been said to exist, were effectively expunged from the books of the Nkobi Group.

54. As a result of the questioning of Nkobi's external auditors (Davis Strachan and Taylor – "DS&T") by Directorate of Special Investigations ("DSO") investigators in 2002, the write-off of the loan accounts as Development Costs was declared to be a "fundamental error" in terms of a letter issued by DS&T on 11 Feb 2003. Several of the payments so written off were consequently reversed in the 2002 Annual Financial Statements of Kobifin (Pty) Ltd. However, only R68 818.66 of the payments identified to and on behalf of accused 1, originally included in the amount written off, formed part of the reversal. In the result, identified payments to/on behalf of accused 1 totaling R214 632.50 remain written off in the books of the Nkobi Group, despite the reversal in the 2002 restated annual financial statements.
55. The schedule payments to accused 1 make no legitimate business sense, in that neither Shaik, the Nkobi group, nor the other relevant entities could afford the payments, being at all times in a cash-starved position (at least until August 2004), relying on and at times exceeding bank overdrafts and thus effectively borrowing money from banks at the prevailing interest rates to make the said payments interest free. On the other hand, the group's survival depended upon obtaining

profitable new business, *inter alia*, with the assistance of accused 1, and also accused 2 and 3.

56. Furthermore, and whether the loans were affordable or not, it was not the Nkobi group's legitimate business to make payments to accused 1 or other politicians.
57. Even during the period August 2004 to 1 July 2005, the average positive cash balance of the group was substantially less than the cumulative schedule payments to accused 1.
58. Some of the schedule payments are described and/or treated in certain Nkobi documents as loans, although the treatment is inconsistent. The final accounting treatment of R1 137 722.48 of the total payments of R4 072 499.85 does not reflect the payments as loans. However, the schedule payments were intended by Shaik, the Nkobi Group and the present accused as bribes, whatever their description.
59. Even if the abovementioned schedule payments could properly be regarded as loans, they nevertheless amounted to "benefits" within the meaning of sections 1(1)(a) and (b) of Act No. 94 of 1992 and "gratifications" within the meaning of sections 1, 3(a) and (b) and 10(a) and (b) of Act No. 12 of 2004, as explained more fully below. The schedule benefits were paid to accused 1 in circumstances where he would not have been able to obtain such funding commercially. The following features of the payments constitute benefits to accused 1:
 - a. The facility of providing the funds to accused 1 is itself a benefit.
 - b. Inasmuch as the funds may have been provided interest-free, this is a benefit.

- c. Inasmuch as interest may have purported to have been charged, interest payments were deferred. This is a benefit.
 - d. Inasmuch as capital payments may have purported to have been due, these were deferred. This is a benefit.
 - e. The funds were paid without security. This is not a usual commercial practice with banks, more especially in respect of a customer with accused 1's risk profile. This accordingly constitutes a benefit.
 - f. An appraisal of a debtor's ability to repay a loan is a matter of some importance to banks and other commercial sources of credit. Inasmuch as accused 1's ability to repay the money that Shaik and/or Nkobi advanced to him was and remains seriously questionable, the "loans" are not commercial and they are a benefit to accused 1. The notional interest payments alone are beyond any legitimate means of repayment available to accused 1.
 - g. Despite Nkobi's precarious position with the banks, Shaik and Nkobi made no effort to recover any of the payments from accused 1. This failure to demand repayment is itself a benefit to accused 1. Shaik did not intend to enforce the terms of the "loan" and neither has he done so. This is a benefit.
60. The abovementioned benefits are hereinafter referred to as the "facility benefits".
61. Shaik purported to act as accused 1's financial adviser and/or special economic adviser without charging any fee or demanding any lawful

remuneration for this service. In this capacity Shaik provided the following types of services free of charge:

- a. Managing accused 1's financial affairs;
- b. Corresponding with and meeting accused 1's creditors;
- c. Negotiating with accused 1's creditors and their legal representatives;
- d. Corresponding with, meeting and dealing with accused 1's bankers, and introducing accused 1 to new bankers and services;
- e. Providing legal advice and services to accused 1 through Shaik's/Nkobi's attorneys;
- f. Utilizing the administrative, secretarial and personnel resources of the Nkobi group for managing the affairs of accused 1 and his family;
- g. Attending to the accommodation requirements of accused 1;
- h. Attending to the financial and other affairs of accused 1's family members;
- i. Assisting accused 1 to complete his tax returns;
- j. Assisting accused 1 to complete the prescribed declarations of interests to Parliament and to the Secretary to the Cabinet.

62. The abovementioned services, provided free of charge, constitute benefits to accused 1 (hereinafter referred to as the “**service benefits**”).

General corruption giving rise to the specific corruption

63. During 1998, accused 1 intervened and assisted Shaik, the Nkobi group and the Thomson-CSF group to resolve a dispute that had arisen regarding Nkobi's participation with accused 3 in the acquisition of ADS. The details are described more fully below.
64. From the point of view of Nkobi, this was an instance of obtaining the assistance of accused 1 to ensure the group's survival by obtaining profitable new business.
65. From the point of view of accused 1, this was an instance of him using his powers as MEC and/or Deputy President of the ANC to further the private business interests of Shaik and the Nkobi Group.
66. From the Thomson-CSF perspective, this was an instance of obtaining advance political support, approval or assurance from accused 1 for its choice of South African partners to gain an advantage over its bidding competitors for business relating to the arms deal described below.
67. Accused 1's assistance as described, and his anticipated assistance relating to the arms deal was informal and it did not form part of the official bidding/selection process.
68. The abovementioned dispute was resolved in principle with accused 1's assistance during 1998. The legalities pursuant to the agreement in principle regarding the restructuring that ensured Nkobi's participation in ADS with accused 3 were completed in September 1999.

69. On 9 September 1999, Patricia de Lille gave notice in Parliament that she wished to table a motion regarding alleged irregularities in the arms deal. The Presidency immediately issued a statement denying accused 1's involvement. De Lille's motion was tabled in Parliament on 21 September 1999. The matter received extensive publicity.
70. Also at about this time and on 28 September 1999, the Minister of Defence approved the arms deal as a subject for the Auditor General's special review.

Specific corruption

71. In the period 1999 to 2000, accused 2 and 3 (acting through Thétard) and/or Thomson-CSF (France) and/or Thomson-CSF International (France) and/or Thales International Africa Ltd (Mauritius) (acting through Perrier and/or de Jomaron) conspired with Shaik and his Nkobi group and accused 1, to pay accused 1 the amount of R500 000 per annum (hereinafter referred to as "the **annual benefits**") as a bribe in exchange for accused 1's protection of the Thomson-CSF group in respect of the investigation into the corvettes ("Project Sitron") part of the arms deal and for his support of the Thomson-CSF group for future projects. These annual payments were to continue until the first payment of dividends by ADS.
72. Shaik, also acting on accused 1's behalf, had met with Thétard on 30 September 1999 to convey accused 1's request for a bribe to Thétard. Thereafter, and also in furtherance of the common purpose to achieve the objectives of the conspiracy to pay and receive the bribe and to secure accused 1's protection of and support for the Thomson-CSF group as described, contact between the conspirators continued. This was by way of correspondence and meetings between Shaik, Thétard

(acting as director of accused 2 and 3 and as the representative of the Thomson-CSF group in South Africa), accused 1, Perrier and/or de Jomaron.

73. It was agreed between the parties that the bribes would not be paid directly to accused 1, but that some method of payment would be employed that was calculated to disguise the true nature of the payments so as to avoid detection. Consequently, during the period late 2000 to early 2001, Kobifin (Pty) Ltd entered into a so-called "service provider agreement" with Thomson-CSF International Africa Ltd in Mauritius, as a device to conceal or disguise the true nature and source of the payment of the bribe. In terms of the agreement, remuneration was to be paid in installments of R250 000. The first two installments were initially due before the end of December 2000 and on 28 February 2001 respectively. Shaik stipulated that the total remuneration was to be R1 million.

74. Accused 1 needed funds to pay for the development of his traditional residential village estate at Nkandla in rural northern KZN. Plans for the development were dated March 2000. The development commenced in approximately July 2000. The final tender amount agreed to was R1 340 000 (after the development was commenced with). The development was finalized during March 2001. Various arrangements were made during the construction and subsequently to provide finance on accused 1's behalf. At no stage during construction and thereafter has accused 1 been able to settle the outstanding amount or obtain finance without the intervention and assistance of third parties, including arrangements for payment through Shaik in accordance with the agreement to disguise payments to accused 1 described above.

75. On 16 February 2001, R249 725.00 was transferred from Thales International Africa – Mauritius to the ABSA current account of Kobitech (Pty) Ltd as a first payment in pursuance of the abovementioned scheme.
76. Within eight days (on 24 February 2001) and in furtherance of the common purpose to bribe accused 1, Kobitech (Pty) Ltd paid R250 000 to Development Africa, a trust to which accused 1 was indebted to the sum of R 1 million, in reduction of accused 1's liability to Development Africa.
77. At the same time, Kobitech (Pty) Ltd issued three post-dated cheques, with numbers sequential to the first paid cheque of R250 000, each also in the amount of R250 000 and each in favour of Development Africa.
78. The payment to Development Africa and the issuing of the post-dated cheques were for the credit of accused 1.
79. On 19 April 2001 Kobitech (Pty) Ltd requested ABSA Bank to stop payment on the three cheques each for R250 000 in favour of Development Africa.
80. On 4 September 2001 Shaik received a deposit of R175 000 from Kobitech (Pty) Ltd. On 5 September 2001 a cheque to the value of R125 000 was drawn against Shaik's account in favour of Development Africa. On 17 September 2001 a further cheque of R125 000 was drawn against Shaik's account in favour of Development Africa.
81. The schedule and/or facility and/or service and/or annual benefits received and/or to be received by accused 1 as aforementioned from

or on behalf of Shaik and/or the other relevant corporate entities, as set out above, constituted benefits within the meaning of section 1(1) of Act No. 94 of 1992 which were not legally due to accused 1.

82. The schedule and/or facility and/or service and/or annual benefits received and/or to be received by accused 1 as aforementioned from or on behalf of Shaik and/or the other relevant corporate entities, as set out above, also constituted gratifications within the meaning of section 1 of Act 12 of 2004, as explained more fully below.
83. The benefits advanced to accused 1, for all the reasons mentioned above, were corruptly made in furtherance of an ongoing scheme and common purpose to influence accused 1 to use his office or position to advance the private business interests of Shaik and/or the Thomson-CSF group, including accused 2 and 3 and/or to reward accused 1 for so doing.

CHAPTER 3: THE BUSINESS INTERESTS**F. THE PRIVATE BUSINESS INTERESTS OF SHAIK, THE NKOKI GROUP AND THE THOMSON-CSF GROUP*****General***

84. Nkobi's main business was to enter into joint ventures with local and foreign companies with a view to obtaining lucrative government contracts. Shaik made it clear that Nkobi's role in joint ventures with other partners was to provide political connections (as opposed to financial resources or technical expertise). It was generally well understood that the political connection was so strong from Shaik's side that there was no need for Nkobi to provide the money or the expertise. Shaik's political connections included pre-eminently his connection with accused 1, which in turn was founded also on accused 1's financial dependence on Shaik. Accused 1 well knew that Shaik's ability to continue financially supporting him depended on Shaik's business success, including his success with accused 2 and 3 and their joint ventures. Shaik accords specific prominence to his relationship with accused 1 in promotional material relating to the Nkobi group.

Joint ventures between the Thomson and Nkobi groups

85. Shareholders agreements were entered into between the Thomson and Nkobi groups on 22 May and 17 July 1996. In terms of these agreements, Thomson business in South Africa, conducted through accused 3, would be in partnership with Nkobi.

86. Consequently, Thomson and Nkobi were joint venture partners (together with Denel) in obtaining the award of the contract for credit

card type drivers' licences during 1996 – 1997 (the Prodiba joint venture).

87. There were a number of joint ventures and possible future joint ventures between Nkobi and Thomson, including projects in transport, tourism, justice, finance, prisons, hospitals, water, the Durban airport, the ID card contract, the N2, N3 and N4 road projects, the third cellular telephone network, the arms deal set out below and other military deals, and smart card technology.

The Arms Deal

88. In the design for the South African Defence Force, which was recommended in the Defence Review, various types of military equipment were identified as being required by the Force.
89. In order to procure the said military equipment, requests for information were submitted on 23 September 1997 to various other countries, and after receipt of such information by the closing date of 31 October 1997, requests for offers were issued to short-listed potential suppliers.
90. The process to procure the various types of equipment was generally known as the Strategic Defence Package Acquisition Programme, or the arms deal.

The Formal and Informal Processes

91. The formal evaluation of the competing bidders for contracts arising from the arms deal was conducted through an ostensibly rigorous and scientific evaluation process conducted by various committees of military and other experts and representatives of the various interested bodies. The final authority for awarding the contracts resided in an *ad*

hoc committee of cabinet ministers chaired by the then Deputy President Mbeki.

92. However, a separate and parallel process of informal meetings and communications occurred (hereinafter referred to as the “informal process”) in which persons and entities interested in participating in the contracts sought to glean information on the process and exert influence, directly or indirectly, on formal decision makers. This also held true in relation to the corvette program (Project Sitron).
93. Through this informal process, it became known at an early stage, even before the award and adjudication processes were completed, to a select group of persons and entities (including Shaik, Thomson-CSF and accused 2 and 3) that ADS was likely to be awarded the contract for the supply of the combat suite for the corvettes.

Efforts by Thomson CSF to position itself to participate in the Corvette Contract

94. The need to upgrade the capacity of the navy’s surface vessels was identified as early as 1993. A process to acquire such vessels was launched and reached an advanced stage, but was subsequently rejected by cabinet in favour of a more comprehensive process to update equipment throughout the armed services. As part of this comprehensive update, the acquisition of 4 patrol corvettes was approved.
95. Thomson-CSF was anxious to participate in this contract, preferably as a primary contractor, failing which as a supplier of the combat suite. To this end, it set about as early as 1995 to acquire an interest in ADS, which it believed to be the favoured supplier of the combat suite, through accused 2 and 3.

The Selection of the Correct BEE Partner

96. Thomson-CSF, and subsequently accused 2 and 3, were of the view that in order to ensure their participation in the combat suite through ADS, it was essential to acquire a local black economic empowerment (BEE) partner. However, it was considered vital to select the “right” BEE partner. The major criterion for the selection of such a partner was its political connectivity (whether this was founded on one or more corrupt relationships of mutual support or otherwise), since Thomson-CSF, and subsequently accused 2 and 3, were of the view that the final decisions for the award of such contracts are always taken at a political level. At the time of the joint venture agreement described above, Nkobi was regarded as a BEE partner which met this criterion, *inter alia* because of the corrupt relationship between Shaik and accused 1.
97. However, at approximately the same time as the request for information was submitted by the South African government to foreign suppliers in September 1997, Thomson-CSF became concerned that its choice of Nkobi as its South African partner for the proposed acquisition of ADS (all with a view to successfully bidding for the combat suites as described), did not carry the approval of influential figures within the South African government. It was thus decided that the shares in ADS would be acquired directly by Thomson-CSF (France) and not by accused 3, to the exclusion of Nkobi, until the issue of suitable local partners could be resolved. This decision to exclude Nkobi was despite the shareholders’ agreement between Thomson and Nkobi. Consequently, Thomson-CSF (France) acquired 50% plus one share of ADS on 14 April 1998.

98. Due to the uncertainty of accused 2 and 3 as to the suitability of Nkobi as the correct BEE partner, accused 2 and 3 entered into negotiations with various other potential BEE partners, including a company styled Coordinated Network Investments (Pty) Ltd (“CNI”, led by one Reuel Khoza) and Futuristic Business Solutions (Pty) Ltd (“FBS”, led by the former Chief of the SANDF, General Lambert Moloi)

The Resolution of the BEE Dilemma

99. In response to the request for offers, *inter alia* for corvettes, the German Frigate Consortium submitted an offer dated 11 May 1998 to supply the corvettes. The bid included ADS as the proposed supplier of the combat suites. It was also proposed in the bid that ADS would join the joint venture as a consortium partner in the final contract.
100. As indicated above, Nkobi was at this stage excluded from ADS. Thomson-CSF, on the other hand, was urgently seeking the informal political support it considered necessary to improve its chances of a successful bid involving ADS.
101. Moynot, as the then director of accused 2 and 3, suggested as early as 28 November 1997 that a meeting between Perrier and accused 1 should be sought, *inter alia* to resolve the issue of informal approval.
102. Similarly, on 17 March 1998, Shaik indicated that accused 1 wished to meet Perrier to resolve the issue.
103. The resolution of the issue would include the prospect that Nkobi should participate in ADS and ultimately share in the profits that were to be derived from the arms deal. The prospective benefit to Nkobi would also enable it to continue supporting accused 1.

104. Apart from the German Frigate Consortium, the South African government also received offers from the other short-listed parties. After the closing date for the receipt of offers on 13 May 1998, the next step in the official process was to select a preferred bidder. The Strategic Offers Committee met on 1 and 2 July 1998 for this purpose and specifically to consolidate the scores of the various technical teams that were evaluating various aspects of each bid.
105. Accused 1 met Perrier in London on 2 July 1998 with Shaik, in accordance with both Thomson's and Shaik's wishes. Thomson required accused 1's approval of its ADS partner. Shaik required his approval of Nkobi as such ADS partner in order to cause Thomson to reverse its decision to exclude Nkobi from the ADS acquisition.
106. Accused 1 indicated that he approved of Nkobi as a suitable partner and it was decided in principle to reverse the earlier Thomson decision to exclude it from ADS.
107. Chippy Shaik is Shaik's brother. He was at this time Chief of Acquisitions in the department of defence and as such he directed and participated in the arms acquisition process. Thétard sought a meeting with him on 3 July 1998, which was held on 8 July 1998. During this meeting, Chippy Shaik indicated that he was aware of the meeting in London on 2 July 1998 between accused 1, Perrier and Shaik at which accused 1 had indicated his approval of Nkobi as a partner with Thomson in ADS.
108. The resolution of the dispute between Thomson and Nkobi was taken further, once again with the assistance of accused 1, at a meeting on 18 November 1998 at the Nkobi offices in Durban. The formalities of the earlier agreement were decided upon. In terms of the agreement reached on 18 November 1998, Thomson-CSF (France) would sell to

Nkobi Investments, through accused 3, an effective shareholding in ADS. The result of this was that Nkobi Investments would become a joint venture partner with Thomson in the German Frigate Consortium bid and so joined the successful bidder in the corvette bid. The ADS portion of the corvette contract was worth R1,3 billion, with R450 million coming directly to ADS and the balance going to sub-contractors. In the result, the Nkobi and Thomson groups stood to benefit from profits arising from the corvette contract.

109. In addition to Nkobi, accused 2 and 3 also included FBS as a 20% direct empowerment partner in ADS. CNI was ultimately excluded from participation.
110. The German Frigate Consortium bid was approved as the preferred bidder by the South African cabinet on 18 November 1998.
111. The actual transactions involving the sale of shares were registered in 1999. The most relevant transaction is that of 15 September 1999, when Thomson-CSF International (France) transferred 25 500 000 shares in ADS to Thomson (Pty), giving Thomson (Pty) 80% of ADS and consequently Nkobi Investments an indirect 20% interest in ADS.
112. A negotiating phase between the South African government and the German Frigate Consortium as the preferred bidder followed after 18 November 1998. The final contract was signed on 3 December 1999 between the government and a new consortium named the European South Africa Patrol Corvette Consortium (ESAPCC). This new consortium included ADS as a principal contractor to supply the combat suites, as originally proposed in the German Frigate Consortium bid.

Protection against investigations pertaining to alleged irregularities in respect of the arms deal

113. During November 1998, the Defence Audit Centre of the Office of the Auditor-General identified the procurement of the Strategic Defence Package Acquisition Programme as a high-risk area from an audit point of view and decided on the need to perform a special review of the procurement process. On 28 September 1999 the Minister of Defence, MPG Lekota, approved the Auditor General's audit review into the procurement process.
114. Questions relating to alleged irregularities in the Strategic Defence Package Acquisition Programme arose from September 1999. These were raised in the press and in Parliament. Allegations of corruption in respect of the award of the contract for the corvette programme were raised in the media from February 2000. The allegations of corruption included allegations against Chippy Shaik, Schabir Shaik, accused 1, ADS and Thomson CSF.
115. As the matter progressed, the South African government eventually faced requests to appoint the Heath Special Investigation Unit to investigate irregularities relating to the arms deal. This was endorsed by the Parliamentary Standing Committee for Public Accounts (SCOPA), whose 14th report recommending a joint investigation into the arms deal process by the Auditor General, the Public Protector, the Scorpions and the Heath Commission was adopted by Parliament on 3 November 2000. The report *inter alia* specifically raises concerns regarding the possible role played by "influential parties" in determining the choice of subcontractors (such as ADS) by prime contractors. The Heath Special Investigation Unit was a statutory agency mandated to investigate irregularities and had the power, in terms of the applicable

legislation and the due process prescribed, to seek to set aside contracts tainted by irregularities.

116. There was a perception on the part of Shaik, the Nkobi group and accused 2 and 3 that it would be in their interests to be protected against such investigations, *inter alia*, because they did not wish it to be discovered that accused 1 had intervened informally to assist in the resolution of the ADS dispute for the benefit of the Nkobi and Thomson groups, whilst receiving payments from Shaik and the Nkobi group. As mentioned above, the bribe of R500 000 per annum was in exchange for, *inter alia*, accused 1's protection.
117. In a letter dated 19 January 2001, signed in his capacity as "Leader of Government Business" in Parliament, accused 1 addressed a long letter to Gavin Woods, then chairperson of the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Public Accounts. It included the contention that there was no need for the Heath Unit to be involved in any investigation of the arms deal. The letter was also copied to the various prime contractors, one of which was the German Frigate Consortium, which included Thomson CSF and ADS.

Furthering other private business interests of the accused

118. The main business of the Nkobi group was to enter into joint ventures with local and foreign businesses in order to secure contracts in the public sphere. Shaik and the Nkobi group sought to secure business partners and business opportunities primarily by trading on Shaik's political connectivity. This was done in three main ways:
- Firstly by advertising Shaik's "political connectivity" with *inter alia* accused 1, for instance by advertising his relationship as "financial

advisor” or “special economic advisor” to accused 1 in his various offices;

- Secondly by relying on accused 1 to meet or correspond with prospective business partners in order to advance his/its private business interests; and
- Thirdly by threatening prospective business partners, explicitly or impliedly, that he would resort to accused 1 if they did not act in accordance with Shaik’s wishes.

119. Accused 1 allowed Shaik to act in this manner as a result of the schedule and/or facility and/or service benefits received from Shaik and the Nkobi group, acting in common purpose with accused 2 and 3.

120. In addition to the ADS instance described above, Shaik relied on accused 1’s name and/or assistance in negotiations or communications with or in respect of (*inter alia*) the following :

- a. David Wilson of the Renong Group of Malaysia concerning the Point Development
- b. Peter Watt of Altron
- c. Deva Pannoosami of Southern Crest Marketing Services and Professor John Lennon of Glasgow Caledonian University concerning the Eco-Tourism School
- d. Thomson-CSF France
- e. Kuwaiti businessmen Ali and Fouad Al-Ghanim
- f. The establishment of an Nkobi Bank
- g. Kent and Jeffrey Crane of Crane (Africa)(Pty) Ltd, and James Roth of Roth International (USA)
- h. Hakim Belo-Osagie - Chairman of United Bank for Africa PLC (Nigeria)

- i. Grant Scriven of Venson PLC (UK)
- j. Jean-Marc Pizano of ATE
- k. The Indonesia – South Africa Business Club
- l. Haryono Eddyarto of PT Surveyor Indonesia
- m. The Cyprus Development Bank Limited
- n. Ron Coopersmith (USA)
- o. Bruce Allen Johnson of the Hudson Institute (USA)
- p. IGT (USA)
- q. Peter Shortt of the International Business and Development Group (UK)
- r. John S Kendall of Unisys Corporation (USA)
- s. John Chettle of Freedman, Kroll and Simonds (USA)
- t. Dr Khalid Abdullah Tarique Al-Mansour
- u. PT Surveyor Indonesia
- v. Queen City Properties (Pty) Limited
- w. PFI Briefing
- x. Wilberforce Institute
- y. Aids project
- z. Her Majesty's Consul
- aa. Procon Fischer (Pty) Limited
- bb. Professor Green Thompson

CHAPTER 5: THE CHARGES

121. Accused 1 committed the crime of Corruption in contravention of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992 and/or the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No. 12 of 2004 by receiving the schedule, facility and service benefits and gratifications in return for providing the weight of all his offices in favour of the accused in the circumstances described above, according to the currency of each respective Act, as detailed in the Indictment. (Count 2 and its alternative and sub-counts).
122. Accused 2 and 3 committed the crime of Corruption in contravention of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992 and/or the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No. 12 of 2004 by being party to a common purpose to give to accused 1 the schedule, facility and service benefits and gratifications as described above, according to the currency of each respective Act, as detailed in the Indictment. (Count 3 and its alternative and sub-counts).
123. Accused 1 committed the crime of Corruption in contravention of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992 by receiving the annual benefits as described above, as detailed in the Indictment. (Count 4).
124. Accused 2 and 3 committed the crime of Corruption in contravention of the Corruption Act, No. 94 of 1992 by being party to a common purpose to give to accused 1 the annual benefits as described above, as detailed in the Indictment. (Count 5).
125. All the accused committed the crime of Money–laundering in contravention of section 4, read with sections 1 and 8 of the Prevention of Organised Crime Act, No. 121 of 1998, by being party to a common purpose to disguise the payment of the bribe by way of the annual

benefits to accused 1 as described above, as detailed in the Indictment. (Count 6).

126. Alternatively, all the accused committed the crime of the acquisition, possession or use of proceeds of unlawful activities in contravention of section 6, read with sections 1 and 8 of the Prevention of Organised Crime Act, No. 121 of 1998 by being party to a common purpose to acquire, possess or use the annual benefits, being the proceeds of corruption, to be paid to accused 1 as described above, as detailed in the Indictment. (Alternatively to Count 6).
127. Accused 1 committed the crime of Fraud by failing to declare all the benefits to Parliament and the Secretary to the Cabinet as described above, as detailed in the Indictment. (Counts 7 to 8).
128. Accused 1 committed the crime of Fraud by failing to answer honestly to a question in Parliament concerning the meeting in March 2000, when the terms of the corruption involving the annual benefits were settled between the accused and Shaik as described above, as detailed in the Indictment. (Count 9)
129. Accused 1 committed the crime of Fraud by failing to declare to SARS the gross income which he received by way of the schedule benefits, as described above, as detailed in the Indictment. (Counts 10 to 18)
130. All the accused committed the crime of Racketeering in contravention of Section 2(1)(e) read with Sections 1, 2(2) and 3 of the Prevention of Organised Crime Act, No. 121 of 1998, by participating in the conduct, directly or indirectly, of the Nkobi Enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activities, being all the various crimes and racketeering acts in Counts 2 to 18. All the crimes were designed in one way or

another, to further the aims of the Enterprise. This is detailed further below.

CHAPTER 6: THE RACKETEERING CHARGE (COUNT 1)

A. RACKETEERING OFFENCES IN GENERAL

131. The **Prevention of Organised Crime Act, No. 121 of 1998**, (POCA) includes provisions in Chapter 2 that make it a crime to engage in racketeering.
132. Section 1(1) of POCA states that an “**enterprise**” includes any individual, partnership, corporation, association, or other juristic person or legal entity, and any union or group of individuals associated in fact, although not a juristic person or legal entity”.
133. Section 1(1) of POCA states that “**[P]attern of racketeering activity**” means the planned, ongoing, continuous or repeated participation or involvement in any offence referred to in Schedule 1 and includes at least two offences referred to in Schedule 1, of which one of the offences occurred after the commencement of this Act and the last offence occurred within 10 years (excluding any period of imprisonment) after the commission of such prior offence referred to in Schedule 1.”

B. THE ENTERPRISE

134. Nkobi Investments (Pty) Ltd was an enterprise within the meaning of Section 1(1) of POCA, being a corporation within the meaning of Section 1(1) of POCA (“the Enterprise”).
135. At all material times, Shaik was a director, CEO and controlling shareholder of Nkobi Investments and, as such, Shaik managed the Enterprise.

136. The Enterprise had its main offices at Suite 502, Victoria Main Building, 71 Victoria Embankment, Durban.
137. The Enterprise through its subsidiaries and associated companies, as more specifically set forth in the General Preamble to the Indictment, operated as an ostensibly legitimate group of companies, whose main business was to form joint ventures with foreign and local businesses, more particularly as a “Black Economic Empowerment” (“BEE”) partner, and so to bid for lucrative contracts, primarily in the public sphere.
138. The Enterprise and its subsidiaries had little to offer in the way of capital or expertise and relied primarily on Shaik’s much heralded “political connectivity” to attract partners with these resources and to secure an advantage over any competitors in obtaining contracts.
139. Apart from the legitimate objectives of the Enterprise, it also pursued certain illegitimate objectives, as set out further below, acting both in its own capacity as well as through various subsidiaries and associated companies and persons, including Shaik and the accused.

C. THE OBJECTS AND METHODS OF THE ENTERPRISE

In addition to the objects set forth in the General Preamble, the objects of the Enterprise included, but were not limited to the following:

140. To cultivate and maintain corrupt relationships with persons in positions of political power and high government office, including accused no. 1, in order to cultivate “political connectivity”;

141. To make payments to and on behalf of such persons in return for their services in advancing the interests of the Enterprise as and when required;
142. It was part of the objectives of the Enterprise that it, its employees, and persons and entities associated with it, would conceal or disguise payments to persons of political power and high government office, including accused 1;
143. It was nevertheless also part of the objectives of the Enterprise to procure funding or future funding from potential business partners, as described in paragraph 147 below, through its reliance on political connectivity, with the intention of enabling the Enterprise, its subsidiary and associated companies and Shaik to maintain the payments to persons of political power and high government office, including accused 1, in return for their services in advancing the interests of the Enterprise and its business partners as and when required;
144. To advertise political connectivity to or create the perception of political connectivity in the minds of potential business partners and thereby to induce, persuade or intimidate such partners to enter into, and maintain, joint venture agreements with the enterprise and on terms favourable to the enterprise and thereby also to enable the Enterprise to maintain its payments to persons of political power and high government office;
145. To attempt, through corrupt means, to secure lucrative contracts in the public sphere, either alone or through joint venture partnerships with other business partners;
146. To secure financing in the form of capital investments and loans to fund the operations of the enterprise;

147. To preserve and protect the enterprise, its members and its profits through the use of political connections. Such preservation and protection included protection against official investigations and/or prosecution;
148. Nevertheless to maintain the appearance that the Enterprise was a legitimate business.

D. PERSONS AND ENTITIES EMPLOYED BY OR ASSOCIATED WITH THE ENTERPRISE AND WHO PARTICIPATED IN THE CONDUCT OF ITS AFFAIRS

These included *inter alios*:

Accused 1

149. Accused 1 was employed by, alternatively associated with, the Enterprise:
150. The series of benefits paid by the Enterprise and its subsidiaries and associates to or on behalf of accused 1 over a period of nearly 10 years amounted to a salary in the form of a retainer, in return for which accused 1 would perform services for the Enterprise as and when required. This amounted to accused 1's *de facto* employment by the Enterprise within the meaning of the Act.
151. Alternatively and in any event, accused 1 was associated with the Enterprise in one or more of the following manners:
 - Through his close and longstanding association with Shaik, the manager of the enterprise;

- Through Shaik's position as his personal financial advisor and/or special economic advisor;
 - Through the schedule benefits which he received from the Enterprise.
 - Through the service and facility benefits which the enterprise provided to him;
 - Through his *de facto* shareholding in the Enterprise;
 - Through the "political connectivity" which he provided to the Enterprise; and/or
 - Through the services which he performed on behalf of the enterprise.
152. In one or both of these capacities, accused 1 participated in the conduct, directly or indirectly, of the Enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity, to wit by:
- Advising, counselling and/or assisting Shaik in the management or conduct of the Enterprise's affairs as described in paragraph C above;
 - Corruptly accepting the schedule benefits set out in the **Schedule** and more fully described below, each payment constituting a separate racketeering act;
 - Corruptly accepting the facility benefits more fully described below;
 - Corruptly accepting the service benefits more fully described below;
 - Corruptly agreeing to accept the annual benefits until the first payment of ADS dividends;
 - Furthering and/or attempting and/or agreeing to further the business of the Enterprise;
 - Protecting and/or attempting and/or agreeing to protect the Enterprise from criminal investigation and/or prosecution;

- Concealing the fact that he received benefits, payments, and/or advantages from the Enterprise and/or its subsidiaries or other associates of the Enterprise; and/or
- Concealing that he committed or omitted to do any act in relation to his powers and/or duties to further the interests of the Enterprise.

Accused 2 and 3

153. Accused 2 and 3 were associated with the Enterprise in that they were joint venture partners with the Enterprise in the ADS combat suite contract and with a subsidiary of the Enterprise in the Prodiba drivers' licence contract. They were also complicit in the Enterprise's payments to accused 1 with a view to benefiting from the Enterprise's resultant "political connectivity".
154. Accused 2 and 3 participated in the conduct of the Enterprise's affairs, directly or indirectly, through a pattern of racketeering activity, to wit by:
- Advising, counselling and/or assisting Shaik in the management or conduct of the Enterprise's affairs as described in paragraph C above;
 - Making common purpose with the Enterprise in corruptly providing the schedule and/or service and/or facility benefits described above;
 - Facilitating the Enterprise's entrée into the Prodiba and combat suite contracts;
 - Enabling the Enterprise to conduct its affairs, including its illegitimate affairs, by providing it with the necessary capital, which also enabled the Enterprise to continue its schedule payments to accused 1;
 - Corruptly entering into an agreement with Shaik and/or the Enterprise and accused 1 to pay accused 1 a bribe of the annual benefits until the first payment of ADS dividends;

- Entering into a money laundering agreement, arrangement or transaction with the Enterprise in order to disguise the nature, source disposition or movement of the monies paid to or for accused 1 in pursuance of the said bribe agreement and/or to enable or assist the Enterprise and accused 1 to avoid prosecution; and/or
- Paying a sum of R250 000 to the Enterprise for the benefit of accused 1 in pursuance of the said bribe agreement.

E. PATTERN OF RACKETEERING ACTIVITY

155. In furtherance of the objectives of the Enterprise and in order to secure the necessary political connectivity, benefits were provided to a number of politicians and holders of high government office, in particular accused 1, through the Enterprise.
156. More specifically, benefits provided through the Enterprise included, but were not limited to:
- Corruptly paying the schedule benefits to or on behalf of accused 1, each payment constituting a separate racketeering act;
 - Corruptly providing to accused 1 the facility benefits;
 - Corruptly providing to accused 1 the service benefits;
 - Corruptly entering into an agreement with accused 1 to 3 to pay accused 1 the annual benefits until the first payment of ADS dividends;
 - Concealing the giving and receipt of benefits to or on behalf of accused 1 and any acts performed or omitted by accused 1 for or on behalf of the Enterprise.
157. One or more of the accused, individually or together, committed the racketeering acts set forth in the Indictment and the alternatives thereto.

CHAPTER 6: MISCELLANEOUS

158. Shaik and the relevant Nkobi entities stood trial in the High Court at Durban between October 2004 and June 2005, resulting in their conviction on Corruption, Fraud and Money-laundering charges. The facts on which the prosecution was founded were similar to the present prosecution, involving some of the schedule benefits and the annual benefits.

ooo000ooo

Schedule to Indictment and Summary of Facts: Payments made by Shaik and Nkobi group to and on behalf of Zuma

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J
Payment number	Date	Amount	Cumulative	Paying account holder	Receiving account holder/for the benefit of	Classification	Classification	Sub-classification
1	25-Oct-95	3,500.00	3,500.00	Clegton Investments	KM Zuma	Zuma wife	Family	Other
2	04-Mar-96	2,500.00	6,000.00	Cash	KM Zuma	Zuma wife	Family	Other
3	13-Sep-96	2,500.00	8,500.00	Pro Con Africa	Sparkport Pharmacy	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
4	13-Sep-96	10,000.00	18,500.00	Pro Con Africa	Sparkport Pharmacy	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
5	23-Dec-96	7,500.00	26,000.00	Pro Con Africa	Sparkport Pharmacy	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
6	31-Jan-97	3,500.00	29,500.00	Proconsult	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
7	08-Feb-97	3,500.00	33,000.00	Pro Con Africa	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
8	28-Feb-97	5,000.00	38,000.00	Schabir Shaik	JG Zuma	Zuma	Personal	Cash
9	28-Feb-97	10,000.00	48,000.00	Schabir Shaik	Michigan Investments	Zuma debt	Personal	Bond
10	12-Mar-97	3,500.00	51,500.00	Chartley Investments	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
11	25-Mar-97	5,000.00	56,500.00	Schabir Shaik	JG Zuma	Zuma	Personal	Cash
12	25-Mar-97	10,000.00	66,500.00	Schabir Shaik	Michigan Investments	Zuma debt	Personal	Bond
13	25-Mar-97	14,000.00	80,500.00	Chartley Investments	NC Zuma	Zuma wife	Family	Other
14	05-Apr-97	20,000.00	100,500.00	Clegton Investments	Michigan Investments	Zuma debt	Personal	Bond
15	16-Apr-97	3,500.00	104,000.00	Chartley Investments	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
16	30-Apr-97	5,000.00	109,000.00	Clegton Investments	AQ Holdings	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
17	30-May-97	5,000.00	114,000.00	Kobifin	AQ Holdings	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
18	04-Jun-97	3,500.00	117,500.00	Kobifin	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
19	11-Jun-97	11,440.77	128,940.77	Kobifin	Wesbank	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
20	19-Jun-97	3,500.00	132,440.77	Kobifin	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
21	19-Jun-97	4,075.34	136,516.11	Kobifin	Wesbank	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
22	30-Jun-97	5,000.00	141,516.11	Kobifin	AQ Holdings	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
23	07-Jul-97	11,440.77	152,956.88	Kobifin	Wesbank	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
24	15-Jul-97	4,075.34	157,032.22	Kobifin	Wesbank	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
25	25-Jul-97	10,000.00	167,032.22	Kobifin	Michigan Investments	Zuma debt	Personal	Bond
26	30-Jul-97	5,000.00	172,032.22	Kobifin	AQ Holdings	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
27	03-Aug-97	2,100.00	174,132.22	Schabir Shaik	MJ Zuma	Zuma family costs	Family	Other
28	08-Aug-97	2,300.00	176,432.22	Schabir Shaik	Holy Family College	Zuma children education	Family	Education
29	13-Aug-97	3,000.00	179,432.22	Kobifin	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
30	21-Aug-97	7,000.00	186,432.22	Kobifin	Michigan Investments	Zuma debt	Personal	Bond
31	30-Aug-97	5,000.00	191,432.22	Kobifin	AQ Holdings	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
32	01-Sep-97	15,000.00	206,432.22	Kobifin	JG Zuma	Zuma	Personal	Cash
33	10-Sep-97	3,500.00	209,932.22	Kobifin	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
34	30-Sep-97	5,000.00	214,932.22	Kobifin	AQ Holdings	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
35	01-Oct-97	3,500.00	218,432.22	Kobifin	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
36	31-Oct-97	5,000.00	223,432.22	Kobifin	AQ Holdings	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
37	26-Nov-97	3,500.00	226,932.22	Proconsult	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
38	27-Nov-97	10,000.00	236,932.22	Kobifin	JG Zuma	Zuma	Personal	Cash
39	01-Dec-97	5,000.00	241,932.22	Kobifin	AQ Holdings	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
40	23-Dec-97	15,000.00	256,932.22	Pro Con Africa	JG Zuma	Zuma	Personal	Cash
41	31-Dec-97	15,000.00	271,932.22	Kobifin	AQ Holdings	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
42	08-Jan-98	7,000.00	278,932.22	Proconsult	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
43	14-Jan-98	10,000.00	288,932.22	Kobifin	JG Zuma	Zuma	Personal	Cash
44	28-Jan-98	7,500.00	296,432.22	Pro Con Africa	Goodrickes Attorneys	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
45	31-Jan-98	5,000.00	301,432.22	Kobifin	AQ Holdings	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
46	13-Feb-98	544.18	301,976.40	Proconsult	Telkom	Zuma expense	Personal	Other

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J
Payment number	Date	Amount	Cumulative	Paying account holder	Receiving account holder/for the benefit of	Classification	Classification	Sub-classification
47	24-Feb-98	3,500.00	305,476.40	Kobifin	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
48	28-Feb-98	5,000.00	310,476.40	Kobifin	AQ Holdings	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
49	13-Mar-98	3,500.00	313,976.40	Pro Con Africa	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
50	03-Apr-98	9,070.00	323,046.40	Pro Con Africa	Sacred Heart	Zuma children education	Family	Education
51	03-Apr-98	5,250.00	328,296.40	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Ditz Incorporated	Zuma legal costs	Personal	Other
52	07-Apr-98	3,500.00	331,796.40	Proconsult	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
53	15-Apr-98	998.00	332,794.40	Kobitech	AI Kajee	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Other
54	30-Apr-98	7,500.00	340,294.40	Kobifin	AQ Holdings	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
55	18-May-98	3,500.00	343,794.40	Kobitech	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
56	04-Jun-98	1,000.00	344,794.40	Kobitech	NC Zuma	Zuma wife	Family	Other
57	05-Jun-98	3,047.66	347,842.06	Kobitech	Kenfield Motors	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
58	08-Jun-98	632.50	348,474.56	Kobitech	Woodroffe and Kleyn Attorneys	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
59	30-Jun-98	225.33	348,699.89	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Ditz Incorporated	Zuma legal costs	Personal	Other
60	17-Jul-98	7,000.00	355,699.89	Kobitech	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
61	24-Jul-98	3,047.66	358,747.55	Kobitech	Kenfield Motors	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
62	24-Jul-98	400.00	359,147.55	Proconsult	KM Zuma	Zuma wife	Family	Other
63	27-Jul-98	3,500.00	362,647.55	Proconsult	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
64	29-Jul-98	8,115.92	370,763.47	Kobitech	Holy Family College	Zuma children education	Family	Education
65	29-Jul-98	10,019.98	380,783.45	Kobitech	Sacred Heart	Zuma children education	Family	Education
66	07-Aug-98	7,000.00	387,783.45	Pro Con Africa	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
67	31-Aug-98	1,500.00	389,283.45	Pro Con Africa	KM Zuma	Zuma wife	Family	Other
68	31-Aug-98	7,000.00	396,283.45	Schabir Shaik	KM Zuma	Zuma wife	Family	Other
69	14-Sep-98	3,500.00	399,783.45	Kobitech	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
70	15-Oct-98	3,500.00	403,283.45	Kobitech	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
71	23-Oct-98	2,588.00	405,871.45	Kobitech	AI Kajee	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Other
72	30-Oct-98	7,500.00	413,371.45	Kobifin	AQ Holdings	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
73	03-Nov-98	8,462.08	421,833.53	Kobitech	Holy Family College	Zuma children education	Family	Education
74	05-Nov-98	12,128.17	433,961.70	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
75	13-Nov-98	3,500.00	437,461.70	Kobitech	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
76	03-Dec-98	3,500.00	440,961.70	Kobitech	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
77	13-Jan-99	3,500.00	444,461.70	Kobitech	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
78	26-Jan-99	1,980.00	446,441.70	Kobitech	Empangheni High School	Zuma children education	Family	Education
79	26-Jan-99	4,800.00	451,241.70	Kobitech	Empangheni High School	Zuma children education	Family	Education
80	26-Jan-99	10,000.00	461,241.70	Kobitech	Wesbank (JG Zuma Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
81	28-Jan-99	4,800.00	466,041.70	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
82	09-Feb-99	1,000.00	467,041.70	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Education school books	Zuma children education	Family	Education
83	10-Feb-99	200.00	467,241.70	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
84	10-Feb-99	4,800.00	472,041.70	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
85	23-Feb-99	4,000.00	476,041.70	Kobitech	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
86	26-Feb-99	5,000.00	481,041.70	Kobitech	Ditz Incorporated	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
87	28-Feb-99	3,000.00	484,041.70	Unknown	Michigan Investments	Zuma debt	Unknown	0
88	28-Feb-99	449.39	484,491.09	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Ditz Incorporated	Zuma legal costs	Personal	Other
89	08-Apr-99	4,000.00	488,491.09	Kobitech	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
90	12-Apr-99	400.00	488,891.09	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata doc fees)	Zuma children vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle finance
91	13-Apr-99	2,057.33	490,948.42	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
92	21-Apr-99	4,000.00	494,948.42	Kobitech	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
93	22-Apr-99	5,130.00	500,078.42	Schabir Shaik	Durban Central Panelbeaters (Merc E230)	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
94	22-Apr-99	8,000.00	508,078.42	Kobitech	Wesbank (JG Zuma Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J
Payment number	Date	Amount	Cumulative	Paying account holder	Receiving account holder/for the benefit of	Classification	Classification	Sub-classification
95	05-May-99	5,340.00	513,418.42	Nkobi Group/Shaik	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
96	06-May-99	4,000.00	517,418.42	Kobitech	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
97	07-May-99	8,000.00	525,418.42	Kobitech	Wesbank (JG Zuma Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
98	07-May-99	2,022.32	527,440.74	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
99	15-May-99	5,130.00	532,570.74	Schabir Shaik	Durban Central Panelbeaters (Merc E230)	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
100	07-Jun-99	2,022.32	534,593.06	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
101	08-Jun-99	4,000.00	538,593.06	Kobitech	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
102	09-Jun-99	1,000.00	539,593.06	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
103	15-Jun-99	1,000.00	540,593.06	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
104	15-Jun-99	5,130.00	545,723.06	Schabir Shaik	Durban Central Panelbeaters (Merc E230)	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
105	23-Jun-99	2,094.00	547,817.06	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Al Kajee	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Other
106	25-Jun-99	8,000.00	555,817.06	Kobitech	Wesbank (JG Zuma Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
107	30-Jun-99	2,445.00	558,262.06	Kobitech	Kenfield Motors	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
108	01-Jul-99	5,884.00	564,146.06	Kobitech	St Catherines	Zuma children education	Family	Education
109	06-Jul-99	4,000.00	568,146.06	Kobitech	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
110	07-Jul-99	1,989.61	570,135.67	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
111	10-Jul-99	5,000.00	575,135.67	Kobitech	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
112	15-Jul-99	5,130.00	580,265.67	Schabir Shaik	Durban Central Panelbeaters (Merc E230)	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
113	10-Aug-99	1,973.38	582,239.05	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
114	20-Aug-99	14,200.00	596,439.05	Kobitech	National Airways Corporation Durban	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
115	02-Sep-99	4,000.00	600,439.05	Schabir Shaik	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
116	07-Sep-99	1,941.13	602,380.18	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
117	07-Sep-99	3,420.00	605,800.18	Kobitech	Ditz Incorporated	Zuma legal costs	Personal	Other
118	08-Sep-99	5,000.00	610,800.18	Kobitech	K Zuma	Zuma wife	Family	Other
119	29-Sep-99	16,000.00	626,800.18	Kobitech	Wesbank (JG Zuma Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
120	05-Oct-99	1,800.00	628,600.18	Nkobi Group/Shaik	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
121	05-Oct-99	5,000.00	633,600.18	Kobitech	Ditz Incorporated	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
122	06-Oct-99	4,000.00	637,600.18	Schabir Shaik	TA Brown	Zuma rent	Personal	Rent
123	07-Oct-99	1,941.13	639,541.31	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
124	08-Nov-99	1,910.57	641,451.88	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
125	26-Nov-99	8,000.00	649,451.88	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Wesbank (JG Zuma Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
126	07-Dec-99	1,910.57	651,362.45	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
127	08-Dec-99	502.90	651,865.35	Schabir Shaik	Drs Pillay Mackintosh	Zuma/Zuma family medical costs	Personal	Medical
128	01-Jan-00	5,000.00	656,865.35	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
129	07-Jan-00	1,910.57	658,775.92	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
130	21-Jan-00	1,480.00	660,255.92	Kobitech	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
131	25-Jan-00	4,000.00	664,255.92	Schabir Shaik	Casanova	Zuma clothing	Personal	Other
132	07-Feb-00	1,883.29	666,139.21	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
133	02-Mar-00	5,340.00	671,479.21	Kobitech	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
134	07-Mar-00	1,883.29	673,362.50	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
135	31-Mar-00	5,340.00	678,702.50	Kobitech	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
136	05-Apr-00	5,069.39	683,771.89	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
137	07-Apr-00	1,883.29	685,655.18	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
138	21-Apr-00	140.01	685,795.19	Kobitech	Petrol for Zuma's car	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
139	02-May-00	5,000.00	690,795.19	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
140	02-May-00	5,340.00	696,135.19	Kobitech	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
141	05-May-00	5,069.39	701,204.58	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
142	08-May-00	3,271.40	704,475.98	Kobitech	Hyundai Springfield Park	Zuma children vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J
Payment number	Date	Amount	Cumulative	Paying account holder	Receiving account holder/for the benefit of	Classification	Classification	Sub-classification
143	08-May-00	1,883.29	706,359.27	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
144	09-May-00	8,468.06	714,827.33	Kobitech	Durban South Motors	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
145	12-May-00	14,610.00	729,437.33	Kobitech	St Catherines	Zuma children education	Family	Education
146	01-Jun-00	18,000.00	747,437.33	Nkobi Group/Shaik	St Catherines	Zuma children education	Family	Education
147	02-Jun-00	13,893.50	761,330.83	Nkobi Group/Shaik	St Catherines	Zuma children education	Family	Education
148	02-Jun-00	5,340.00	766,670.83	Kobitech	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
149	05-Jun-00	5,069.39	771,740.22	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
150	07-Jun-00	1,883.29	773,623.51	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
151	15-Jun-00	1,000.00	774,623.51	Kobitech	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
152	05-Jul-00	5,069.39	779,692.90	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
153	06-Jul-00	7,480.00	787,172.90	Kobitech	St Catherines	Zuma children education	Family	Education
154	07-Jul-00	1,883.29	789,056.19	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
155	03-Aug-00	5,340.00	794,396.19	Kobitech	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
156	03-Aug-00	25,000.00	819,396.19	Kobitech	Ditz Incorporated	Zuma debt	Personal	Other
157	05-Aug-00	5,069.39	824,465.58	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
158	07-Aug-00	1,883.29	826,348.87	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
159	10-Aug-00	5,276.00	831,624.87	Nkobi Holdings	Westville Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
160	25-Aug-00	1,800.00	833,424.87	Kobitech	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
161	01-Sep-00	3,540.00	836,964.87	Pro Con Africa	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
162	05-Sep-00	5,069.39	842,034.26	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
163	07-Sep-00	1,883.29	843,917.55	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
164	19-Sep-00	395.00	844,312.55	Kobitech	Kwazulu Test Centre	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
165	22-Sep-00	11,103.50	855,416.05	Kobitech	St Catherines	Zuma children education	Family	Education
166	27-Sep-00	2,790.00	858,206.05	Kobitech	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
167	27-Sep-00	25.00	858,231.05	Cash	Mini valet for Zuma car	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
168	29-Sep-00	5,340.00	863,571.05	Kobitech	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
169	03-Oct-00	10.00	863,581.05	Cash	Wash and vacuum Zuma car	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
170	05-Oct-00	5,069.39	868,650.44	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
171	07-Oct-00	1,883.29	870,533.73	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
172	10-Oct-00	25.00	870,558.73	Cash	Mini valet for Zuma car	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
173	16-Oct-00	102.61	870,661.34	Kobitech	Durban Central Panelbeaters (Merc E320)	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Other
174	28-Oct-00	261.01	870,922.35	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Petrol for Zuma's car	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
175	31-Oct-00	996.47	871,918.82	Kobitech	Hyundai	Zuma children vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
176	05-Nov-00	5,069.39	876,988.21	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
177	07-Nov-00	3,000.00	879,988.21	Kobitech	Cash (ND Kosi)	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
178	07-Nov-00	1,883.29	881,871.50	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
179	27-Nov-00	150.00	882,021.50	Kobitech	Chief Traffic Officer	Zuma traffic fine	Personal	Vehicle associated
180	29-Nov-00	40,810.40	922,831.90	Kobitech	Mthembu & Mahomed Attorneys	Zuma legal costs	Personal	Other
181	05-Dec-00	5,069.39	927,901.29	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
182	07-Dec-00	1,883.29	929,784.58	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
183	13-Dec-00	5,819.00	935,603.58	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Westville Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
184	01-Jan-01	5,340.00	940,943.58	Nkobi Group/Shaik	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
185	05-Jan-01	5,069.39	946,012.97	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
186	07-Jan-01	1,883.29	947,896.26	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
187	02-Feb-01	5,166.00	953,062.26	Nkobi Holdings	Westville Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
188	05-Feb-01	5,069.39	958,131.65	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
189	07-Feb-01	1,883.29	960,014.94	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
190	08-Feb-01	800.00	960,814.94	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J
Payment number	Date	Amount	Cumulative	Paying account holder	Receiving account holder/for the benefit of	Classification	Classification	Sub-classification
191	08-Feb-01	2,000.00	962,814.94	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
192	09-Feb-01	2,800.00	965,614.94	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
193	28-Feb-01	250,000.00	1,215,614.94	Kobitech	Development Africa	Zuma debt	Personal	Development Africa
194	05-Mar-01	5,069.39	1,220,684.33	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
195	07-Mar-01	1,883.29	1,222,567.62	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
196	05-Apr-01	5,069.39	1,227,637.01	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
197	07-Apr-01	1,883.29	1,229,520.30	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
198	05-May-01	5,069.39	1,234,589.69	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
199	07-May-01	1,883.29	1,236,472.98	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
200	15-May-01	1,500.00	1,237,972.98	Schabir Shaik	M Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
201	15-May-01	6,500.00	1,244,472.98	Schabir Shaik	St Catherines	Zuma children education	Family	Education
202	29-May-01	8,000.00	1,252,472.98	Kobitech	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
203	04-Jun-01	7,000.00	1,259,472.98	Schabir Shaik	NC Zuma	Zuma wife	Family	Other
204	05-Jun-01	5,069.39	1,264,542.37	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
205	07-Jun-01	1,883.29	1,266,425.66	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
206	12-Jun-01	500.00	1,266,925.66	Kobitech	St Catherines	Zuma children education	Family	Education
207	12-Jun-01	2,000.00	1,268,925.66	Kobitech	St Catherines	Zuma children education	Family	Education
208	12-Jun-01	2,000.00	1,270,925.66	Kobitech	St Catherines	Zuma children education	Family	Education
209	12-Jun-01	2,000.00	1,272,925.66	Kobitech	St Catherines	Zuma children education	Family	Education
210	25-Jun-01	6,100.00	1,279,025.66	Kobitech	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
211	28-Jun-01	5,124.00	1,284,149.66	Schabir Shaik	Westville Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
212	28-Jun-01	5,124.00	1,289,273.66	Schabir Shaik	Westville Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
213	28-Jun-01	5,124.00	1,294,397.66	Schabir Shaik	Westville Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
214	02-Jul-01	5,600.00	1,299,997.66	Nkobi Group/Shaik	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
215	02-Jul-01	2,000.00	1,301,997.66	Kobitech	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
216	02-Jul-01	2,000.00	1,303,997.66	Kobitech	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
217	02-Jul-01	2,000.00	1,305,997.66	Kobitech	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
218	02-Jul-01	6,018.11	1,312,015.77	Kobitech	St Catherines	Zuma children education	Family	Education
219	05-Jul-01	5,069.39	1,317,085.16	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
220	07-Jul-01	1,883.29	1,318,968.45	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
221	05-Aug-01	5,003.09	1,323,971.54	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
222	06-Aug-01	1,000.00	1,324,971.54	Kobitech	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
223	06-Aug-01	2,000.00	1,326,971.54	Kobitech	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
224	06-Aug-01	2,000.00	1,328,971.54	Kobitech	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
225	07-Aug-01	1,870.74	1,330,842.28	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
226	15-Aug-01	10,000.00	1,340,842.28	Kobitech	Casanova	Zuma clothing	Personal	Other
227	31-Aug-01	8,000.00	1,348,842.28	Kobitech	Casanova	Zuma clothing	Personal	Other
228	05-Sep-01	4,981.48	1,353,823.76	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
229	05-Sep-01	125,000.00	1,478,823.76	Schabir Shaik	Development Africa	Zuma debt	Personal	Development Africa
230	06-Sep-01	1,866.72	1,480,690.48	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
231	17-Sep-01	125,000.00	1,605,690.48	Schabir Shaik	Development Africa	Zuma debt	Personal	Development Africa
232	26-Sep-01	18,000.00	1,623,690.48	Kobitech	Casanova	Zuma clothing	Personal	Other
233	28-Sep-01	12,925.00	1,636,615.48	Kobitech	St Catherines	Zuma children education	Family	Education
234	28-Sep-01	277.20	1,636,892.68	Kobitech	Postmaster (Licence for ND225 331)	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
235	05-Oct-01	4,981.48	1,641,874.16	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
236	07-Oct-01	1,866.72	1,643,740.88	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
237	19-Oct-01	600.00	1,644,340.88	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
238	19-Oct-01	1,000.00	1,645,340.88	Nkobi Group/Shaik	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J
Payment number	Date	Amount	Cumulative	Paying account holder	Receiving account holder/for the benefit of	Classification	Classification	Sub-classification
239	19-Oct-01	5,600.00	1,650,940.88	Kobitech	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
240	26-Oct-01	10,182.00	1,661,122.88	Kobitech	St Catherines	Zuma children education	Family	Education
241	26-Oct-01	600.00	1,661,722.88	Nkobi Group/Shaik	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
242	26-Oct-01	14,000.00	1,675,722.88	Kobitech	Casanova	Zuma clothing	Personal	Other
243	02-Nov-01	5,725.00	1,681,447.88	Kobitech	Kenfield Motors	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
244	05-Nov-01	4,940.79	1,686,388.67	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
245	07-Nov-01	1,859.66	1,688,248.33	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
246	23-Nov-01	5,600.00	1,693,848.33	Kobitech	S Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
247	26-Nov-01	14,000.00	1,707,848.33	Kobitech	Casanova	Zuma clothing	Personal	Other
248	05-Dec-01	4,940.79	1,712,789.12	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
249	07-Dec-01	1,859.66	1,714,648.78	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
250	31-Dec-01	600.00	1,715,248.78	Nkobi Group/Shaik	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
251	05-Jan-02	4,940.79	1,720,189.57	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
252	07-Jan-02	1,859.66	1,722,049.23	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
253	28-Jan-02	3,667.28	1,725,716.51	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
254	28-Jan-02	1,000.00	1,726,716.51	Kobitech	ME Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
255	05-Feb-02	5,013.96	1,731,730.47	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
256	05-Feb-02	600.00	1,732,330.47	Schabir Shaik	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
257	07-Feb-02	1,870.45	1,734,200.92	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
258	07-Feb-02	600.00	1,734,800.92	Kobitech Transport Systems	Cash (T Thusi/E Zuma)	Cash for Zuma children	Family	Other
259	09-Feb-02	1,000.00	1,735,800.92	Schabir Shaik	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
260	21-Feb-02	600.00	1,736,400.92	Nkobi Group/Shaik	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
261	21-Feb-02	47,259.00	1,783,659.92	Kobitech	JG Zuma (Wesbank Mitsubishi Pajero 3)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
262	22-Feb-02	14,450.00	1,798,109.92	Kobitech Transport Systems	Sacred Heart	Zuma children education	Family	Education
263	22-Feb-02	5,000.00	1,803,109.92	Kobitech Transport Systems	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
264	28-Feb-02	2,000.00	1,805,109.92	Nkobi Group/Shaik	School Books	Zuma children education	Family	Education
265	28-Feb-02	600.00	1,805,709.92	Nkobi Group/Shaik	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
266	28-Feb-02	600.00	1,806,309.92	Nkobi Group/Shaik	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
267	01-Mar-02	600.00	1,806,909.92	Kobitech	Cash (T Thusi/E Zuma)	Cash for Zuma children	Family	Other
268	05-Mar-02	5,013.96	1,811,923.88	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
269	05-Mar-02	3,000.00	1,814,923.88	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
270	07-Mar-02	1,870.45	1,816,794.33	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
271	13-Mar-02	1,200.00	1,817,994.33	Pro Con Africa	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
272	28-Mar-02	600.00	1,818,594.33	Pro Con Africa	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
273	28-Mar-02	4,000.00	1,822,594.33	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
274	05-Apr-02	5,084.01	1,827,678.34	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
275	07-Apr-02	1,879.75	1,829,558.09	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
276	11-Apr-02	2,200.00	1,831,758.09	Kobitech Transport Systems	Cash (Duduzane and Duduzile)	Cash for Zuma children	Family	Other
277	19-Apr-02	5,000.00	1,836,758.09	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
278	21-Apr-02	2,000.00	1,838,758.09	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
279	25-Apr-02	600.00	1,839,358.09	Kobitech	E Zuma/NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
280	30-Apr-02	1,161.00	1,840,519.09	Nkobi Holdings	Y Zuma	Zuma family costs	Family	Other
281	02-May-02	3,000.00	1,843,519.09	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
282	03-May-02	10,000.00	1,853,519.09	Kobitech Transport Systems	St Catherines	Zuma children education	Family	Education
283	04-May-02	600.00	1,854,119.09	Pro Con Africa	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
284	07-May-02	1,879.75	1,855,998.84	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
285	07-May-02	5,084.01	1,861,082.85	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
286	07-May-02	386.67	1,861,469.52	Nkobi Holdings	Turners Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J
Payment number	Date	Amount	Cumulative	Paying account holder	Receiving account holder/for the benefit of	Classification	Classification	Sub-classification
287	10-May-02	600.00	1,862,069.52	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
288	10-May-02	600.00	1,862,669.52	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
289	10-May-02	600.00	1,863,269.52	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
290	13-May-02	10,841.88	1,874,111.40	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
291	13-May-02	1,178.00	1,875,289.40	Kobitech	Cash (S Zuma)	Cash for Zuma children	Family	Other
292	15-May-02	45,000.00	1,920,289.40	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Mazda	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle purchased
293	15-May-02	2,054.12	1,922,343.52	Kobitech	Natal Motor Industries Durban	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
294	17-May-02	5,000.00	1,927,343.52	Kobitech	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
295	21-May-02	3,500.00	1,930,843.52	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
296	22-May-02	3,542.39	1,934,385.91	Kobitech	S Areff (Mazda Etude)	Zuma children vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
297	23-May-02	3,000.00	1,937,385.91	Pro Con Africa	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
298	30-May-02	600.00	1,937,985.91	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
299	30-May-02	600.00	1,938,585.91	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
300	30-May-02	600.00	1,939,185.91	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
301	30-May-02	600.00	1,939,785.91	Kobitech	M Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
302	05-Jun-02	5,084.01	1,944,869.92	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
303	07-Jun-02	5,000.00	1,949,869.92	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
304	07-Jun-02	1,879.75	1,951,749.67	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
305	14-Jun-02	400.00	1,952,149.67	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
306	14-Jun-02	2,500.00	1,954,649.67	Kobitech	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
307	19-Jun-02	3,500.00	1,958,149.67	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
308	19-Jun-02	1,863.00	1,960,012.67	Nkobi Holdings (Shaik Credit card)	Vineyard Hotel	Zuma expense	Personal	Travel and accomodation
309	25-Jun-02	600.00	1,960,612.67	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
310	27-Jun-02	600.00	1,961,212.67	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
311	27-Jun-02	600.00	1,961,812.67	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
312	27-Jun-02	600.00	1,962,412.67	Kobitech	M Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
313	27-Jun-02	400.00	1,962,812.67	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
314	29-Jun-02	3,000.00	1,965,812.67	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
315	02-Jul-02	31,000.00	1,996,812.67	Kobitech	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
316	03-Jul-02	2,500.00	1,999,312.67	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
317	05-Jul-02	243.00	1,999,555.67	Pro Con Africa	Postmaster (Licence for Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
318	05-Jul-02	5,149.30	2,004,704.97	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
319	07-Jul-02	1,886.90	2,006,591.87	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
320	11-Jul-02	5,000.00	2,011,591.87	Kobitech Transport Systems	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
321	26-Jul-02	600.00	2,012,191.87	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
322	29-Jul-02	10,000.00	2,022,191.87	Kobitech	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
323	31-Jul-02	3,581.18	2,025,773.05	Schabir Shaik	PBH Dutyfree Langkwaki	Zuma expense	Personal	Other
324	01-Aug-02	600.00	2,026,373.05	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
325	01-Aug-02	600.00	2,026,973.05	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
326	01-Aug-02	600.00	2,027,573.05	Kobitech	M Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
327	01-Aug-02	600.00	2,028,173.05	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
328	01-Aug-02	595.02	2,028,768.07	Schabir Shaik	Mas-Lgk Air 238622	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
329	01-Aug-02	595.02	2,029,363.09	Schabir Shaik	Mas-Lgk Air 238623	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
330	02-Aug-02	831.79	2,030,194.88	Schabir Shaik	MAS-INT 203 559903	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
331	05-Aug-02	5,149.30	2,035,344.18	Kobitech	Bankfin (Mercedes Benz E320)	Zuma vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
332	06-Aug-02	12,168.45	2,047,512.63	Kobitech	St Catherines	Zuma children education	Family	Education
333	07-Aug-02	1,886.90	2,049,399.53	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
334	12-Aug-02	5,000.00	2,054,399.53	Pro Con Africa	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J
Payment number	Date	Amount	Cumulative	Paying account holder	Receiving account holder/for the benefit of	Classification	Classification	Sub-classification
335	21-Aug-02	700.00	2,055,099.53	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
336	30-Aug-02	600.00	2,055,699.53	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
337	30-Aug-02	600.00	2,056,299.53	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
338	30-Aug-02	600.00	2,056,899.53	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
339	30-Aug-02	600.00	2,057,499.53	Kobitech	M Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
340	30-Aug-02	400.00	2,057,899.53	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
341	07-Sep-02	1,886.90	2,059,786.43	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
342	13-Sep-02	5,000.00	2,064,786.43	Kobitech	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
343	15-Sep-02	4,381.00	2,069,167.43	Nkobi Holdings (Shaik Credit card)	SAA	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
344	17-Sep-02	523.23	2,069,690.66	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Turners Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
345	18-Sep-02	1,416.00	2,071,106.66	Schabir Shaik	SAA	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
346	18-Sep-02	670.50	2,071,777.16	Schabir Shaik	Twelve Apostles	Zuma expense	Personal	Travel and accomodation
347	19-Sep-02	2,286.00	2,074,063.16	Nkobi Holdings (Shaik Credit card)	British Airways	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
348	19-Sep-02	495.01	2,074,558.17	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Turners Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
349	19-Sep-02	949.00	2,075,507.17	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Turners Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
350	19-Sep-02	1,753.10	2,077,260.27	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Turners Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
351	19-Sep-02	2,097.39	2,079,357.66	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Avis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Car rental
352	21-Sep-02	600.00	2,079,957.66	Nkobi Group/Shaik	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
353	26-Sep-02	1,000.00	2,080,957.66	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
354	30-Sep-02	719.00	2,081,676.66	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Turners Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
355	01-Oct-02	21,000.00	2,102,676.66	Kobitech	ANC	Zuma ANC levies	Personal	Other
356	02-Oct-02	73.10	2,102,749.76	Pro Con Africa	Dr Davies-M Mbongeni	Zuma/Zuma family medical costs	Personal	Medical
357	02-Oct-02	5,000.00	2,107,749.76	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
358	07-Oct-02	1,891.96	2,109,641.72	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
359	15-Oct-02	2,500.00	2,112,141.72	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Casanova)	Zuma clothing	Personal	Cash
360	19-Oct-02	1,000.00	2,113,141.72	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
361	22-Oct-02	1,000.00	2,114,141.72	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
362	07-Nov-02	1,891.96	2,116,033.68	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
363	12-Nov-02	5,000.00	2,121,033.68	Kobitech Transport Systems	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
364	12-Nov-02	1,271.36	2,122,305.04	Kobitech	Westville Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
365	13-Nov-02	3,308.00	2,125,613.04	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Westville Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
366	03-Dec-02	15,000.00	2,140,613.04	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
367	07-Dec-02	1,891.96	2,142,505.00	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
368	11-Dec-02	5,000.00	2,147,505.00	Kobitech Transport Systems	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
369	12-Dec-02	1,000.00	2,148,505.00	Kobitech Transport Systems	Cape Technicon (D Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
370	13-Dec-02	44,100.00	2,192,605.00	Kobitech	Cash (Tickets and allowance for Cuba)	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
371	19-Dec-02	600.00	2,193,205.00	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
372	19-Dec-02	600.00	2,193,805.00	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
373	19-Dec-02	600.00	2,194,405.00	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
374	19-Dec-02	600.00	2,195,005.00	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
375	19-Dec-02	400.00	2,195,405.00	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
376	19-Dec-02	3,000.00	2,198,405.00	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
377	20-Dec-02	10,000.00	2,208,405.00	Kobitech Transport Systems	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
378	24-Dec-02	7,500.00	2,215,905.00	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
379	24-Dec-02	2,000.00	2,217,905.00	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
380	28-Dec-02	10,000.00	2,227,905.00	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
381	02-Jan-03	1,478.30	2,229,383.30	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Westville Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
382	07-Jan-03	1,891.96	2,231,275.26	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J
Payment number	Date	Amount	Cumulative	Paying account holder	Receiving account holder/for the benefit of	Classification	Classification	Sub-classification
383	20-Jan-03	6,000.00	2,237,275.26	Kobitech Transport Systems	Cash (D Zuma)	Cash for Zuma children	Family	Other
384	24-Jan-03	100.00	2,237,375.26	Cash	Cash (Petrol for E Zuma)	Zuma children vehicle costs	Family	Other
385	25-Jan-03	1,000.00	2,238,375.26	Kobitech Transport Systems	Cash (E Zuma Univ books)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
386	31-Jan-03	600.00	2,238,975.26	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
387	31-Jan-03	600.00	2,239,575.26	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
388	31-Jan-03	600.00	2,240,175.26	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
389	31-Jan-03	600.00	2,240,775.26	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
390	31-Jan-03	400.00	2,241,175.26	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
391	31-Jan-03	3,000.00	2,244,175.26	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
392	01-Feb-03	3,500.00	2,247,675.26	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
393	01-Feb-03	2,000.00	2,249,675.26	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
394	03-Feb-03	700.00	2,250,375.26	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Education)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
395	06-Feb-03	12,000.00	2,262,375.26	Kobitech Transport Systems	Mazda Etude	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle purchased
396	07-Feb-03	1,891.96	2,264,267.22	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
397	12-Feb-03	7,077.11	2,271,344.33	Kobitech	Cape Technicon (D Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
398	12-Feb-03	3,000.00	2,274,344.33	Kobitech	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
399	18-Feb-03	270.00	2,274,614.33	Kobitech	EWC Couriers	Zuma expense	Personal	Other
400	18-Feb-03	500.00	2,275,114.33	Schabir Shaik	City of Cape Town (Tazz fines)	Zuma traffic fine	Personal	Vehicle associated
401	18-Feb-03	300.00	2,275,414.33	Schabir Shaik	City of Cape Town (Tazz fines)	Zuma traffic fine	Personal	Vehicle associated
402	27-Feb-03	400.00	2,275,814.33	Kobitech	ME Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
403	27-Feb-03	400.00	2,276,214.33	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
404	27-Feb-03	400.00	2,276,614.33	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
405	04-Mar-03	7,273.18	2,283,887.51	Kobitech	International School of Cape Town (Vusi Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
406	07-Mar-03	1,491.96	2,285,379.47	Kobitech	Bankfin (Hyundai Sonata)	Zuma children vehicle	Personal	Vehicle finance
407	07-Mar-03	8,730.20	2,294,109.67	Kobitech	Herschel School (P Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
408	07-Mar-03	2,901.61	2,297,011.28	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
409	08-Mar-03	2,000.00	2,299,011.28	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
410	31-Mar-03	3,058.75	2,302,070.03	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
411	31-Mar-03	400.00	2,302,470.03	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
412	31-Mar-03	400.00	2,302,870.03	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
413	31-Mar-03	400.00	2,303,270.03	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
414	09-Apr-03	3,990.00	2,307,260.03	Kobitech	David Strachan & Taylor	Zuma expense for tax services	Personal	Other
415	11-Apr-03	1,500.00	2,308,760.03	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
416	14-Apr-03	400.00	2,309,160.03	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
417	14-Apr-03	400.00	2,309,560.03	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
418	18-Apr-03	1,000.00	2,310,560.03	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
419	19-Apr-03	1,000.00	2,311,560.03	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
420	24-Apr-03	3,000.00	2,314,560.03	Kobitech Transport Systems	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
421	30-Apr-03	400.00	2,314,960.03	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
422	30-Apr-03	400.00	2,315,360.03	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
423	30-Apr-03	400.00	2,315,760.03	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
424	30-Apr-03	400.00	2,316,160.03	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
425	30-Apr-03	400.00	2,316,560.03	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
426	30-Apr-03	3,500.00	2,320,060.03	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
427	13-May-03	861.90	2,320,921.93	Kobitech	Avis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Car rental
428	14-May-03	4,332.50	2,325,254.43	Kobitech	Herschel School (P Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
429	14-May-03	5,000.00	2,330,254.43	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
430	14-May-03	596.00	2,330,850.43	Kobitech	Dr PA Stead	Zuma/Zuma family medical costs	Family	Other

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J
Payment number	Date	Amount	Cumulative	Paying account holder	Receiving account holder/for the benefit of	Classification	Classification	Sub-classification
431	15-May-03	3,154.10	2,334,004.53	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
432	22-May-03	5,000.00	2,339,004.53	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
433	26-May-03	17,625.96	2,356,630.49	Kobitech	Mthembu & Mahomed Attorneys	Zuma legal costs	Personal	Other
434	26-May-03	6,546.60	2,363,177.09	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
435	29-May-03	400.00	2,363,577.09	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
436	29-May-03	400.00	2,363,977.09	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
437	29-May-03	400.00	2,364,377.09	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
438	29-May-03	400.00	2,364,777.09	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
439	29-May-03	4,332.50	2,369,109.59	Kobitech	Herschel School (P Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
440	29-May-03	1,000.00	2,370,109.59	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
441	06-Jun-03	2,500.00	2,372,609.59	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
442	09-Jun-03	400.00	2,373,009.59	Kobitech	City of Cape Town (Tazz fines)	Zuma traffic fine	Personal	Vehicle associated
443	09-Jun-03	3,500.00	2,376,509.59	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
444	13-Jun-03	5,000.00	2,381,509.59	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
445	17-Jun-03	17,625.91	2,399,135.50	Kobitech	Mthembu & Mahomed Attorneys	Zuma legal costs	Personal	Other
446	25-Jun-03	3,000.00	2,402,135.50	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
447	25-Jun-03	2,000.00	2,404,135.50	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
448	26-Jun-03	1,000.00	2,405,135.50	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
449	27-Jun-03	400.00	2,405,535.50	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
450	27-Jun-03	400.00	2,405,935.50	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
451	27-Jun-03	400.00	2,406,335.50	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
452	27-Jun-03	400.00	2,406,735.50	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
453	27-Jun-03	400.00	2,407,135.50	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
454	27-Jun-03	3,430.55	2,410,566.05	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
455	28-Jun-03	10,000.00	2,420,566.05	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
456	30-Jun-03	6,546.60	2,427,112.65	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
457	30-Jun-03	17,625.91	2,444,738.56	Kobitech	Mthembu & Mahomed Attorneys	Zuma legal costs	Personal	Other
458	03-Jul-03	7,448.00	2,452,186.56	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Westville Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
459	08-Jul-03	3,000.00	2,455,186.56	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
460	16-Jul-03	350.00	2,455,536.56	Pro Con Africa	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
461	16-Jul-03	350.00	2,455,886.56	Pro Con Africa	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
462	19-Jul-03	500.00	2,456,386.56	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
463	21-Jul-03	500.00	2,456,886.56	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
464	24-Jul-03	1,000.00	2,457,886.56	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
465	26-Jul-03	3,000.00	2,460,886.56	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
466	30-Jul-03	6,546.60	2,467,433.16	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
467	30-Jul-03	1,000.00	2,468,433.16	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
468	30-Jul-03	400.00	2,468,833.16	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
469	30-Jul-03	400.00	2,469,233.16	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
470	30-Jul-03	400.00	2,469,633.16	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
471	30-Jul-03	400.00	2,470,033.16	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
472	30-Jul-03	400.00	2,470,433.16	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
473	30-Jul-03	2,671.78	2,473,104.94	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
474	31-Jul-03	5,000.00	2,478,104.94	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
475	02-Aug-03	1,138.68	2,479,243.62	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Avis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Car rental
476	15-Aug-03	4,231.35	2,483,474.97	Kobitech	Herschel School (P Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
477	15-Aug-03	2,963.00	2,486,437.97	Kobitech	International School of Cape Town (Vusi Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
478	18-Aug-03	3,000.00	2,489,437.97	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J
Payment number	Date	Amount	Cumulative	Paying account holder	Receiving account holder/for the benefit of	Classification	Classification	Sub-classification
479	28-Aug-03	400.00	2,489,837.97	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
480	28-Aug-03	400.00	2,490,237.97	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
481	28-Aug-03	400.00	2,490,637.97	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
482	28-Aug-03	400.00	2,491,037.97	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
483	28-Aug-03	400.00	2,491,437.97	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
484	28-Aug-03	3,999.90	2,495,437.87	Kobitech	Herschel School (P Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
485	28-Aug-03	5,077.80	2,500,515.67	Kobitech	International School of Cape Town (Vusi Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
486	28-Aug-03	6,546.60	2,507,062.27	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
487	28-Aug-03	2,549.50	2,509,611.77	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
488	17-Sep-03	3,000.00	2,512,611.77	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
489	19-Sep-03	4,000.00	2,516,611.77	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
490	22-Sep-03	2,592.00	2,519,203.77	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Westville Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
491	30-Sep-03	186.00	2,519,389.77	Kobitech	Ella Govender (Tazz licence)	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
492	30-Sep-03	400.00	2,519,789.77	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
493	30-Sep-03	400.00	2,520,189.77	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
494	30-Sep-03	400.00	2,520,589.77	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
495	30-Sep-03	400.00	2,520,989.77	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
496	30-Sep-03	400.00	2,521,389.77	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
497	30-Sep-03	5,077.80	2,526,467.57	Kobitech	International School of Cape Town (Vusi Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
498	30-Sep-03	6,546.60	2,533,014.17	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
499	30-Sep-03	3,383.94	2,536,398.11	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
500	02-Oct-03	5,000.00	2,541,398.11	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
501	04-Oct-03	3,500.00	2,544,898.11	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
502	14-Oct-03	2,164.63	2,547,062.74	Kobitech Transport Systems	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
503	18-Oct-03	5,000.00	2,552,062.74	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
504	24-Oct-03	54.72	2,552,117.46	Nkobi Group/Shaik	EWC Couriers	Zuma expense	Personal	Other
505	27-Oct-03	5,000.00	2,557,117.46	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
506	31-Oct-03	400.00	2,557,517.46	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
507	31-Oct-03	400.00	2,557,917.46	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
508	31-Oct-03	400.00	2,558,317.46	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
509	31-Oct-03	400.00	2,558,717.46	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
510	31-Oct-03	400.00	2,559,117.46	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
511	31-Oct-03	15,000.00	2,574,117.46	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Sadie wedding)	Cash for Zuma children	Family	Other
512	31-Oct-03	4,073.00	2,578,190.46	Kobitech	Herschel School (P Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
513	31-Oct-03	2,981.50	2,581,171.96	Kobitech	International School of Cape Town (Vusi Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
514	31-Oct-03	6,546.60	2,587,718.56	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
515	31-Oct-03	1,000.00	2,588,718.56	Kobitech	University of Zululand	Zuma children education	Family	Education
516	31-Oct-03	3,500.00	2,592,218.56	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
517	13-Nov-03	4,662.00	2,596,880.56	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Westville Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
518	13-Nov-03	1,451.00	2,598,331.56	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Westville Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
519	14-Nov-03	5,000.00	2,603,331.56	Nkobi Holdings	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
520	14-Nov-03	1,100.00	2,604,431.56	Schabir Shaik	Cash (D Zuma)	Cash for Zuma children	Family	Other
521	15-Nov-03	377.92	2,604,809.48	Nkobi Holdings	Avis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Car rental
522	16-Nov-03	933.55	2,605,743.03	Nkobi Holdings	Avis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Car rental
523	27-Nov-03	3,220.00	2,608,963.03	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Westville Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
524	27-Nov-03	8,310.00	2,617,273.03	Kobitech	Cape Technicon (D Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
525	28-Nov-03	4,073.00	2,621,346.03	Kobitech	Herschel School (P Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
526	28-Nov-03	400.00	2,621,746.03	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J
Payment number	Date	Amount	Cumulative	Paying account holder	Receiving account holder/for the benefit of	Classification	Classification	Sub-classification
527	28-Nov-03	400.00	2,622,146.03	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
528	28-Nov-03	400.00	2,622,546.03	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
529	28-Nov-03	400.00	2,622,946.03	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
530	28-Nov-03	400.00	2,623,346.03	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
531	28-Nov-03	3,918.48	2,627,264.51	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
532	28-Nov-03	2,981.50	2,630,246.01	Kobitech	International School of Cape Town (Vusi Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
533	30-Nov-03	1,133.76	2,631,379.77	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Westville Travel	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Travel and accomodation
534	30-Nov-03	627.90	2,632,007.67	Nkobi Group/Shaik	Avis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Car rental
535	09-Dec-03	5,000.00	2,637,007.67	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
536	17-Dec-03	1,000.00	2,638,007.67	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
537	17-Dec-03	1,000.00	2,639,007.67	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
538	17-Dec-03	800.00	2,639,807.67	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
539	17-Dec-03	600.00	2,640,407.67	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
540	19-Dec-03	400.00	2,640,807.67	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
541	19-Dec-03	3,500.00	2,644,307.67	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Personal	Cash
542	19-Dec-03	15,000.00	2,659,307.67	Pro Con Africa	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Family	Housekeeping
543	20-Dec-03	2,000.00	2,661,307.67	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
544	22-Dec-03	2,000.00	2,663,307.67	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
545	24-Dec-03	50,000.00	2,713,307.67	Schabir Shaik	J Mahomed	Zuma legal costs	Personal	Other
546	27-Dec-03	5,000.00	2,718,307.67	Schabir Shaik	R Manjoo	Zuma expense	Personal	Other
547	10-Jan-04	5,000.00	2,723,307.67	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
548	15-Jan-04	7,000.00	2,730,307.67	Kobitech	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
549	29-Jan-04	400.00	2,730,707.67	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
550	29-Jan-04	400.00	2,731,107.67	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
551	29-Jan-04	400.00	2,731,507.67	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
552	29-Jan-04	400.00	2,731,907.67	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
553	29-Jan-04	400.00	2,732,307.67	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
554	29-Jan-04	3,500.00	2,735,807.67	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
555	02-Feb-04	5,000.00	2,740,807.67	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
556	04-Feb-04	400.00	2,741,207.67	Kobitech Transport Systems	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
557	04-Feb-04	5,100.00	2,746,307.67	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
558	05-Feb-04	4,900.00	2,751,207.67	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
559	10-Feb-04	6,017.25	2,757,224.92	Kobitech	Claremont Hospital	Zuma/Zuma family medical costs	Personal	Medical
560	17-Feb-04	4,500.00	2,761,724.92	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
561	20-Feb-04	319.56	2,762,044.48	Kobitech Transport Systems	Dr Morton & Partners	Zuma/Zuma family medical costs	Family	Other
562	20-Feb-04	241.50	2,762,285.98	Kobitech Transport Systems	Dr Davies Pathologists	Zuma/Zuma family medical costs	Personal	Medical
563	20-Feb-04	3,500.00	2,765,785.98	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
564	26-Feb-04	6,559.00	2,772,344.98	Kobitech Transport Systems	International School of Cape Town (Vusi Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
565	26-Feb-04	4,732.00	2,777,076.98	Kobitech Transport Systems	Herschel School (P Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
566	26-Feb-04	400.00	2,777,476.98	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
567	26-Feb-04	400.00	2,777,876.98	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
568	26-Feb-04	400.00	2,778,276.98	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
569	26-Feb-04	400.00	2,778,676.98	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
570	26-Feb-04	400.00	2,779,076.98	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
571	26-Feb-04	427.22	2,779,504.20	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
572	02-Mar-04	100,000.00	2,879,504.20	Schabir Shaik	JG Zuma	Zuma	Personal	Cash
573	02-Mar-04	3,500.00	2,883,004.20	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
574	03-Mar-04	3,500.00	2,886,504.20	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J
Payment number	Date	Amount	Cumulative	Paying account holder	Receiving account holder/for the benefit of	Classification	Classification	Sub-classification
575	04-Mar-04	20,000.00	2,906,504.20	Schabir Shaik	Reeves Parsee Trust a/c	Zuma expense	Personal	Other
576	04-Mar-04	15,800.00	2,922,304.20	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
577	06-Mar-04	6,000.00	2,928,304.20	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
578	10-Mar-04	6,000.00	2,934,304.20	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
579	20-Mar-04	1,000.00	2,935,304.20	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Mhlongo)	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
580	22-Mar-04	1,000.00	2,936,304.20	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
581	22-Mar-04	1,000.00	2,937,304.20	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
582	22-Mar-04	1,000.00	2,938,304.20	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Mhlongo)	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
583	23-Mar-04	3,500.00	2,941,804.20	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma) MFA Mahomed	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
584	25-Mar-04	1,500.00	2,943,304.20	Kobitech Transport Systems	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
585	26-Mar-04	205.00	2,943,509.20	Kobitech	A Smith (P Zuma)	Zuma family costs	Family	Other
586	26-Mar-04	710.00	2,944,219.20	Kobitech	Dr JE Gardner	Zuma/Zuma family medical costs	Family	Other
587	30-Mar-04	4,134.00	2,948,353.20	Schabir Shaik	Eagle Taxis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Transport
588	31-Mar-04	400.00	2,948,753.20	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
589	31-Mar-04	400.00	2,949,153.20	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
590	31-Mar-04	400.00	2,949,553.20	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
591	31-Mar-04	400.00	2,949,953.20	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
592	31-Mar-04	400.00	2,950,353.20	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
593	31-Mar-04	4,732.00	2,955,085.20	Kobitech	Herschel School (P Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
594	31-Mar-04	3,089.00	2,958,174.20	Kobitech	Durban Girls School	Zuma children education	Family	Education
595	31-Mar-04	3,500.00	2,961,674.20	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
596	01-Apr-04	3,500.00	2,965,174.20	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
597	05-Apr-04	14,110.97	2,979,285.17	Kobitech	Eskom	Zuma expense	Personal	Other
598	05-Apr-04	18,151.84	2,997,437.01	Kobitech	Mhlatuze Water	Zuma expense	Personal	Other
599	16-Apr-04	1,000.00	2,998,437.01	Kobitech Transport Systems	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
600	19-Apr-04	500.00	2,998,937.01	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
601	19-Apr-04	4,000.00	3,002,937.01	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
602	20-Apr-04	2,632.15	3,005,569.16	Kobitech	Eagle Taxis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Transport
603	20-Apr-04	836.40	3,006,405.56	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
604	23-Apr-04	3,506.47	3,009,912.03	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
605	23-Apr-04	572.56	3,010,484.59	Kobitech	City Hospital	Zuma/Zuma family medical costs	Personal	Medical
606	26-Apr-04	5,000.00	3,015,484.59	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
607	28-Apr-04	5,000.00	3,020,484.59	Kobitech	Transfer to "Rose "	Zuma expense	Personal	Cash
608	29-Apr-04	400.00	3,020,884.59	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
609	29-Apr-04	400.00	3,021,284.59	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
610	29-Apr-04	400.00	3,021,684.59	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
611	29-Apr-04	400.00	3,022,084.59	Kobitech	E Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
612	29-Apr-04	400.00	3,022,484.59	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
613	29-Apr-04	4,732.00	3,027,216.59	Kobitech	Herschel School (P Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
614	29-Apr-04	6,559.00	3,033,775.59	Kobitech	International School of Cape Town (Vusi Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
615	29-Apr-04	3,084.00	3,036,859.59	Kobitech	Durban Girls School	Zuma children education	Family	Education
616	29-Apr-04	3,500.00	3,040,359.59	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
617	29-Apr-04	1,500.00	3,041,859.59	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
618	30-Apr-04	577.60	3,042,437.19	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
619	03-May-04	2,175.00	3,044,612.19	Kobitech	Pretoria Boys	Zuma children education	Family	Education
620	03-May-04	5,035.59	3,049,647.78	Kobitech	Louis Pasteur Hospital	Zuma/Zuma family medical costs	Personal	Medical
621	04-May-04	2,000.00	3,051,647.78	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
622	06-May-04	800.00	3,052,447.78	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J
Payment number	Date	Amount	Cumulative	Paying account holder	Receiving account holder/for the benefit of	Classification	Classification	Sub-classification
623	25-May-04	5,000.00	3,057,447.78	Kobitech Transport Systems	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
624	28-May-04	400.00	3,057,847.78	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
625	28-May-04	400.00	3,058,247.78	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
626	28-May-04	400.00	3,058,647.78	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
627	28-May-04	3,500.00	3,062,147.78	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
628	28-May-04	2,175.00	3,064,322.78	Kobitech	Pretoria Boys	Zuma children education	Family	Education
629	28-May-04	4,732.00	3,069,054.78	Kobitech	Herschel School (P Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
630	28-May-04	3,083.25	3,072,138.03	Kobitech	Durban Girls School	Zuma children education	Family	Education
631	28-May-04	1,977.59	3,074,115.62	Kobitech	Eagle Taxis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Transport
632	28-May-04	400.00	3,074,515.62	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
633	28-May-04	2,000.00	3,076,515.62	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
634	28-May-04	1,800.00	3,078,315.62	Kobitech Transport Systems	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
635	28-May-04	330.45	3,078,646.07	Kobitech Transport Systems	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
636	21-Jun-04	356.25	3,079,002.32	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
637	29-Jun-04	400.00	3,079,402.32	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
638	29-Jun-04	400.00	3,079,802.32	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
639	29-Jun-04	400.00	3,080,202.32	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
640	29-Jun-04	227.20	3,080,429.52	Kobitech	Herschel School (P Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
641	29-Jun-04	2,175.00	3,082,604.52	Kobitech	Pretoria Boys	Zuma children education	Family	Education
642	29-Jun-04	400.00	3,083,004.52	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
643	29-Jun-04	5,499.67	3,088,504.19	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
644	29-Jun-04	2,080.50	3,090,584.69	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
645	29-Jun-04	2,000.00	3,092,584.69	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
646	30-Jun-04	644.30	3,093,228.99	Kobitech Transport Systems	Jackpersad, Rooknooden & Partners	Zuma/Zuma family medical costs	Personal	Other
647	01-Jul-04	1,304.60	3,094,533.59	Kobitech Transport Systems	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
648	08-Jul-04	320.28	3,094,853.87	Kobitech Transport Systems	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
649	22-Jul-04	657.86	3,095,511.73	Kobitech Transport Systems	Dr M Raniga	Zuma/Zuma family medical costs	Personal	Medical
650	29-Jul-04	356.07	3,095,867.80	Kobitech Transport Systems	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
651	30-Jul-04	1,800.00	3,097,667.80	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
652	23-Aug-04	400.00	3,098,067.80	Kobitech Transport Systems	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
653	23-Aug-04	400.00	3,098,467.80	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
654	23-Aug-04	400.00	3,098,867.80	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
655	23-Aug-04	400.00	3,099,267.80	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
656	23-Aug-04	5,000.00	3,104,267.80	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
657	26-Aug-04	400.00	3,104,667.80	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
658	26-Aug-04	400.00	3,105,067.80	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
659	26-Aug-04	400.00	3,105,467.80	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
660	26-Aug-04	400.00	3,105,867.80	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
661	26-Aug-04	4,729.27	3,110,597.07	Kobitech	Eagle Taxis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Transport
662	26-Aug-04	9,323.20	3,119,920.27	Kobitech	Herschel School (P Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
663	26-Aug-04	6,559.00	3,126,479.27	Kobitech	International School of Cape Town (Vusi Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
664	26-Aug-04	6,172.00	3,132,651.27	Kobitech	Durban Girls School	Zuma children education	Family	Education
665	26-Aug-04	2,175.00	3,134,826.27	Kobitech	Pretoria Boys	Zuma children education	Family	Education
666	26-Aug-04	7,000.00	3,141,826.27	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
667	26-Aug-04	2,000.00	3,143,826.27	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
668	26-Aug-04	1,116.00	3,144,942.27	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
669	26-Aug-04	1,800.00	3,146,742.27	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
670	04-Sep-04	2,500.00	3,149,242.27	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J
Payment number	Date	Amount	Cumulative	Paying account holder	Receiving account holder/for the benefit of	Classification	Classification	Sub-classification
671	09-Sep-04	259.21	3,149,501.48	Kobitech Transport Systems	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
672	10-Sep-04	410.68	3,149,912.16	Kobitech Transport Systems	Dr R van der Ross	Zuma/Zuma family medical costs	Personal	Medical
673	13-Sep-04	127.50	3,150,039.66	Kobitech Transport Systems	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
674	28-Sep-04	400.00	3,150,439.66	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
675	28-Sep-04	400.00	3,150,839.66	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
676	28-Sep-04	400.00	3,151,239.66	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
677	28-Sep-04	400.00	3,151,639.66	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
678	28-Sep-04	5,272.01	3,156,911.67	Kobitech	Eagle Taxis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Transport
679	28-Sep-04	3,500.00	3,160,411.67	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
680	28-Sep-04	558.00	3,160,969.67	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
681	28-Sep-04	1,800.00	3,162,769.67	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
682	28-Sep-04	2,000.00	3,164,769.67	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
683	01-Oct-04	780.00	3,165,549.67	Kobitech Transport Systems	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
684	28-Oct-04	342.65	3,165,892.32	Kobitech	Ella Govender (Tazz licence)	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
685	28-Oct-04	400.00	3,166,292.32	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
686	28-Oct-04	400.00	3,166,692.32	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
687	28-Oct-04	400.00	3,167,092.32	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
688	28-Oct-04	400.00	3,167,492.32	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
689	28-Oct-04	3,648.42	3,171,140.74	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
690	28-Oct-04	7,024.00	3,178,164.74	Kobitech	International School of Cape Town (Vusi Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
691	28-Oct-04	6,037.00	3,184,201.74	Kobitech	Durban Girls School	Zuma children education	Family	Education
692	28-Oct-04	8,922.80	3,193,124.54	Kobitech	Herschel School (P Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
693	28-Oct-04	558.00	3,193,682.54	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
694	28-Oct-04	1,800.00	3,195,482.54	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
695	28-Oct-04	2,000.00	3,197,482.54	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
696	15-Nov-04	2,742.12	3,200,224.66	Kobitech Transport Systems	Eagle Taxis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Transport
697	15-Nov-04	455.96	3,200,680.62	Kobitech Transport Systems	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
698	17-Nov-04	2,000.00	3,202,680.62	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
699	19-Nov-04	11,542.37	3,214,222.99	Kobitech	Cape Technicon (D Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
700	27-Nov-04	1,000.00	3,215,222.99	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
701	27-Nov-04	2,000.00	3,217,222.99	Schabir Shaik	Cash	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
702	29-Nov-04	400.00	3,217,622.99	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
703	29-Nov-04	400.00	3,218,022.99	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
704	29-Nov-04	400.00	3,218,422.99	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
705	29-Nov-04	400.00	3,218,822.99	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
706	29-Nov-04	3,500.00	3,222,322.99	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
707	29-Nov-04	2,000.00	3,224,322.99	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
708	29-Nov-04	558.00	3,224,880.99	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
709	29-Nov-04	1,800.00	3,226,680.99	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
710	14-Dec-04	448.37	3,227,129.36	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
711	15-Dec-04	400.00	3,227,529.36	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
712	15-Dec-04	400.00	3,227,929.36	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
713	15-Dec-04	400.00	3,228,329.36	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
714	15-Dec-04	400.00	3,228,729.36	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
715	15-Dec-04	2,445.81	3,231,175.17	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
716	15-Dec-04	3,500.00	3,234,675.17	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
717	15-Dec-04	6,133.32	3,240,808.49	Kobitech	Eagle Taxis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Transport
718	15-Dec-04	558.00	3,241,366.49	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J
Payment number	Date	Amount	Cumulative	Paying account holder	Receiving account holder/for the benefit of	Classification	Classification	Sub-classification
719	15-Dec-04	1,800.00	3,243,166.49	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
720	15-Dec-04	2,000.00	3,245,166.49	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
721	20-Dec-04	3,000.00	3,248,166.49	Kobitech	JG Zuma	Zuma	Personal	Cash
722	20-Dec-04	5,000.00	3,253,166.49	Kobitech	JG Zuma	Zuma	Personal	Cash
723	02-Jan-05	2,000.00	3,255,166.49	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
724	02-Jan-05	1,000.00	3,256,166.49	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
725	05-Jan-05	1,000.00	3,257,166.49	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
726	12-Jan-05	644.79	3,257,811.28	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
727	12-Jan-05	1,177.30	3,258,988.58	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
728	19-Jan-05	2,285.55	3,261,274.13	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
729	27-Jan-05	1,200.00	3,262,474.13	Kobitech Transport Systems	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
730	31-Jan-05	1,832.47	3,264,306.60	Kobitech	Eagle Taxis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Transport
731	31-Jan-05	400.00	3,264,706.60	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
732	31-Jan-05	400.00	3,265,106.60	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
733	31-Jan-05	400.00	3,265,506.60	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
734	31-Jan-05	400.00	3,265,906.60	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
735	31-Jan-05	3,500.00	3,269,406.60	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
736	31-Jan-05	9,300.00	3,278,706.60	Kobitech	Durban Girls School	Zuma children education	Family	Education
737	31-Jan-05	1,800.00	3,280,506.60	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
738	31-Jan-05	558.00	3,281,064.60	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
739	31-Jan-05	2,000.00	3,283,064.60	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
740	02-Feb-05	567.61	3,283,632.21	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
741	10-Feb-05	5,080.00	3,288,712.21	Kobitech Transport Systems	International School of Cape Town (Vusi Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
742	11-Feb-05	558.00	3,289,270.21	Kobitech Transport Systems	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
743	25-Feb-05	2,726.37	3,291,996.58	Kobitech	Eagle Taxis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Transport
744	25-Feb-05	400.00	3,292,396.58	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
745	25-Feb-05	400.00	3,292,796.58	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
746	25-Feb-05	400.00	3,293,196.58	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
747	25-Feb-05	400.00	3,293,596.58	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
748	25-Feb-05	3,500.00	3,297,096.58	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
749	25-Feb-05	2,700.00	3,299,796.58	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
750	25-Feb-05	1,800.00	3,301,596.58	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
751	25-Feb-05	1,452.00	3,303,048.58	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
752	25-Feb-05	5,080.00	3,308,128.58	Kobitech	International School of Cape Town (Vusi Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
753	02-Mar-05	2,940.00	3,311,068.58	Kobitech	Westerford High (T Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
754	08-Mar-05	773.64	3,311,842.22	Kobitech Transport Systems	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
755	22-Mar-05	300,000.00	3,611,842.22	Schabir Shaik	JG Zuma	Zuma	Personal	Cash
756	23-Mar-05	5,000.00	3,616,842.22	Schabir Shaik	Cash (Zuma)	Cash for Zuma	Personal	Cash
757	30-Mar-05	3,141.54	3,619,983.76	Kobitech	Eagle Taxis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Transport
758	30-Mar-05	4,000.00	3,623,983.76	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
759	30-Mar-05	400.00	3,624,383.76	Kobitech	NB Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
760	30-Mar-05	400.00	3,624,783.76	Kobitech	P Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
761	30-Mar-05	400.00	3,625,183.76	Kobitech	G Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
762	30-Mar-05	400.00	3,625,583.76	Kobitech	D Zuma	Zuma children allowance	Family	Other
763	30-Mar-05	2,940.00	3,628,523.76	Kobitech	Westerford High (T Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
764	30-Mar-05	1,800.00	3,630,323.76	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
765	30-Mar-05	2,700.00	3,633,023.76	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
766	31-Mar-05	3,794.00	3,636,817.76	Kobitech	Durban Girls School	Zuma children education	Family	Education

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J
Payment number	Date	Amount	Cumulative	Paying account holder	Receiving account holder/for the benefit of	Classification	Classification	Sub-classification
767	31-Mar-05	267.73	3,637,085.49	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
768	07-Apr-05	9,660.00	3,646,745.49	Kobitech	International School of Cape Town (Vusi Zuma)	Zuma children education	Family	Education
769	07-Apr-05	500.00	3,647,245.49	Kobitech	Cash (Mhlongo)	Cash for Zuma/Zuma family	Personal	Cash
770	07-Apr-05	85.00	3,647,330.49	Kobitech	M Moodliar (Mhlongo)	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
771	28-Apr-05	1,800.00	3,649,130.49	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
772	28-Apr-05	2,700.00	3,651,830.49	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
773	03-May-05	4,000.00	3,655,830.49	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
774	06-May-05	537.89	3,656,368.38	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
775	20-May-05	3,305.07	3,659,673.45	Kobitech	Eagle Taxis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Transport
776	27-May-05	3,369.51	3,663,042.96	Kobitech	Eagle Taxis	Zuma/Zuma family travel costs	Personal	Transport
777	27-May-05	4,000.00	3,667,042.96	Kobitech	Ella Govender	Zuma household costs	Family	Housekeeping
778	27-May-05	2,700.00	3,669,742.96	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
779	27-May-05	1,800.00	3,671,542.96	Kobitech	Mhlongo	Zuma family costs	Personal	Other
780	01-Jun-05	393.80	3,671,936.76	Nkobi Group/Shaik	ABSA insurance (Tazz)	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated
781	23-Jun-05	400,000.00	4,071,936.76	Kobitech	Development Africa	Zuma debt	Personal	Development Africa
782	25-Jun-05	169.29	4,072,106.05	Nkobi Group/Shaik	EWC Couriers	Zuma expense	Personal	Other
783	01-Jul-05	393.80	4,072,499.85	Nkobi Group/Shaik	ABSA insurance (Tazz)	Zuma vehicle costs	Personal	Vehicle associated

**WARRANT TO SUMMON ACCUSED AND SERVE
NOTICE OF TRIAL IN THE HIGH COURT OF
SOUTH AFRICA**

**LASBRIEF TOT DAGVAARDING VAN
BESKULDIGDE EN BETEKENING VAN
KENNISGEWING VAN VERHOOR IN DIE
HOOGGEREGSHOF VAN SUID-AFRIKA**

REF NO: PTA 1/4/3 (21/00)

ENQ: ADV A STEYNBERG – DSO

TEL NO: 031 3345000

Province/ Provinsie	KWAZULU-NATAL	Division/ Afdeling	NATAL PROVINCIAL DIVISION	
Place of trial/ Plek van verhoor	PIETERMARITZBURG	Court/ Hof	HIGH	Date of trial/ Verhoordatum 4 AUGUST – 12 DECEMBER 2008
Name of accused/ Naam van beskuldigde				
1. JACOB GEDLEYIHLEKISA ZUMA				
2. THINT HOLDING (SOUTHERN AFRICA) (PTY) (LTD) (AS REPRESENTED BY PIERRE JEAN- MARIE ROBERT MOYNOT)				
3. THINT (PTY) LTD (AS REPRESENTED BY PIERRE JEAN- MARIE ROBERT MOYNOT)				
Address/ Adress AS PER ANNEXURE/SOOS ANHANGSEL				
Sex/ Geslag	1. MALE	Age/ Ouderdom	1. ADULT	Identity No./ Identiteits No.

To the Sherrif or other Authorised Official:

You are hereby required and directed in the name and on behalf of the State to summon the above-mentioned person/s to appear personally before the above-mentioned Court on the date stated above at 09:00 and the following days, if necessary, to answer and abide the judgment of the Court upon a certain indictment (copy whereof attached) to be preferred against him/her by the Director of Public Prosecutions alleging that he/she is guilty of the offence(s) set out in the said indictment, AND

Serve on the accused a copy of this warrant and the indictment and report to the Registrar of the said Division what you have done thereon.

The accused must be informed that he/she has the right to consult with a legal practitioner of his/her choice, and if he/she cannot afford a legal practitioner, he/she may apply for legal aid at the local Legal Aid Officer.

Dated at **PIETERMARITZBURG** on this
27 day of **DECEMBER 2007**

Aan die Balju of ander Gemagtigde Beampte:

Namens en ten behoeve van die Staat word u hiermee gelas om bogenoemde persoon/persone te dagvaar om in persoon voor bogenoemde Hof om 09:00 op die datum wat hierbo gemeld word en daaropvolgende dae, indien nodig, te verskyn om verantwoording te doen voor die Hof en uitspraak af te wag insake >n sekere akte van beskuldiging (waarvan >n afskrif aangeheg is) waarin aangevoer word deur die Direkteur van Openbare Vervolgings dat hy/sy na bewering skuldig is aan die misdryf/misdrywe in die genoemde akte van beskuldiging uiteengesit, EN

Beteken aan die beskuldigde >n afskrif van hierdie lasbrief en die akte van beskuldiging en doen aan die Griffier van voormelde Afdeling verslag van wat u hieromtrent gedoen het.

Die beskuldigde moet ingelig word dat hy/sy die reg het om met >n regspraktisyn van sy/haar keuse te konsulteer en, indien hy/sy nie >n regspraktisyn kan bekostig nie, hy/sy aansoek kan doen om regshulp by die plaaslike Regshulpbeampte.

Gedateer te **PIETERMARITZBURG** op hierdie
27 dag van **DECEMBER 2007**

Date Stamp/Datum Stempel

**Acting Assistant Registrar: High Court CUM Authorized Representative of the
Office Manager (successor to the Chief Clerk) to the Director of Public Prosecutions/
Waarnemende Assistent Griffier: Hooggeregshof CUM Gemagtigde Verteenwoordiger van die
Kantoorbestuurder (opvolger van die Hofklerk) van die Direkteur van Openbare Vervolgings**

ANNEXURE TO J172/AANHANGSEL VIR J172

**1. 8/10 EPPING STREET
FOREST TOWN
JOHANNESBURG**

OR

**NKANDLA TRADITIONAL VILLAGE
WARD: NXAMALALA TRIBAL AUTHORITY
DISTRICT OF NKANDLA**

**2. AND 3. 1ST FLOOR, BROOKLYN PLACE
266 BRONKHORST STREET
CNR DEY AND BRONKHORST STREETS
NIEUW MUCKLENEUK
PRETORIA**

OR

**149 OUDEBERG ROAD
WATERKLOOF HEIGHTS
PRETORIA**